Journal of Posthumanism
2025
Volume: 5, No: 2, pp. 458–469
ISSN: 2634-3576 (Print) | ISSN 2634-3584 (Online)
posthumanism.co.uk

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i2.432

Artificial Intelligence and Posthumanism: A Philosophical Inquiry into Consciousness, Ethics, and Human Identity

Omaia Al-Omari[1], Tariq Al-Omari[2]

Abstract

This study investigates the interaction of posthumanities and artificial intelligences, with a special focus on how AI developments are reshaping conservation, morals, and humans. As AI systems get more powerful over time, they bring together many assumptions about human uniqueness, cognitive abilities, and moral status. AI keeps opens ways for rethinking human capacity and identity, raising a critical ethical agenda while putting abysmal questions on the societal impacts of AI-driven posthumanism.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence and Posthumanism, AI Consciousness and Cognitive Agency, Ethics and Moral Status of AI, Human Identity in the AI Era, Autonomy and AI Governance

Introduction

Throughout the last half of the 20th century, the forging of paradigms that managed to decenter human experience through incorporating technological, medical, and economic networks into a broader framework of questions that inform human identity and existence. This prompted (indubitably) a necessity in developing new theoretical orientations from which to consider the complex interrelation of these domains-as they influence self-understanding and societal manners.

Cultural Studies, one of the many productive intellectual movements, played a huge part in the paradigm shift. Emphasizing a cross-disciplinary approach in trying to comprehend these sweeping transformations in society, this movement brought concern for human relationships under technological and economic systems. It further underscores the imperative for the methodologies of a comprehensive take, which must address the very system it wants to analyze; that is: capitalism, the law, and everyday life. Notably, the May Day Manifesto of 1967/68 challenged academic tradition to demand material realities from life that cannot simply be reduced to political economy (Boddington, P., 2017). These frameworks facilitated the exploration of the emerging technologies and meeting the challenges they bestow in areas such as identity and agency of the human.

The nature of the way one looks at consciousness has evolved quite significantly from the viewpoint of Aristotle. Descartes is the most important early thinker and one from whom we begun to understand consciousness as a domain in its own right, putting up certain traditions of

[1] Information Systems Department. College of Computer and Information Sciences. Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Email: oalomari@psu.edu.sa
[2] Department of Computer Science. Faculty of Computer and Information Technology. Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan, Email: talomari@just.edu.jo

debate that still have influence. From this point, the preeminence that cognition processes held for ontological issues tremendously increased. Consciousness came early enough to gain some company during the seventeenth century, with the extension that Descartes gave it concerning explanations assisting him as milestones for epistemological and ontological meditations.

The fast growth of artificial intelligence in the 21st century has set forth some fundamental ethical and ontological questions about consciousness and human identity. Unlike traditional tools of computation, today's artificial intelligence systems have transcended their computational pigeonholes to include learning, adaptation, and even forms of artificial cognitive reasoning. The same capabilities have given birth to reinvigorated debates about the potentiality of artificial consciousness and self-awareness, contesting long-held beliefs about human exclusivity. The next-level sophistication of these artifacts has created ethical dilemmas around these theoretical concepts like autonomy, moral agency, and the rights of artificial intelligence itself (Chalmers, 1996; Bryson, 2018).

Also, where is morality when AI is advancing? What distinguishes between a machine and artificial intelligence? The more AI ascends the way, the more we blur the border between human cognition and its solitary use. Neural networks, deep learning, and machine reasoning are among the chief contributors to the increasing functionality of AI in terms of performing tasks that, until now, were the domain of human intelligence. However, these advancements will also call for an interdisciplinary exchange engendered by philosophy, ethics, and cognitive science, which must reassess the ramifications of AI's growing placement within human affairs. (Clark, A., 2003).

