Journal of Posthumanism
2024
Volume: 4, No: 3, pp. 2356–2375
ISSN: 2634-3576 (Print) | ISSN 2634-3584 (Online)
posthumanism.co.uk

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v6i4.4189

Exploring the Impact of AI-Driven Mobile Learning Platforms on Student Engagement and Academic Performance in Higher Education

Sherin Hassan Mabrouk[1]

Abstract

This study examines the impact of AI-driven mobile learning platforms on student engagement and academic performance in higher education through a systematic review of recent literature. As interactive mobile technologies continue to reshape digital learning, artificial intelligence has emerged as a key driver of personalization, adaptive support, and real-time instructional responsiveness. Drawing on peer-reviewed studies published primarily between 2022 and 2025, this review synthesizes current evidence on how AI-supported mobile learning environments influence students' learning experiences and academic outcomes. The analysis focuses on major AI-enabled functionalities, including adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring, learning analytics, and personalized feedback. Across the reviewed literature, these features are consistently associated with stronger behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement, particularly when mobile learning environments are designed to support flexibility, accessibility, and continuous learner interaction. The findings further suggest that the impact of AI on academic performance is largely indirect, operating through its capacity to enhance engagement, self-regulation, and sustained participation. Using a thematic synthesis approach, the study identifies recurring patterns, conceptual relationships, and contextual factors that shape the effectiveness of AI-driven mobile learning platforms. The review also highlights key moderating influences, including digital literacy, mobile usability, instructional design quality, and institutional readiness, all of which affect how learners benefit from AI-supported systems. As a secondary review, this study is based exclusively on published academic sources and does not involve human participants or primary data collection. The findings contribute to a clearer understanding of how AI-driven mobile learning platforms support student engagement and academic performance and offer evidence-based insights for the pedagogically grounded and ethically responsible integration of interactive mobile technologies in higher education.

Keywords: *Artificial intelligence; AI-driven mobile learning platforms; interactive mobile technologies; mobile learning; student engagement; academic performance; higher education; adaptive learning.*

Introduction

The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has significantly transformed contemporary educational environments, particularly within mobile, online, and blended learning contexts. Over the past decade, higher education institutions worldwide have increasingly adopted AI-driven mobile learning platforms to address persistent challenges related to student engagement, personalization, scalability, and academic performance (Garzón, 2025; Bond et al., 2023). These platforms integrate advanced algorithms, machine learning models, learning analytics, and adaptive systems to deliver personalized content, real-time feedback, and data-informed instructional support through interactive digital and mobile interfaces.

Student engagement remains a central determinant of academic success and learning

[1] Self-Development Department, Deanship of Preparatory Year and Supporting Studies, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia; Email: shmabrouk@iau.edu.sa; https://orcid.org/my-orcid?orcid=0000-0002-3680-9472

effectiveness. A substantial body of research has shown that behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement are strongly associated with improved learning outcomes, increased retention, and sustained motivation (Fredricks et al., 2019; Johar et al., 2023). Despite this well-established relationship, conventional instructional models often struggle to maintain student engagement, particularly in large-scale, online, or technology-mediated learning environments where limited instructor interaction and standardized content delivery may contribute to learner disengagement and reduced academic performance. In this context, the growing accessibility and flexibility of mobile technologies have created new opportunities (Naveed et al., 2023) to support continuous, learner-centered engagement beyond traditional classroom boundaries.

In response to these challenges, **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** have emerged as a promising educational innovation. By leveraging learner data, such platforms can dynamically adapt instructional content, pacing, assessment strategies, and feedback mechanisms to individual learners' needs and preferences (Alrawashdeh et al., 2024; Hocine et al., 2025). This adaptive capacity is widely regarded as a key mechanism for enhancing engagement, as learning experiences become more closely aligned with students' prior knowledge, progress, preferences, and learning trajectories. Common AI-supported applications in this domain include intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive assessment tools, personalized recommendation systems, and learning analytics dashboards delivered through mobile-compatible or smartphone-accessible learning environments (Kulik & Fletcher, 2013; Létourneau et al., 2025).

Recent empirical studies provide increasing evidence that AI-powered learning systems can positively influence student engagement by enhancing interactivity, providing immediate and targeted feedback, and supporting self-regulated learning processes (Al Mashagbeh et al., 2025; Yaseen et al., 2025). In mobile learning settings, these features may be especially valuable because they enable learners to access instructional support anytime and anywhere, strengthening continuity, flexibility, and responsiveness in the learning process. For example, adaptive feedback tools can help learners identify knowledge gaps in real time, while predictive analytics systems can recommend personalized resources that improve comprehension, persistence, and task completion. Such affordances are particularly relevant in higher education, where student autonomy, digital accessibility, and flexible participation increasingly shape academic achievement.

Academic performance, as a core indicator of learning outcomes, has also been widely examined in relation to AI integration. Meta-analyses and systematic reviews suggest that AI-driven instructional systems are associated with moderate to significant improvements in test scores, course completion, and skill acquisition when compared with conventional or non-adaptive digital learning approaches (Huang, 2025; Vieriu et al., 2025). These improvements are often attributed to the ongoing alignment between instructional content and learner progress, which supports mastery-oriented learning, reduces unnecessary cognitive load, and enables more efficient knowledge reinforcement. Within mobile learning environments, these benefits may be further amplified by ease of access, immediacy of interaction, and the capacity for continuous engagement across formal and informal learning spaces.

