Journal of Posthumanism
2024
Volume: 4, No: 2, pp. 2270–2290
ISSN: 2634-3576 (Print) | ISSN 2634-3584 (Online)
posthumanism.co.uk

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v4i2.3789

Public Health Approaches to Infection Control in Intensive Care Units

Menawer Sayaah Menawer Alenazi[1], Hind Atallah Ramadan Alanazi[2], Alhanoof Atallah Ramadan Alanazi[3], Mona Abdulrhman Ali Alharbi[4], Manal Khairallah A. Alshammari[5], Ali Hamod Haidar Mokeli[6], Samer Alhassan Salwi Homdi[7], Essa Saad Mutlaq Alharbi[8], Alhassan Hassan Arar Mawkili[9], Yahya Ali Mohammed Refaei[10], Hanan Ali Mohammed Refaei[11]

Abstract

Intensive Care Units (ICUs) are critical care areas with increased infection control requirements as they have populations particularly vulnerable to Health-Care Associated Infections (HCAIs). Prevention of these infections is difficult due to patient comorbidities, antimicrobial use, and increased contact with healthcare workers. A public health approach to infection control uses the control of transmission as an exemplar to demonstrate how a population focus can benefit infection control and prevention in ICUs, extending the scope of practice for nurses. Infection control is a field involved in defining and managing risk factors for infection and is regarded as a key method to interrupt HCAIs in ICUs, emphasizing the need for extractable generalizable principles and avoidance of facility reliance (Datta et al., 2014). Both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and World Health Organization (WHO) provide general guidelines on infection control with a population focus. The role for nursing in ICUs includes remaining up-to-date with these guidelines and emphasizing leadership and advocacy roles to benefit patients by implementing effective infection control strategies (see subsequent sections for details of nursing responsibilities). Environmental analyses offer the potential to help reduce the risk of HCAIs in ICUs by indentifying locations with a greater risk of contamination. The use of diagnostics and autopsies, frequently under-utilized in developing countries where risk is often higher, also provides the opportunity to improve patient safety for individuals with HCAIs. Given these challenges, large-scale multicentre studies are required to determine the extent of HCAIs in these regions and to encourage the implementation of basic infection control measures. In India, specific problems are complicated by the increased incidence of infections within the community, which leads to the rapid colonization of resistant bacteria following admission to an ICU. Efforts to decrease morbidity and mortality also need to address the wider community and historical National Laboratory Surveillance data suggest a current increase in antibiotic resistance across Europe. In the ICU, the importance of antimicrobial stewardship and the primary cause of excess mortality underscore the need for continued antibiotic development. The example of European influenza points to the lasting effects of staffing and healthcare provision on HCAI in ICUs.

Keywords: *Health-Care Associated Infection, Epidemiology, Infection Control, Public Health.*

Introduction

[1] Public Health, Al-Jabr of the Eyes, Nose, Ear and Throat Hospital, Alahsa Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[2] Nursing Technician, Riyadh Specialized Dental Center, Second Health Cluster, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[3] Nursing Technician, Second Health Cluster in Center Region, Qurtubah Primary Health Care Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[4] Nursing Technician, Second Health Cluster, Qurtubah Primary Health Care Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[5] Nursing Technician, Riyadh Specialized Dental Center, Second Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[6] Epidemiologist, Center for Vector Control and Common Diseases, Ministry of Health Jazan Branch
[7] Epidemiologist, Community Wellness, Alahsa Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[8] Epidemiologist ،Community Wellness, Alahsa Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[9] Epidemiologist, Center for Vector Control and Common Diseases, Ministry of Health Jazan Branch
[10] Epidemiologist, Executive Directorate of Community and Public Health, Riyadh Health Second Cluster, Saudi Arabia
[11] Nursing Technician, Prince Mohammed bin Nasser Hospital, Jazan Health Cluster, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Intensive care units (ICUs) are critical spaces within hospitals dedicated to managing patients with life-threatening conditions. Patients admitted to ICUs are particularly vulnerable and at an elevated risk of acquiring infections (Gaudart et al., 2013). Infection-control measures comprise policies, procedures, and activities aimed at preventing the spread of infections among patients and healthcare workers (HWs). These prevention strategies encompass sterilization of instruments, barrier techniques, isolation of infected patients, and various other precautionary practices designed to ensure both HW and patient safety (Sharma et al., 2020). Infection control represents a significant public health concern worldwide, including in South Africa, where intensive care facilities may not always align with private-sector standards (Mahomed et al., 2017). Applying public health frameworks thus offers a valuable approach to infection-control programs.

Public health incorporates aspects of clinical medicine, sanitation, nutrition, and other considerations affecting the health of individuals and communities. One expression of these concerns is epidemiology, the science that studies the patterns, causes, and effects of diseases in defined populations.

2. Overview of Infection Control

Infection control encompasses policies and procedures employed in healthcare to minimize the risk of spreading infections and to protect healthcare workers, patients, and visitors. The emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003 underscored the critical importance of effective infection control measures to curb outbreaks and further transmission. The practice of infection prevention and control dates back to the 19th century, with Florence Nightingale pioneering techniques to limit infections and reduce the mortality rate during the Crimean War; during this era, the mortality rate for wounded soldiers approximated 42%. In 1877, Ignaz Semmelweis established the association between hand hygiene and reduced mortality among postpartum women, representing the first epidemiologic study demonstrating that handwashing could control infectious transmission. Over the past several decades, a multitude of infection control strategies and practices have been developed and implemented worldwide to mitigate the risk of infection transmission among hospitalized and community-based patients (A. Goldrick, 2005).