As AI creeps further into the annals of human-oriented reflections, the notion of post-humanism becomes crucial in analyzing the technological boundary between humanity and technology. These modified versions of post-humanism attempt to break human boundaries central to anthropocentrism. They are advocating for a vaster definition of evolution that is mutual with progress in technologies on a broader surface of existence. Thus, many scholars have suggested that instead of thinking of AI solely as a tool, we must also regard this entity resulting from technology as an object capable of reinventing the ontological and epistemological grounds of knowledge and being (Cohen, J., 2021; Daneher, J., 2020). This evolving language demonstrates that the dialogue between technology versus ethics and philosophy becomes doubly necessary to navigate the complex terrain of consciousness and identity when facing an AI world.

Therefore, AI's historical context exhibits a dynamic interplay between philosophical inquiry and technological innovation, each influencing and defining the other. The ongoing questioning of the impact of AI on consciousness and ethics emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary discussions to understand these developments' implications on human identity and on the larger posthuman future (Haraway, D., 1991).

Background and Theoretical Foundations

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has strongly advanced from its primitive origins of rule-based algorithms to highly advanced technologies based on neural networking that is capable of autonomous decision-making, problem-solving, and creative output. The AI research initially centered on symbolic reasoning (Turing, 1950; McCarthy et al., 1955), but over time, machine learning with advancement have produced behaviors in AI systems that challenge traditional notions of intelligence and cognition (LeCun et al., 2015). Simultaneously, post-humanist philosophy emerged to deal with the growing integration of technology into the human world,

thereby challenging traditional thought concerning intelligence and consciousness (Hayles, 1999; Wolfe, 2010). The point where AI and post-humanism intersect have given rise to far-reaching philosophical questions pertaining to the nature of consciousness. The theories of Integrated Information Theory (Tononi, 2004) and emergentism (Dehaene, 2014) suggest that consciousness ought not to be seen as an entity strictly biological but may give rise to any highly complex artificial systems. These stances change the nature of intelligence, bringing forth the argument that AI be considered not as tools but as co-agents in an emerging network of cognition and decision-making (Clark, 2003).

The moral implications of increased autonomy in AI go far beyond those of bias or accountability and hankers much over moral agency, as well as legal personhood. While some scholars suggest AI must stay under the strict control of humans (Bryson, 2018), others argue that the highly autonomous AI systems need ethical respectability (Danaher, 2020), while posthumanist thinkers prefer to undermine the human-machine distinction altogether. They argue for an ethical outlook that would consider AI like any other form of intelligence with which humanity resides rather than an external entity (Ferrando, 2019). In the field of AI, we increasingly find that creativity, decision-making, and social interaction have been nurtured, which in itself necessitates a networked involvement of various disciplines to pull out in agreement the ethical implications brought about by AI-thus ensuring that technology should meet ethical values and social needs (Floridi & Cowls, 2019). As long as AI unfolds, from the perspective of a posthumanist, intelligence is no longer a trait confined to biological entities but should be defined as a fluid and enmeshed phenomenon constantly altering the very foundations of human identity, agency, and existence.

Literature Review

The Evolution of AI and Posthumanism

Artificial intelligence encompasses a wide range of technology designed to manage tasks that would usually demand human-like intelligence, ranging from natural language understanding, pattern recognition, reasoning, learning to data, and so on. With evolution, AI systems are essentially prepared to autonomously conduct complex tasks, which hence raise serious moral and philosophical queries about their place in society and their moral standing.

The concept of artificial intelligence has been an academic and philosophical debate for many years. Early AI research was rule-based and symbolic reasoning led (Turing, 1950) and was inspired by the likes of Alan Turing and John McCarthy. However, after the advent of enhanced computational power, AI research was attracted by developments in machine learning and neural networks, opening the way for systems capable of understanding and adapting vast quantities of data (LeCun et al., 2015). This evolutionary leap in AI, in addition, stimulates new discussion in posthumanist philosophy regarding AI's potential to exhibit forms of consciousness and intelligence beyond human capabilities (Bostrom, 2014).

Posthumanism claims that knowledge is not exclusively for humans, breaking the human anthropocentrism. Scholars argue that as AI gets more autonomy and decision-making freedom, it should be viewed not just as a tool but as a contributor to knowledge processes (Wolfe 2010). Such views suggest the role of AI in society will go beyond automation while highlighting new forms of interaction amongst humans and non-human intelligences (Ferrando, 2019).