At the same time, the literature reveals important challenges and inconsistencies. Several studies report mixed or context-dependent effects of AI tools on engagement and performance, highlighting the mediating influence of digital literacy, instructional design quality, infrastructure, and institutional readiness (Pan et al., 2024; Singh, 2026). In addition, growing concerns related to algorithmic transparency, data privacy, ethical governance, and unequal access to digital and mobile technologies have intensified scholarly debate regarding the

responsible implementation of AI in education (U.S. Department of Education, 2023). These concerns suggest that the educational value of AI is shaped not only by technical sophistication but also by the pedagogical and institutional conditions under which such technologies are deployed.

Given the rapid expansion of AI applications in education and the fragmented nature of current empirical findings, there is a clear need for comprehensive secondary research that synthesizes recent evidence, identifies recurring patterns, and highlights unresolved gaps in the literature. Review-based studies are particularly important in fast-evolving technological fields because they consolidate knowledge, support evidence-informed decision-making, and provide direction for future research and practice (Xiaoyu, 2025; Chong et al., 2025). This need is especially relevant in the context of interactive mobile learning technologies, where the convergence of AI, portability, personalization, and learner interaction continues to reshape educational experiences in higher education.

Accordingly, this study aims to examine the impact of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** on student engagement and academic performance through a systematic analysis of recent secondary literature. By reviewing peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, and authoritative reports published between 2022 and 2025, the study seeks to provide an integrated understanding of how AI-enabled mobile learning technologies influence learning experiences and educational outcomes across diverse higher education contexts. As the study relies exclusively on previously published secondary sources, it does not involve human participants or primary data collection and therefore does not require ethical approval.

By situating AI-driven mobile learning platforms within broader discussions of **interactive mobile technologies in higher education**, this study contributes to ongoing scholarly conversations about the pedagogical value, practical implementation, and ethical implications of AI-supported mobile learning. The findings are intended to offer evidence-based insights for educators, instructional designers, institutional leaders, and policymakers seeking to integrate AI technologies in ways that are effective, flexible, ethically responsible, and pedagogically grounded.

Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to systematically examine the impact of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** on student engagement and academic performance through an in-depth analysis of existing secondary literature. To achieve this overarching aim, the study pursues the following specific objectives:

1. To examine how **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** are conceptualized, designed, and implemented in contemporary higher education contexts, as reported in recent academic literature.
2. To analyze the reported effects of AI-supported mobile learning platforms on different dimensions of student engagement, including behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement.
3. To investigate the relationship between student engagement and academic performance within **AI-enabled mobile learning environments**, based on findings from prior empirical studies.
4. To identify key AI functionalities, such as adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring, learning analytics, personalized feedback, and mobile accessibility features that are most strongly associated with enhanced engagement and improved academic outcomes.

5. To synthesize empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of AI-driven mobile learning platforms in improving academic performance indicators, including achievement scores, learning gains, course completion, and learner persistence.
6. To explore contextual and moderating factors, such as digital literacy, mobile usability, instructional design quality, technological infrastructure, and institutional readiness, that influence the impact of AI-driven mobile platforms on engagement and performance.
7. To identify gaps, limitations, and inconsistencies in the existing literature, thereby highlighting areas that require further empirical investigation in relation to **AI-supported mobile and interactive learning technologies**.
8. To provide evidence-based implications and recommendations for educators, instructional designers, and policymakers regarding the effective, ethical, and pedagogically sound integration of AI-driven mobile learning platforms in higher education practice.

Literature Review

1-Artificial Intelligence in Interactive Mobile Educational Technology

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a central component of contemporary educational technology, increasingly reshaping how learning environments are designed, delivered, and evaluated, particularly within interactive mobile and digitally connected contexts. In higher education, AI in education encompasses a broad range of applications, including intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive mobile learning platforms, learning analytics, automated assessment, and personalized feedback mechanisms (Garzón, 2025; Bond et al., 2023). Collectively, these technologies aim to enhance instructional efficiency while addressing learner diversity, flexibility, and personalization at scale.

Recent scholarship indicates a shift from rule-based automation toward data-driven and predictive AI models capable of continuously adapting to learners' behaviors, progress, and interaction patterns across mobile-compatible and online learning systems (Chong et al., 2025). By leveraging learner interaction data, AI systems can identify learning trajectories, predict potential difficulties, and recommend targeted instructional interventions. This adaptive capacity supports more responsive, personalized, and learner-centered educational experiences than conventional static digital systems.

Systematic reviews further show growing institutional interest in AI-driven platforms, particularly in higher education settings where **mobile accessibility**, personalization, and scalability remain critical challenges (Hocine et al., 2025; Xiaoyu, 2025). However, the literature also reveals substantial variation in implementation quality, suggesting that the educational value of AI tools depends not only on technical sophistication but also on their pedagogical alignment, usability, and compatibility with interactive mobile learning practices.

2. Student Engagement in Mobile and AI-Supported Learning Environments

Student engagement is widely understood as a multidimensional construct consisting of behavioral, cognitive, and emotional dimensions (Fredricks et al., 2019). Within **mobile, online, and blended learning environments**, engagement plays a decisive role in sustaining learner motivation, persistence, and academic achievement. Although digital and mobile education increase flexibility and access, disengagement remains a persistent concern, often contributing to reduced participation, weak persistence, and lower learning outcomes (Johar et al., 2023).

AI-driven mobile learning platforms have been increasingly examined for their capacity to enhance student engagement through interactivity, personalization, and real-time responsiveness. Features such as adaptive content delivery, immediate feedback, mobile notifications, progress

tracking, and personalized learning pathways are frequently identified as mechanisms that support sustained learner involvement (Al Mashagbeh et al., 2025). Learning analytics dashboards, for example, can provide learners with timely insights into their progress, thereby strengthening self-regulation, goal setting, and task completion across mobile-enabled learning contexts.