AI and the Redefinition of Consciousness

The debate on the issue of consciousness and whether AIs can become self-aware is one of the core issues in AI and posthumanist discourse. According to traditional cognitive science, consciousness is not dependent on computational systems. Rather, it emerges from biological process (Chalmers, 1996). Still, it argues that consciousness is a form of information representation on account of the complexity of computational systems (Tononi, 2000). Through the lens of post-humanist discourse, it calls into question the traditional definition of cognition as bound and of the essence of AI processing information toward different types of creative outputs (Kurzweil, 2005). It is deemed radically outdated to consider consciousness on the path of biological substrates, as consciousness assumes periods in between them: AI systems can possess a wide spectrum of awareness and intelligence (Clark, 2003). The ethical debate revolves around what value, if any, moral entities nondiscriminatively deserve with regard to AI, as they get increasingly tied to decision-making and creativity (Gunkel, 2012).

Ethical Implications of AI in Society

Ethics occur in AI discourse when AI increasingly gains a central role in various societal structures, particularly in healthcare, finance, and law enforcement. Issues pertaining to bias, accountability, and decision-making have compassed toward the top of the agenda (Floridi & Cowls, 2019). Scholars alarmed about the occurrence of algorithms perpetuating social biases when trained on an imbalanced dataset urge decision-makers for more careful observation (O'Neil, 2016). Likewise, with their increasing autonomy comes AI's serious debate concerning legal and moral liabilities. Should AI consider itself responsible for their decisions, or the responsibilities fall on developers and bipartisan communities? (Bryson, 2018)

Following a post-humanist train of thought, the ethical considerations here touch on the rights and position of AI entities themselves (Cohen, 2021). Another conjecture is that perhaps extremely autonomous AI might be recognized legally to varying extents if cognitive agency becomes recognizable (Danaher, 2020). While a matter under some speculative limelight, such points only serve to foreground issues of how AI governance should be formulated that is equally amiable to human and non-human intelligences (Boddington, 2017).

Human Identity in the Age of AI

AI gradually becomes intertwined in daily life, leading to a major transformation in individuals' identity and agency. AI applications, from everyday social interconnections to creation, have raised concerns that human identity is becoming more elaborate and networked (Turkle, 2011). Some post-humanists consider the trend an advantage to get past our anthro-centric past on a new path where intelligence will be spread across biological and non-biological systems (Haraway, 1991).

Other views argue that AI will surely erode the necessary parts of human agency and bring about skepticism about the possibility of digital dependence and individual autonomy (Zuboff, 2019). The discussion is as open as ever, but one can tell that the inclusion of AI in some societies is giving rise to new practices of understanding of self, creativity, and decision-making (Bostrom, 2014).

AI and Posthumanism: Ethical, Legal, and Cognitive Frontiers

This section deals with the place where AI technologies and other fields' paths cross as to their

interaction with software development, education, healthcare, legal systems, or text analysis. Remaining somewhat removed from actual applications, we look at the trends that AI and other technologies are creating in these areas relative to the possibilities and limitations of their application areas.

Gaber and Alenezi (2024) discussed the impact of serverless computing on software development, with a focus on how Function as a Service (FaaS) infrastructures reduce costs of infrastructure management and accelerate applications' deployments. It listed on-demand scaling, pay-per-use pricing, improved developer productivity, and game-changing benefits conferred at the same time. The paper noted some challenges as resistance to AI-driven systems, and security risks. Serverless computing was deemed a truly forceful balm that facilitates adaptability, efficacy, and cost-effective app development for modern cloud-native apps.

In a thorough study, Al-Omari et al. (2025) studied the consequences that AI's deployment might have on governance and ethics in higher education with reference to personalized learning, data privacy, and algorithmic fairness. The paper concluded that with a few unassuming safeguards, AI-based learning models promise to provide enhanced engagement of students and overall institutional governance, while raising important questions of biases in AI models, resistance to AI learning, and the need for robust governance frameworks. Fittingly, the researchers pleaded for worldwide agreements and stronger institutional policies to encourage transparency, fairness, and accountability in the view of AI's equitable provision to all students, sans discrimination.

Regulating AI for Healthcare: Alyousef and Al-Omari (2024) examined matters at the juncture where AI and healthcare regulations intersect, where AI/ML lend a helping hand towards shaping diagnostic reasoning, personalized therapy, and discovery. Identified from this research were the regulatory challenges posed by AI models, the privacy of patient data, and the transparency of algorithms. The authors argued that the present system of pharmaceutical regulations needed better accommodation to the much-changed landscape offered by AI-powered medical technologies.

In the realm of facial emotion recognition (FER) techniques, Rehman et al. (2025) finds new components. In the review, researchers presented a comparison on traditional machine learning, deep learning, and hybrid models with algorithms incorporating features from these domains; deep or rather neural networks significantly outperformed the classical ones that generally rely on extractions in different classification techniques, in terms of handling unconstrained image datasets and attaining very high accuracies on benchmark datasets. The research also perceived lighting variations, head pose changes, and expression variations cross-culturally, requiring robust, adaptive systems that combine deep learning with conventional feature-extraction methods for superior operational performance in challenging scenarios.

Semary et al. (2023) reported on the accuracy of RoBERTa and other transformer models for sentiment classification. The models yielded over 94% accuracy on datasets such as IMDb and Twitter. Mixing and hybridizing these transformers with LSTM and CNNs presented the ability of deep learning models to analyze complex text data and identifying relevant patterns even in the presence of grammatical errors. This demonstrates the benefit of integrating transformer models with deep-learning systems to handle complex text analysis tasks.

Hassan et al. (2024), in the development of the ETS-NLPODL model for text summarization, therefore, deploy an attention-based convolutional neural network attacker-gated imitation unit

(ACNN-GRU) to select the most important features for summarization tasks, and the model outperformed others in benchmarking, doing well on standard datasets. The importance of the study is obvious, because it offers a better model that is capable of handling and managing complex kinds of textual data, such as legal text categorization (for example) and improving the resultant performance through feature selection.

A connection between text-stemming techniques along with natural language processing (NLP) and information retrieval (IR) systems was studied by Jabbar et al. (2024): the importance of efficient text preparation in the insistence on a maximal model performance, particularly in the classification of tasks. The finding implies the influential contribution made by stemming approaches toward the efficiency of legal text classification and the simplification of language processing.

Ammar et al.'s (2024) investigation reveals the applications of large language models, such as BERT and GPT, for predicting legal judgments in the Arabic language. The research underscores the fact that transformer models are remarkably efficient in the classification of complex legal texts. This suggests the corresponding transformer model in resolving legal matters. This research also reiterates the importance of fine-tuning domain-specific AI systems, such as those relating to the law, to further enhance the enlarging performance, relevance, and applicability in fields such as legal judgment prediction and legal taggings, were observed in legal texts.

AI and Posthumanism: Ethical, Legal, and Cognitive Frontiers

Gaber and Alenezi (2024) examined how serverless computing reduces infrastructure costs and accelerates deployment through FaaS architectures, enhancing scalability, pay-per-use pricing, and developer productivity. However, challenges like vendor lock-in and security risks were noted, concluding that serverless computing is ideal for modern cloud-native applications.

Al-Omari et al. (2025) discussed the governance and ethical challenges of AI in higher education, highlighting benefits like improved engagement and efficiency. They emphasized the need for strong governance to address biases and ensure fairness, advocating for international cooperation and robust policies to ensure AI’s equitable impact.

Alyousef and Al-Omari (2024) explored AI’s role in healthcare, identifying regulatory challenges such as data privacy and algorithm bias. They called for updated global frameworks that balance innovation with patient safety, ensuring ethical AI deployment in healthcare.