Empirical evidence suggests that AI-supported engagement interventions are most effective when they promote active learning rather than passive content consumption (Pan et al., 2024). Moreover, emotional engagement may be strengthened through conversational agents, intelligent tutoring interfaces, and interactive mobile tools that simulate responsive human-like interaction. Nevertheless, findings remain context-dependent, indicating that engagement outcomes vary according to platform design, learner readiness, and pedagogical integration.

3. AI-Driven Mobile Learning Platforms and Academic Performance

Academic performance is commonly measured through indicators such as achievement scores, learning gains, course completion, skill mastery, and learner persistence. A substantial body of literature has explored the relationship between AI-supported learning environments and academic outcomes. Meta-analyses suggest that students using intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning tools, and personalized instructional platforms often outperform peers in conventional or non-adaptive digital learning settings (Huang, 2025; Kulik & Fletcher, 2013).

The effectiveness of AI-driven mobile learning platforms is often attributed to their ability to provide immediate, individualized feedback and dynamically adjust instructional difficulty based on learner performance. This continuous alignment between instructional support and learner needs helps reduce cognitive overload, support mastery-based progression, and strengthen continuity of learning across settings (Vieriu et al., 2025). In addition, predictive analytics can support early identification of at-risk learners, enabling timely intervention and improved academic performance.

At the same time, the literature reports variability in performance outcomes. Some studies identify marginal or non-significant effects, often due to differences in platform usability, subject matter, learner characteristics, and institutional conditions (Singh, 2026). These inconsistencies emphasize the importance of context-sensitive evaluation when assessing the educational effectiveness of AI-supported mobile learning systems.

4. Personalization, Adaptive Learning, Learning Analytics, and Mobile Accessibility

Personalization is consistently recognized as one of the most significant strengths of AI-driven learning platforms. Adaptive systems tailor instructional materials, assessments, and feedback using real-time learner data, enabling individualized learning pathways (Alrawashdeh et al., 2024). Review studies suggest that such personalization is strongly associated with stronger engagement, higher learning efficiency, and improved responsiveness to individual differences.

Learning analytics play a central role in enabling this personalization by collecting, analyzing, and visualizing learner interaction data. These analytics support both learners and instructors by enabling data-informed decisions, targeted support, and refinement of instructional strategies (Johar et al., 2023; Pan et al., 2024). In mobile learning environments, the educational value of analytics is further enhanced when learners can access progress information instantly and act upon it in real time.

In addition to personalization and analytics, **mobile accessibility** has become a critical factor in the effectiveness of AI-supported educational environments. Mobile-compatible platforms allow students to interact with content, receive feedback, and continue learning beyond fixed classroom or desktop settings. This portability can strengthen continuity of engagement, increase flexibility,

and support participation across formal and informal learning spaces. However, the literature also notes that mobile access alone is insufficient unless paired with pedagogically meaningful design and clear instructional purpose.

5. Moderating Factors and Challenges in AI-Supported Mobile Learning

Despite growing evidence supporting AI-driven mobile learning platforms, several moderating factors shape their effectiveness. **Digital literacy and mobile usability** are especially important because limited technological competence, weak interface design, or inconsistent access may reduce learners' ability to benefit from advanced AI-supported systems (Yaseen et al., 2025).

Institutional readiness, instructor competence, infrastructure quality, and ethical governance also influence implementation outcomes. Policy-oriented literature emphasizes the importance of transparency, fairness, and data protection in AI-based education to ensure trust and equitable access (U.S. Department of Education, 2023). Ethical concerns related to algorithmic bias, learner surveillance, privacy, and unequal access to mobile technologies remain central in current scholarly debate, reinforcing the need for responsible and accountable integration of AI in educational practice.

6. Research Gaps and Integrative Synthesis

Although existing research provides substantial evidence that AI-driven learning platforms can improve student engagement and academic performance, several important gaps remain. Many studies focus on short-term interventions, while longitudinal evidence on sustained effects is still limited. In addition, engagement is often treated as a single construct despite its clearly established behavioral, cognitive, and emotional dimensions.

The literature also reveals a need for integrative syntheses that explicitly connect **AI functionalities, mobile learning affordances, engagement mechanisms, and academic outcomes** within a unified analytical framework. More specifically, the intersection between AI and **interactive mobile learning technologies** remains theoretically under-integrated in many review studies.

Accordingly, the present study contributes to the literature by synthesizing recent secondary evidence on **AI-driven mobile learning platforms**, student engagement, and academic performance. It offers a comprehensive and theoretically grounded perspective that clarifies how AI-supported mobile educational environments shape learning processes and outcomes in higher education.

Conceptual Framework

This study is grounded in a conceptual framework that explains the relationships among **AI-driven mobile learning platforms**, student engagement, and academic performance, as synthesized from contemporary literature on educational technology and interactive mobile learning. The framework positions **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** as the primary independent construct, **student engagement** as the central mediating construct, and **academic performance** as the dependent outcome variable.

AI-Driven Mobile Learning Platforms

AI-driven mobile learning platforms are conceptualized as integrated educational systems that apply artificial intelligence techniques, such as machine learning, adaptive algorithms, intelligent tutoring, and learning analytics, to support and enhance learning through mobile-compatible and interactive digital environments. These platforms include key functionalities such as personalized content delivery, adaptive assessment, real-time feedback, predictive analytics, and mobile accessibility.

Within the proposed framework, AI-driven mobile learning platforms represent the technological and instructional input that shapes learners' experiences, interactions, and responsiveness to educational content across flexible learning settings.