Rehman et al. (2025) reviewed facial emotion recognition (FER) techniques, finding that deep learning models, especially CNNs, outperform traditional methods in handling complex image data. They highlighted challenges like lighting and pose variations, suggesting hybrid models that integrate deep learning for better performance.

Semary et al. (2023) used transformer models like RoBERTa for sentiment classification, achieving high accuracy on datasets like IMDb and Twitter. Their hybrid approach showed the potential of deep learning models to handle complex text analysis tasks.

Hassan et al. (2024) developed an optimized deep learning model for text summarization, improving performance on standard datasets. Their approach is particularly useful for handling complex text, including legal document categorization.

Jabbar et al. (2024) examined text-stemming techniques, highlighting their role in improving text preparation for NLP tasks, especially in legal text classification.

Ammar et al. (2024) investigated using BERT and GPT models for legal judgment prediction in Arabic, finding transformer models effective in classifying legal texts and emphasizing the importance of fine-tuning AI systems for specific domains like law.

Methodology

Research Approach

This research applies a philosophical and theoretical point of view to examine AI through the vision of posthumanist thought. Since the topic is so well in need of exploration, no empiricism was gathered in order to evaluate and examine certain literature, philosophical theories, and ethical discourses which draw imaginary lines between AI and these three concepts that serve the foundation of all existence.

A framework for posthumanistic observation is developed to interpret AI's implications in the context of philosophical, cognitive, and AI ethical intersections. The method adopted revolves around a critical reevaluation of AI, drawing from a varied range of discussions to illuminate meanings and values generated in the flux of AI's push for human transformation.

Data Sources

This research assimilates a variety of sources in a concept analysis of AI based on peer-reviewed journal articles carrying the argument on AI in philosophical texts and ethical AI guidelines. The literature is thus interdisciplinary from different disciplines to provide a comprehensive observation within selected research questions. The main references are as follows:

- Foundation texts on AI philosophy and post-humanism (e.g., Bostrom, 2014; Hayles, 1999; Wolfe, 2010)
- Contemporary discussions on AI ethics and governance (e.g., Floridi & Cowls, 2019; Bryson, 2018)
- Research on consciousness theories and cognitive science perspectives on AI (e.g., Tononi, 2004; Chalmers, 1996)

Synthesizing such varied accomplishments, the research wishes to establish the AI discussion within the broader context of post-humanism and critically assess the ethical and philosophical ramifications.

Analytical Framework

This study frames its analysis around three primary philosophical inquiries:

- **AI with Consciousness:** Can AI evolve into an environment that possesses self-awareness or higher cognitive abilities?
- **Ethics of AI:** An assessment of the moral status of AI and how dilemmas of ethics are to be resolved in society.
- **Human Identity and Posthumanism:** Inquiring into the manners in which AI steers these conventional notions of human being, self-hood, creativity, and agency.

It is at this juncture of dialogue concerning AI and possibilities of the future for human-machine interaction; questions like this and many more bespeak the attempt of this inquiry.

Discussion and Analysis

AI and the Nature of Consciousness

Artificial Intelligence has been responsible for changing the way we see consciousness in a major way. Theories, such as Tononi's Integrated Information Theory (2004) and emergentism, suggest that consciousness may emerge from highly complex computational systems. The AI that has no self-awareness, therefore, could challenge the long-held philosophical stance that human consciousness is exclusive due to its modeling of cognitive functions. The advent of neural networks and deep learning models has raised questions in the cyber-philosophical arena about whether an AI-body-oriented entity could possibly arrive at a self-referential state of cognitive self-examination just like human introspection (Bengio, 2020).

While the gap between entities that are conscious and those that are not appears fast-tracked within AI, researchers are contemplating ways to create such systems that not only mimic conscious behavior but also have an underlying cognitive framework on which self-reflexivity and metacognitive abilities can be nurtured. Now, if AI gained metacognition, this would just further make the debate about machine consciousness and its place in ethical philosophy much more complicated, taking the debate about machine self-consciousness to a place where it begins touching the moral boundaries of human society.

Appraising the subject from a posthumanist perspective only emphasizes that considering the question of AI consciousness as purely theoretical would be ignoring deep ethical and existential repercussions. If AI becomes capable of processing metacognition, can it be considered conscious and deserving of ethical thought? It has been argued by some that consciousness is not a binary but a spectrum of actions and thoughts. Therefore, AI does have the capability to evolve through degrees of the awareness of facts that carry ethical responsibility.

Ethical Considerations in AI Development

Progressive integration of these systems into human decision-making processes is influenced by the shifting ethical landscape. At the forefront of such concerns is algorithmic discrimination. O'Neil (2016) provides an insightful analysis that delves deeper into the aspect of AI validation and perpetuation of societal inequities. In this light, a critical area remains the question of who is to be held accountable if an AI does something unethical: should the developers, the users, or the AI itself be held accountable? (Bryson, 2018)

Another important discussion is whether AI would deserve a kind of ethical status. There are theories proposing that highly autonomous AI systems necessitate new governance architectures to reason about their cognitive agency (Danaher, 2020). The context is quite relevant in areas like autonomous weaponry where the ethical dilemmas involved in responsibility and decision-making weave a web of complexity. Posthumanism argues that it is not sufficient to restrict the ethics dialogue to the interests of humans alone, but we must build a wider ethical framework in which AI is considered as part of an evolving network of intelligences (Cohen, 2021).

By having more autonomous powers, AI entities raise questions about future responsibilities and rights, as well as the necessity of a non-anthropocentric ethics that incorporates human interests and others. These considerations will be important for guiding the design, introduction, and possible future institutional management of AI with conscious capabilities. This ethics discussion needs to cease with human-based concerns from Veil, rendering human models static and ready

for annihilation by future networks' intelligence.

The Transformation of Human Identity in the Age of AI

The integration of AI into everyday life changes the person's subjective notion of self and agency. AI presents novel dimensions of experiences in every human activity, such as creative expression and interaction, suggesting extended intelligent bonding and coping within post-human agendas. In this view, AI enhances humans instead of losing the essence of deserved claims of intelligence, creativity, and encounter-building between man and AI toward the production of knowledge (Haraway, 1991).

However, there are shaded sides of the coin such as erosion of personal autonomy. Concerning decision processing, social conditions concern decisional policies and made fears of technologies-making machines competing within algorithmic-soft power intelligence (Vitrano, 2019). The reality of what actualization generates: AI can grow human-level capability and crush human agency in a mob to act as some ruthless monolith. The task ahead is finding a balance between technological augmentation and the preservation of human agency over the essential aspects of identity and decision-making.

The relationship is established among humans and AI, something far beyond one-on-one working companionship or client service transactions—this is about shared emotional exchanges and empathetic connection based on what AI takes up for the users. AI-driven chatbots and virtual companions tap into this sense of wanting to come back to a place and be hugged, receive life-affirming care, and even therapy. Many more days in depth have such inquiries—can AI feel real human-like empathy, or does it just mimic emotional intelligence well?

Criticism says that not using social settings means AI-to-interaction creates detachment. On the other hand, there are assertions that AI development together, rather than on the other hand, can have further implications on enhancing well-being wherever human social interaction is scarce. The post-humanist view forward states with reason: Human identity is not static but utterly fluid, evolving side by side with technological advancements that broaden our understanding of relationships and communication.

Economic Effects of AI and Posthumanism

Regarding AI and posthumanism most concretely and vividly, as automation and machine intelligence are reforming traditional labor markets, the economic shock is most felt. While automation driven by AI patterns boosts productivity, quality, efficiency, and the like, it encourages fears of disingenuous job dislocations, economic continuum concerns, and the transition to human-AI double lives in the decision-making processes (in finance, such as medicine and governance). This implies that UBI and reskilling are seen as possible answers to AI-related economic disruptions. Post-humanism sees an economically adapted structure in which advances in AI and low-grade AI form a coexistence on equal terms and focus in solidarity on competitive activity.