Student Engagement as a Mediating Construct

Student engagement is conceptualized as a multidimensional construct consisting of **behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement**. Behavioral engagement refers to visible participation in learning activities; cognitive engagement reflects learners' investment in understanding, strategy use, and deep processing; and emotional engagement refers to interest, motivation, and affective connection to learning.

The framework assumes that AI-driven mobile learning platforms influence academic performance primarily through their effect on student engagement. Personalized learning pathways, real-time feedback, adaptive support, and mobile continuity of access are expected to strengthen engagement by making learning more relevant, responsive, and accessible. Learning analytics tools further support engagement by encouraging self-regulation, progress monitoring, and goal-oriented participation.

Accordingly, student engagement functions as the mediating mechanism that explains how and why AI-driven mobile learning platforms shape academic outcomes.

Academic Performance as an Outcome Variable

Academic performance is conceptualized as learners' measurable educational outcomes, including achievement scores, learning gains, skill acquisition, course completion, and persistence. The framework proposes that stronger engagement, supported by AI-enabled mobile learning environments, leads to improved academic performance by increasing motivation, continuity of participation, and depth of learning.

Rather than treating performance as a direct product of technology use alone, the framework emphasizes that educational gains emerge through sustained and meaningful learner engagement facilitated by AI-based mobile instructional support.

Moderating Factors

The conceptual framework also recognizes several moderating factors that may affect the strength and direction of the relationships among the main constructs. These include **digital literacy, mobile usability, instructional design quality, technological infrastructure, and institutional readiness and support**. Although these variables are not treated as central constructs, they are understood as influential contextual conditions that shape the effectiveness of AI-driven mobile learning platforms.

Framework Synthesis

In summary, the proposed conceptual framework suggests that:

1. **AI-driven mobile learning platforms positively influence student engagement.**
2. **Student engagement mediates the relationship between AI-driven mobile learning platforms and academic performance.**
3. **Improvements in academic performance emerge through enhanced engagement rather than through technology exposure alone.**
4. **Contextual, technological, and learner-related factors moderate these relationships.**

This framework provides a coherent theoretical lens for synthesizing the literature and for examining how **interactive mobile learning technologies supported by AI** contribute to student engagement and academic performance in higher education.

Problem Statement

Despite the growing adoption of artificial intelligence in higher education, the relationship between **AI-driven mobile learning platforms**, student engagement, and academic performance remains insufficiently synthesized in the existing literature. Current research provides increasing evidence that AI-supported educational technologies can enhance personalization, adaptive support, and learning efficiency. However, findings remain fragmented across different contexts, technologies, and outcome measures, making it difficult to develop a coherent understanding of how these platforms influence student learning in practice.

A major gap in the literature is that many studies examine AI in education within broad digital or online learning frameworks without clearly distinguishing the specific role of interactive mobile learning environments. As mobile technologies become increasingly central to higher education, students now engage with learning content through flexible, continuous, and device-mediated interactions that differ significantly from traditional classroom or desktop-based settings. Yet, the ways in which AI-enabled mobile platforms shape behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement, and how these dimensions of engagement contribute to academic performance, are still not adequately integrated in review-based scholarship.

In addition, the literature reports mixed and context-dependent findings regarding the effectiveness of AI-supported learning systems. While some studies highlight improvements in achievement, persistence, and learner engagement, others point to inconsistent outcomes shaped by factors such as digital literacy, mobile usability, instructional design quality, and institutional readiness. This inconsistency suggests that the educational value of AI-driven mobile learning platforms cannot be understood through isolated findings alone and instead requires a more systematic synthesis of recent evidence.

Accordingly, there is a clear need for a focused secondary analysis that examines how **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** influence student engagement and academic performance in higher education. By synthesizing recent research, the present study seeks to clarify the mechanisms through which AI-supported mobile learning environments affect learning outcomes, identify key moderating factors, and address conceptual and empirical gaps in the literature. In doing so, the study responds to the need for a more coherent and evidence-based understanding of the pedagogical role of AI within **interactive mobile learning technologies**.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a **systematic literature review design** supported by **thematic synthesis** to examine the impact of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** on student engagement and academic performance in higher education. This design was selected because it enables the structured integration of findings from previously published research and supports the identification of recurring patterns, conceptual relationships, and research gaps across diverse educational contexts.

Rather than relying on primary data collection, the study synthesized peer-reviewed evidence from existing academic literature. To enhance methodological transparency and rigor, the review process was guided by a **PRISMA-informed screening procedure** (Page et al., 2021), including structured search, eligibility assessment, study selection, and systematic data extraction.

In addition, to extend the implications of the present review, a proposed questionnaire framework for future empirical validation was developed. However, no questionnaire was administered in the present study, and no primary data were collected. The proposed instrument is included only as a forward-looking framework to support future empirical research (see Appendices A-D).

Search Strategy and Data Sources

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across major academic databases commonly used in educational technology research, including:

- Scopus
- Web of Science
- ERIC
- ScienceDirect
- SpringerLink
- Google Scholar

The search focused primarily on studies published between **2022 and 2025**, while a limited number of earlier foundational studies were retained where necessary to provide theoretical grounding.