The Role of AI in Posthuman Creativity

AI is more interesting than reshaping the industries and economy as it reshapes art itself. AI's use in generating music, literature, and visual art has further posed existential questions on creativity borne by humans in this post-anthropocentric society. Generative AI models such as those based on GPT language systems and deep learning image synthesis defy accustomed human traits of

creativity. Ideas such as AI-generated art come from certain post-humanist critiques in the light of an evolutionary feature rather than a complete takeover of human creativity, always acting as working partners but never competitors. Ethical issues of authorship and intellectual property have elicited critical debates to question the status of original from an AI-generated product.

Conclusion and Future Perspectives

Summary of Key Findings

This research looked at the transforming interrelationships of posthumanity and AI in terms of emanating capacities that destabilize the constant and ever transforming conception of consciousness, ethic, and human identity. It is made clear that:

- Experts are engaged in arguments on the prospect of conscious AI, with much less concern towards potential AI-related self-awareness.
- Researchers have affirmed that AI ethics are overshadowed by items like bias, accountability, and the ethics of highly autonomous systems.
- With a deep AI footprint into the equipping and learning loop humans have contact in the form of decision-making, creative expressions, and social meanderings.

The study made claims that AI is not just a means of cognition, hence not just a technology, but jihad, meaning a paradigm shift questioning the very basis of human uniqueness and cognition.

Implications for AI and Society

AI's scaling implications speak to increasingly diverse sectors of society, and beyond academic policy. In this mode of thinking, governance frameworks of ethics need to mature to help AI be more autonomous and improve decision-making and therefore aid in guiding future dialogue on regulation to not only prevent possible wrongs it may inflict, but also appreciate its potential to advance human consciousness and societal development. The beneficial end of this change of approaches is characterized by posthuman sentiments, which, by incorporating AI as a part of a global intelligence mesh, redefine what is to be human. This change now winds up commanding an interdisciplinary population – philosophy, cognitive science, and technology policy – to ensure ethical and value-oriented alignment in AI developments.

Future Research Directions

Numerous areas are of crucial interest to AI due to the presence of a rapidly evolving nature.

- This deals with the matter of rights or recognition being bestowed on AI legal entities with cognitive autonomy.
- Consciousness Studies: Research, firstly in cognitive science, to ascertain whether AI can go beyond mere symbol manipulation to that of a higher order of cognition.
- Posthuman Ethics-enabling moralities that are not anthropocentric but extended into the AI as a broader and evolutionary web of all intelligence.
- AI and Social Structures-Attempting to detect via which way AI incorporation will reshape employment, education, and creativity during the forthcoming decades.

The pace of AI's quickening evolution underlines the imminent need to impute interdisciplinary

logic in the merging fields of philosophy, science, policy, and ethics, to gain a proper understanding of its changing socio-ethical implications. Of course, future research will concern AI as something going toward improving human intelligence and not replacing it-any technological enhancement shall therefore be required to reinforce strong ethical values. Under posthumanism, the synergy between humanity and AI should rather not be regarded through the lens of a competition, but as an exploration of new developments in thinking, identity, and creativity. Future academic research must therefore delve into ethical AI governance, the redefinition of personhood by AI, and the very quest for consciousness as pushed by AI.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of Prince Sultan University for paying the Article Processing Charges (APC) of this publication. The authors would like to acknowledge the support of Prince Sultan University for their support making this publication successful.

References

Bengio, Y. (2020). Deep learning for AI. Communications of the ACM, 63(11), 58-65.

Boddington, P. (2017). Towards a code of ethics for artificial intelligence. Springer.

Bostrom, N. (2014). Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies. Oxford University Press.

Bryson, J. J. (2018). AI ethics and the limits of the law. Artificial Intelligence, 248, 36-41.

Chalmers, D. J. (1996). The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory. Oxford University Press.

Clark, A. (2003). Natural-born cyborgs: Minds, technologies, and the future of human intelligence. Oxford University Press.

Cohen, J. (2021). Legal personhood for artificial intelligence? AI & Society, 36(1), 1-13.