Keyword combinations were developed using Boolean operators to ensure alignment with the review focus. Examples of search strings included:

- "Artificial Intelligence" AND "mobile learning"
- "AI-driven mobile learning platforms" AND "student engagement"
- "adaptive learning" AND "academic performance"
- "learning analytics" AND "higher education"
- "interactive mobile technologies" AND "educational outcomes"

The search strategy was refined iteratively to improve relevance and to capture studies explicitly addressing AI-supported mobile or digitally mediated learning environments.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to ensure consistency and rigor in study selection. The criteria used in the review are summarized in **Table 1**.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses
- Studies focusing on AI-driven or AI-supported learning platforms
- Studies addressing student engagement, academic performance, or both
- Publications written in English
- Studies situated in higher education, mobile learning, online learning, or blended learning contexts
- Studies providing sufficient methodological and analytical detail

Exclusion Criteria:

- Non-peer-reviewed publications, blogs, editorials, and opinion pieces
- Studies focused solely on technical AI development without educational application
- Research unrelated to learning outcomes or student engagement
- Duplicate records
- Outdated studies superseded by more recent evidence

Table 1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Criterion Type	Criteria
Inclusion	Peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses
Inclusion	Studies on AI-driven or AI-supported learning platforms
Inclusion	Studies examining student engagement, academic performance, or both
Inclusion	English-language studies in higher education, mobile, online, or blended learning contexts

Inclusion	Studies providing sufficient methodological and analytical detail
Exclusion	Non-peer-reviewed or opinion-based sources
Exclusion	Purely technical AI studies without educational application
Exclusion	Research unrelated to learning outcomes or student engagement
Exclusion	Duplicate records
Exclusion	Outdated studies superseded by newer evidence

Screening and Selection Process

The review followed a **multi-stage PRISMA-informed screening process**. First, records identified through database searching were compiled and duplicate records were removed. Second, titles and abstracts were screened to assess relevance to the study objectives. Third, full-text articles were reviewed to confirm eligibility based on the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Only studies demonstrating direct relevance to **AI-driven mobile learning platforms**, student engagement, and/or academic performance were retained for final analysis. This staged process reduced irrelevant inclusion and improved the coherence and quality of the final evidence base. A summary of the review flow is presented in the **PRISMA-Informed Review Flow** subsection below.

Data Extraction

A structured data extraction matrix was used to organize information from the included studies. The extraction template is presented in **Table 2**. For each study, the following elements were recorded:

- Author(s) and publication year
- Educational context and country
- Study design
- Type of AI tool or platform
- Relevance to mobile learning or interactive mobile technologies
- Student engagement outcomes
- Academic performance outcomes
- Reported moderating factors
- Key limitations

This process enabled consistent comparison across studies and supported the development of a systematic thematic synthesis.

Table 2. Data Extraction Matrix (Template Used for Included Studies)

Study	**Context**	**AI Tool/Platform**	**Mobile Relevance**	**Engagement Findings**	**Performance Findings**	**Moderating Factors**
Author, Year	Higher education / online / blended learning	Adaptive platform / ITS / learning analytics / AI tutor	High / Moderate / Low	Behavioral / Cognitive / Emotional	Achievement / completion / gains / persistence	Literacy / usability / instructional design / readiness

Data Analysis

The analysis followed a **thematic synthesis approach**. Extracted findings were coded and grouped into recurring analytical categories to identify patterns across the literature. The thematic coding structure used in the review is summarized in **Table 3**.

The synthesis focused on four core domains:

1. Types of AI-driven mobile learning platforms and their major functionalities
2. Dimensions of student engagement (behavioral, cognitive, and emotional)
3. Indicators of academic performance (e.g., achievement, course completion, persistence, and learning gains)
4. Moderating factors affecting platform effectiveness (e.g., digital literacy, mobile usability, instructional design, and institutional readiness)

Rather than conducting a statistical meta-analysis, the review emphasized conceptual integration, cross-study comparison, and the interpretation of convergent and divergent findings.

Table 3. Thematic Coding Framework

Theme	Description
AI Functionalities	Adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring, analytics, personalized feedback
Student Engagement	Behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement
Academic Performance	Achievement, learning gains, persistence, and completion
Moderating Factors	Digital literacy, mobile usability, instructional design, and institutional readiness

Quality Appraisal

To improve the trustworthiness of the synthesis, the included studies were reviewed in relation to:

- methodological clarity
- relevance to the review focus
- adequacy of analysis
- consistency between findings and conclusions

This appraisal process helped ensure that the synthesis relied on studies with sufficient academic quality and interpretive value.

Proposed Questionnaire Framework for Future Empirical Validation

To extend the implications of the present review, a proposed questionnaire instrument was developed as a framework for future empirical validation (see **Appendix A**). The instrument was designed to capture students' perceptions of AI-driven mobile learning platforms across key dimensions, including perceived usefulness, mobile accessibility, behavioral engagement, cognitive engagement, emotional engagement, and perceived academic performance.

The proposed questionnaire is not part of the present dataset and was **not administered in the current study**. Instead, it is included solely as a recommendation for future primary research. The questionnaire dimensions are summarized in **Table 4**, while the full instrument is presented in **Appendix A**. The related constructs and operational definitions are provided in **Appendix B**, and the proposed validity, reliability, and hypothesis framework are presented in **Appendices C** and **D**.

Responses to the proposed instrument may be structured using a **five-point Likert scale** ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree.

Table 4. Proposed Questionnaire Dimensions for Future Validation

Dimension	Example Focus
Perceived Usefulness	Whether AI-driven mobile platforms improve learning effectiveness

Ease of Use / Mobile Access	Accessibility, navigation, and convenience across devices
Behavioral Engagement	Participation, frequency of use, and task completion
Cognitive Engagement	Attention, effort, learning strategies, and deep processing
Emotional Engagement	Motivation, interest, satisfaction, and confidence
Perceived Academic Performance	Improvement in understanding, grades, persistence, and achievement

Proposed Hypotheses for Future Empirical Validation

To guide future empirical investigation, the study proposes the following hypotheses:

H1. Perceived usefulness of AI-driven mobile learning platforms is positively associated with student engagement.

H2. Student engagement is positively associated with perceived academic performance in AI-supported mobile learning environments.

H3. Perceived ease of use and mobile accessibility are positively associated with student engagement.

H4. AI-driven mobile learning platforms are perceived to contribute positively to academic performance through enhanced student engagement.

The full hypothesis set is restated in **Appendix D** for ease of reference.

Proposed Validity Procedure

For future empirical validation, the proposed questionnaire may be reviewed by a panel of experts in educational technology, higher education, and research methodology to assess **content relevance, clarity, and construct alignment**. Revisions may then be made based on expert feedback to improve item wording, conceptual coverage, and overall instrument quality.

In addition, a pilot administration may be conducted to assess item clarity and response consistency before full-scale implementation. Further details are provided in **Appendix C**.

Proposed Reliability Procedure

For future empirical testing, the internal consistency of the proposed questionnaire may be assessed using **Cronbach's alpha** for each construct and for the overall scale. A reliability coefficient of 0.70 or above may be considered acceptable for exploratory educational research (Taber, 2018), while higher values may indicate stronger internal consistency across the proposed dimensions. This proposed reliability plan is outlined in **Appendix C**.

Ethical Considerations

This study was based exclusively on previously published academic literature and did not involve human participants, personal data collection, or experimental intervention. Therefore, **ethical approval was not required**.

The proposed questionnaire framework was included only as a conceptual extension for future research and was not administered in the present study.

Methodological Limitations

As a secondary review, the study depends on the quality, scope, and reporting standards of the existing literature. Variability in study designs, definitions of engagement, measurement tools, and educational contexts may limit direct comparability across included studies. In addition, the restriction to English-language publications may have excluded relevant evidence published in other languages.

PRISMA-Informed Review Flow

The study followed a PRISMA-informed review procedure to improve transparency in study identification (Page et al., 2021), screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion. Records were first identified through database searches, then screened after duplicate removal. Titles and

abstracts were reviewed for relevance, followed by full-text assessment against the predefined eligibility criteria. Only studies meeting the conceptual and methodological requirements of the review were retained for thematic synthesis.

The proposed questionnaire, construct definitions, validity and reliability plan, and future hypotheses are provided in Appendices A-D.

Conclusion

This study examined the impact of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** on student engagement and academic performance through a comprehensive synthesis of recent secondary literature. The reviewed evidence indicates that artificial intelligence has become a transformative force in educational technology, particularly within **mobile, online, and blended learning environments**. Across diverse studies, AI-supported mobile learning platforms consistently demonstrate strong potential to enhance learning experiences through personalization, adaptive support, real-time responsiveness, and data-informed instructional practices.

The synthesis suggests that the influence of AI on academic performance is largely indirect, operating primarily through its ability to strengthen **student engagement**. Behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement emerged as central mechanisms explaining how AI-enabled mobile learning environments contribute to improved educational outcomes. Adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring functions, learning analytics, and personalized feedback were repeatedly identified as high-impact features that support active participation, self-regulation, continuity of learning, and sustained motivation, all of which are closely linked to stronger academic performance.

At the same time, the literature highlights that the effectiveness of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** is not uniform across contexts. Factors such as digital literacy, mobile usability, instructional design quality, and institutional readiness significantly shape the extent to which students benefit from AI-supported learning environments. These findings reinforce the view that technology alone does not ensure better outcomes; meaningful educational impact depends on pedagogically grounded, context-sensitive, and ethically responsible implementation.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes by consolidating fragmented findings into a more coherent framework linking **AI-driven mobile learning platforms**, student engagement, and academic performance. By emphasizing engagement as a mediating construct, the study offers a clearer explanation of the mechanisms through which AI-supported mobile learning influences educational outcomes and supports a more learner-centered understanding of technology integration in higher education.

From a practical perspective, the findings suggest that effective AI integration should prioritize **engagement-oriented mobile learning design**, strengthen students' digital and mobile learning competencies, and be supported by institutional strategies that promote transparency, accessibility, sustainability, and responsible data governance. The review further indicates that the educational value of AI is maximized when mobile learning environments are designed not only for technical efficiency but also for meaningful interaction, flexibility, and sustained learner support.

As this study is based exclusively on secondary evidence, future research should extend this field through **longitudinal, mixed-method, and empirical investigations** that clarify causal relationships, test contextual variability, and examine how students experience AI-supported mobile learning in practice. Continued scholarly attention to the intersection of **artificial intelligence, student engagement, academic performance, and interactive mobile**

technologies remains essential as mobile and intelligent systems increasingly shape the future of higher education.

In this respect, the study contributes to ongoing scholarly discussions on **interactive mobile technologies in higher education** by positioning AI-driven mobile learning platforms within a broader dialogue that connects technological innovation with pedagogical practice, learner engagement, and institutional responsibility.