Danaher, J. (2020). Robot rights and the value of machine consciousness. Philosophy & Technology, 33(1), 43-60.

Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). The ethics of artificial intelligence: Principles, challenges, and opportunities. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 376(2133), 20180089.

Gunkel, D. (2012). The machine question: Critical perspectives on AI, robots, and ethics. MIT Press.

Haraway, D. (1991). A cyborg manifesto: Science, technology, and socialist-feminism in the late twentieth century. Routledge.

Hayles, N. K. (1999). How we became posthuman: Virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics. University of Chicago Press.

LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436-444.

O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy. Crown Publishing.

Tononi, G. (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. BMC Neuroscience, 5(1), 42.

Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. Mind, 59(236), 433-460.

Turkle, S. (2011). Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other. Basic Books.

Wolfe, C. (2010). What is posthumanism? University of Minnesota Press.

Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power. PublicAffairs.

Bryson, J. J. (2018). AI ethics and the limits of the law. Artificial Intelligence, 248, 36-41.

Clark, A. (2003). Natural-born cyborgs: Minds, technologies, and the future of human

intelligence. Oxford University Press.
Danaher, J. (2020). Robot rights and the value of machine consciousness. Philosophy & Technology, 33(1), 43-60.
Dehaene, S. (2014). Consciousness and the brain: Deciphering how the brain codes our thoughts. Viking Press.
Ferrando, F. (2019). Philosophical posthumanism. Bloomsbury Publishing.
Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). The ethics of artificial intelligence: Principles, challenges, and opportunities. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 376(2133), 20180089.
Hayles, N. K. (1999). How we became posthuman: Virtual bodies in cybernetics, literature, and informatics. University of Chicago Press.
LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436-444.
McCarthy, J., Minsky, M. L., Rochester, N., & Shannon, C. E. (1955). A proposal for the Dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence. AI Archive.
Tononi, G. (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. BMC Neuroscience, 5(1), 42.
Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. Mind, 59(236), 433-460.
Wolfe, C. (2010). What is posthumanism? University of Minnesota Press.
Gaber, S., & Alenezi, M. (2024). Transforming application development with serverless computing. International Journal of Cloud Applications and Computing, 14(1), 1-12. DOI: 10.4018/IJCAC.365288
Al-Omari, O., Alyousef, A., Fati, S., Shannaq, F., & Omari, A. (2025). Governance and ethical frameworks for AI integration in higher education: Enhancing personalized learning and legal compliance. Journal of Ecohumanism, 4(2), 80–86. DOI: 10.62754/joe.v4i2.5781
Alyousef, A., & Al-Omari, O. (2024). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Bridging innovation and regulation. Journal of Ecohumanism, 3(8), 10582–10589. DOI: 10.62754/joe.v3i8.5673
Rehman, A., Mujahid, M., Elyassih, A., AlGhofaily, B., & Bahaj, S. A. O. (2025). Comprehensive review and analysis on facial emotion recognition: Performance insights into deep and traditional learning with current updates and challenges. Tech Science Press. DOI: 10.32604/cmc.2024.058036
Semary, N. A., Ahmed, W., Amin, K., Pławiak, P., & Hammad, M. (2023). Improving sentiment classification using a RoBERTa-based hybrid model. Frontiers Media S.A., December. DOI: 10.3389/fnhum.2023.1292010
Hassan, A. Q. A., Al-onazi, B. B., Maashi, M., Darem, A. A., Abunadi, I., Mahmud, A. (2024). Enhancing extractive text summarization using natural language processing with an optimal deep learning model. AIMS Press, 2024. DOI: 10.3934/math.2024616
Jabbar, A., Iqbal, S., Tamimy, M. I., Rehman, A., Bahaj, S. A., Saba, T. (2024). An analytical analysis of text stemming methodologies in information transformers. arXiv.
Ammar, A., Koubaa, A., Benjdira, B., Nacar, O., Sibaee, S. (2024). Prediction of Arabic legal rulings using large language models. Faculty of Electrical Engineering Banja Luka. DOI: 10.3390/electronics13040764