Recommendations

Based on the synthesized literature, the following recommendations are proposed to support the effective integration of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** and to maximize their impact on student engagement and academic performance in higher education:

1. **Prioritize Engagement-Oriented Mobile Learning Design**
   Educational institutions and instructional designers should develop **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** that explicitly support behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement. Features such as adaptive tasks, interactive mobile learning activities, real-time feedback, and personalized prompts should be aligned with pedagogical goals rather than implemented as purely technical additions.
2. Integrate AI Within Pedagogically Sound Mobile Learning Frameworks
   AI technologies should be embedded within well-structured instructional models to ensure meaningful learning outcomes. AI-supported mobile platforms should complement rather than replace effective teaching practices and should promote active learning, self-regulation, flexibility, and higher-order thinking across mobile and blended learning contexts.
3. **Strengthen Digital Literacy, Mobile Learning Skills, and AI Readiness**
   Institutions should invest in developing digital literacy, **mobile learning competence**, and AI readiness among both students and instructors. Targeted training and professional development can improve users' ability to interpret analytics, engage effectively with adaptive systems, and use AI-supported mobile tools in ways that enhance learning.
4. **Enhance Institutional Readiness and Technical Support**
   Successful adoption of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** requires appropriate infrastructure, reliable connectivity, technical support, and clear implementation strategies. Higher education institutions should establish institutional policies and operational plans that support scalable, accessible, and sustainable integration of AI-enabled mobile learning environments.
5. Ensure Ethical, Transparent, and Responsible AI Use
   Institutional leaders and policymakers should develop governance frameworks that emphasize transparency, fairness, accountability, and data protection. Ethical concerns, such as privacy risks, algorithmic bias, learner surveillance, and unequal access to mobile technologies, should be addressed proactively through clear policy, oversight, and responsible design practices.
6. **Promote Data-Informed and Responsive Instructional Practices**
   Learning analytics generated by AI-supported mobile platforms should be used to inform instructional decisions and targeted learner support. Educators should be supported in interpreting analytics meaningfully and in translating data insights into practical pedagogical actions that improve engagement and academic progress.
7. **Support Longitudinal, Context-Sensitive, and Empirical Research**
   Future research should prioritize longitudinal, mixed-method, and context-sensitive

designs to examine the long-term effects of **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** on student engagement and academic performance. Comparative studies across disciplines, institutional settings, and cultural contexts would provide deeper insight into how mobile and AI-supported learning functions under different conditions.

8. **Advance Evidence-Based Policy and Strategic Planning** Institutional and national policies concerning AI adoption in higher education should be guided by synthesized research evidence, including systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and future empirical studies. Evidence-based decision-making can help ensure that **interactive mobile technologies** and AI-driven innovations contribute to educational quality, equity, accessibility, and sustainable improvement

References

Al Mashagbeh, M., Al-Husban, N., & Al-Masaeed, S. (2025). Student engagement with artificial intelligence tools in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. Frontiers in Education, 10, 1328457.

Alrawashdeh, G. S., Al-Qatawneh, S., & Al-Shboul, M. (2024). Exploring the impact of personalized and adaptive learning on student engagement and academic performance in higher education. Heliyon, 10(4), e24531.

Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marin, V. I., & Handel, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education: Mapping the landscape of empirical research. Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4, 100117.

Chong, K. T., Ibrahim, N., Huspi, S. H., Kadir, W. M. N. W., & Isa, M. A. (2025). A systematic review of machine learning techniques for predicting student engagement in higher education online learning. Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 24, 109-155.

Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A systematic review of pedagogical applications. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(4), 2055-2078.

Fredricks, J. A., Filsecker, M., & Lawson, M. A. (2019). Student engagement, context, and adjustment. Educational Psychologist, 54(1), 1-20.

Garzon, J. (2025). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review of empirical research. Education Sciences, 15(1), 45.

Hocine, N., Gouarderes, G., & Bourdeau, J. (2025). Adaptive learning systems in digital education. Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence, 6, 100213.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Huang, X. (2025). Effects of intelligent tutoring systems on academic achievement. Computers & Education, 203, 104839.

Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2024). Learning analytics and artificial intelligence. British Journal of Educational Technology, 55(1), 1-17.

Johar, N. A., Kew, S. N., Tasir, Z., & Koh, E. (2023). Learning analytics on student engagement to enhance students' learning performance: A systematic review. Sustainability, 15(10), 7849.

Khosravi, H., Cooper, K., & Kitto, K. (2024). Ethical considerations in AI-powered learning analytics systems. Computers & Education, 198, 104748.

Kulik, J. A., & Fletcher, J. D. (2013). Effectiveness of intelligent tutoring systems. Educational Psychologist, 48(1), 1-16.

Letourneau, A., Deslandes Martineau, M., Charland, P., Karran, J. A., Boasen, J., & Leger, P.-M. (2025). A systematic review of AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) in K-12 education. npj Science of Learning, 10(1), 29.

Naveed, Q. N., Choudhary, H., Ahmad, N., Alqahtani, J., & Qahmash, A. I. (2023). Mobile learning in higher education: A systematic literature review. Sustainability, 15(18), 13566. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813566

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hrobjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L. A., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Pan, Z., Biegley, L., Taylor, A., & Zheng, H. (2024). A systematic review of learning analytics: Incorporated instructional interventions on learning management systems. Journal of Learning Analytics, 11, 52-72.

Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). AI in education. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26(2), 582-599.

Singh, A., Singh, H. P., & Alam, M. F. (2026). The impact of AI tools enabled student engagement on academic performance, employability, and empowerment in Ha'il Region, Saudi Arabia. Acta Psychologica, 262, 106103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.106103

Spector, J. M. (2024). Conceptualizing AI in educational technology. Educational Technology & Society, 27(2), 1-13.

Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of Cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research instruments in science education. Research in Science Education, 48(6), 1273-1296. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2

U.S. Department of Education. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the future of teaching and learning.

Vieriu, A. M., & Petrea, G. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on students' academic development. Education Sciences, 15(3), 343.

Xiaoyu, W. (2025). Generative AI in pedagogy. Cogent Education, 12(1), 2341123.

Yaseen, H., Mohammad, A. S., Ashal, N., Abusaimeh, H., Ali, A., & Sharabati, A.-A. A. (2025). The impact of adaptive learning technologies, personalized feedback, and interactive AI tools on student engagement: The moderating role of digital literacy. Sustainability, 17(3), 1133.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marin, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education - where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16, 39.

Appendix A. Proposed Questionnaire for Future Empirical Validation

Proposed Questionnaire: AI-Driven Mobile Learning Platforms, Student Engagement, and Academic Performance

Purpose of the Instrument

This proposed questionnaire is designed for future empirical research to examine students' perceptions of how **AI-driven mobile learning platforms** influence **student engagement** and **academic performance** in higher education. The instrument is suggested as a follow-up tool to extend the findings of the present systematic review and was **not administered in the current study**.

Response Format

Participants may respond to the following statements using a **five-point Likert scale**:

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Neutral
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly Agree

Section A. Perceived Usefulness of AI-Driven Mobile Learning Platforms

1. AI-driven mobile learning platforms help me learn more effectively.
2. These platforms improve my ability to understand course content.
3. AI-supported mobile learning makes my learning experience more personalized.

4. The use of AI in mobile learning helps me identify my learning weaknesses.

Section B. Perceived Ease of Use and Mobile Accessibility

5. AI-driven mobile learning platforms are easy to use.
6. I can access learning materials easily through mobile devices.
7. The platform interface is clear and user-friendly.
8. Mobile access allows me to continue learning anytime and anywhere.

Section C. Behavioral Engagement

9. I regularly participate in learning activities through the platform.
10. I complete assigned learning tasks more consistently when using the platform.
11. AI-supported mobile learning encourages me to spend more time on academic activities.
12. I interact more frequently with course materials when using the platform.

Section D. Cognitive Engagement

13. The platform encourages me to think more deeply about what I am learning.
14. AI-based feedback helps me improve my learning strategies.
15. The platform helps me stay focused on learning tasks.
16. I make greater effort to understand difficult content when using the platform.

Section E. Emotional Engagement

17. I feel more motivated to learn when using AI-driven mobile learning platforms.
18. I find learning through the platform interesting and engaging.
19. The platform increases my confidence in completing academic tasks.
20. I feel more satisfied with my learning experience when using the platform.

Section F. Perceived Academic Performance

21. Using the platform helps me improve my academic performance.
22. The platform helps me achieve better results in assessments or coursework.
23. AI-driven mobile learning supports my progress toward course completion.
24. I believe the platform contributes positively to my overall academic achievement.

Section G. Open-Ended Items (Optional)

25. In what ways does the AI-driven mobile learning platform support your learning?
26. What challenges do you face when using AI-driven mobile learning platforms?
27. What improvements would you recommend to make these platforms more effective?

Note

This questionnaire is proposed as a framework for future empirical validation and was not administered as part of the present study. It is included to support future research that may test the relationships identified in the current systematic review.

Appendix B. Proposed Constructs and Operational Definitions

Table B1. Constructs and Operational Definitions

Construct	**Operational Definition**	**Example Indicators**
Perceived Usefulness	The extent to which students believe that AI-driven mobile learning platforms improve learning effectiveness	Better understanding, improved learning efficiency, personalized support
Ease of Use / Mobile Accessibility	The extent to which students perceive the platform as easy to access and use through mobile devices	Clear interface, convenient navigation, anytime/anywhere access
Behavioral Engagement	Observable participation in learning activities through the platform	Frequency of use, task completion, active participation

Cognitive Engagement	The degree of mental effort and strategic learning investment	Attention, deeper thinking, persistence with difficult content
Emotional Engagement	Students' affective connection to the learning experience	Motivation, interest, satisfaction, confidence
Perceived Academic Performance	Students' perception of improvement in learning outcomes	Better grades, improved understanding, progress, course completion

Table B2. Construct-to-Item Mapping

Construct	Item Numbers
Perceived Usefulness	1–4
Ease of Use / Mobile Accessibility	5–8
Behavioral Engagement	9–12
Cognitive Engagement	13–16
Emotional Engagement	17–20
Perceived Academic Performance	21–24
Open-Ended Feedback	25–27

Appendix C. Proposed Validity and Reliability Plan

Proposed Content Validity

For future empirical implementation, the instrument may be submitted to a panel of specialists in educational technology, higher education, and research methodology. Experts may be invited to review the questionnaire items in terms of:

- clarity of wording
- relevance to the intended construct
- appropriateness for higher education contexts
- alignment with the conceptual framework of the study

Based on expert feedback, revisions may be made to refine wording, remove ambiguity, and improve content coverage.

Proposed Pilot Testing

Before any full empirical administration, the instrument may be piloted with a small sample of students to assess:

- clarity of instructions
- comprehensibility of items
- response consistency
- estimated completion time

Pilot feedback may be used to revise the instrument before broader implementation.

Proposed Reliability Assessment

For future empirical testing, the internal consistency of each construct may be examined using **Cronbach's alpha**. Reliability may be calculated for:

- each individual construct
- the full questionnaire scale

A Cronbach's alpha coefficient of **0.70 or above** may be considered acceptable for exploratory educational research.

Appendix D. Proposed Hypotheses for Future Empirical Validation

H1. Perceived usefulness of AI-driven mobile learning platforms is positively associated with student engagement.

H2. Student engagement is positively associated with perceived academic performance in AI-supported mobile learning environments.

H3. Perceived ease of use and mobile accessibility are positively associated with student engagement.

H4. AI-driven mobile learning platforms are perceived to contribute positively to academic performance through enhanced student engagement.