2.1. Definition and Importance

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) represent an enduring problem in hospitals and public centres worldwide. These infections are caused by environmental pathogens or patients' endogenous flora, yet in intensive care units (ICUs), organisms are much more likely to be of environmental origin rather than endogenous. The hospital environment plays a pivotal role in the transmission of the microorganisms responsible for HAIs, especially in critical care contexts where intensive use of invasive procedures and extensive host vulnerabilities converge (Musu et al., 2017). Progress has been made to reduce HAI, but the overall prevalence in England decreased only slightly from 8.2 per 100 patients in 2006 to 6.4 per 100 patients in 2011, with a rise in ICUs. Some infections, such as Staphylococcus and Enterobacteriaceae, represent 21.3% and 32.4%, respectively, of reported HAI cases. HAI rates are also high across the globe (Gaudart et al., 2013). The persistently high HAI rates among ICU patients may be due to particularly

demanding environments that intensify opportunities for cross-transmission of contaminant organisms between patients, and to the high density of immunocompromised and immunosuppressed patients. Surfaces, ward design, hand hygiene, staff behaviours, and ward management all influence pathogen behaviour and consequent infection risk, but mechanisms are difficult to evaluate and even more challenging to link to infection risks. A rigorous evaluation of microbiological contamination variability offers the opportunity to assess patient exposure levels and to identify persistent reservoirs of microbial contamination, potentially aiding targeted infection control. Infection remains a key challenge of critical care, contributing to morbidity and mortality. Further reductions of nosocomial infection rates are possible with simple interventions. Antimicrobial resistance is a persistent threat, highlighting the need for judicious use of antimicrobials. Biomarkers of infection may facilitate more appropriate treatment decisions. Infections in the ICU therefore continue to be a major topic for ongoing research (Harbarth & Haustein, 2010).

2.2. Historical Perspectives

Infection control practices in the intensive care unit (ICU) environment are of paramount importance because these areas are caring for the sickest patients who are often immunocompromised and vulnerable to infection. Public health approaches provide the context and rationale for the practice of infection control in the ICU. The aim of infection control in the ICU is to manage a dynamic process and to ensure that the risk of infection is minimized and the quality of care to the patient is as safe as possible.

Infection control is the discipline concerned with preventing nosocomial or health-care-associated infection. An epidemiological perspective is foundational to the process. Infection control strategies may include risk assessment, application of sterilization processes, introduction of isolation procedures, surveillance and monitoring of outcome, and restriction of antimicrobial use. Health promotion can be addressed through awareness-raising activities and communication through formal guidelines or protocols. Guideline documentation is often provided by a recognized body—the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO) provide such support. Antibiotic resistance and accessibility of the necessary resources to undertake infection control measures are particularly challenging. A case history approach highlights an example of good practice and demonstrates how lessons may be learned from an outbreak in the critical care setting.

3. Public Health Frameworks

The World Health Organisation (Who) considers infection control to be a public health priority. Like many important issues, infection control can be addressed by public health frameworks. Concepts from a number of public health frameworks provide the rationale for the widespread implementation of institutional infection control policies, procedures, protocols and strategies. Three areas require consideration: concept development and theory maturation, epidemiological frameworks, and health promotion frameworks. Infection control policies and procedures reflect seminal concepts developed within a number of public health frameworks. Epidemiological concepts inform design and implementation of many control strategies and methods. Health promotion frameworks underpin development of training programmes and influence strategic

direction of control programmes.

Infection control policies and procedures provide guidance and structure to infection control programmes in accordance with local, regional and international standards issued by government organisations, authorised agencies and professional bodies. Considerable effort has been directed worldwide towards development of rigorous infection control standards, such as those of the U. S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organisation (Who). The aims of these standards are to ensure consistency of practice at a local level and compliance with recommended procedures and guidelines. In summary, greater understanding of the application of public health frameworks around the infection control issue provides a foundation upon which institutional infection control policies and procedures can be developed and implemented. Public health policy and standards continue to influence institutional infection control policies, which continue to influence programmes, which in turn direct practice. Institutional compliance with recommended practices, quality assurance reporting and documentation further inform public health policies and revision or development of the standards and guidelines that guide organisations worldwide. (S. Bryan et al., 2007) (Tan et al., 2023) (Millar, 2009)

3.1. Epidemiological Models

Epidemiological models form a fundamental element of public health approaches to infection control in intensive care units (ICUs). Such models are employed to investigate pathogen transmission dynamics, evaluate the role of environmental contamination, identify potential confounders, and assess the impact of various interventions (López-García & Kypraios, 2018). In hospital settings, distinguish three types of transmission models:

Environment model, which captures both direct and indirect transmission;

Department model, which represents direct transmission only;

Hospital model, which accounts solely for indirect transmission (C. Jackson et al., 2022).

The Department model is particularly suitable for ICU investigations.

Six contemporary approaches underpin infection control in ICUs:

 System models, which characterize the behaviour and dynamics of system components;

 Agent-based models, describing the behaviour of individual agents;

 Statistical models, which estimate the likelihood of an event occurring under specified conditions;

 Deterministic models, where outcomes are entirely determined by parameter values and initial conditions;

 Stochastic models, in which outcomes involve random elements;

 Compartmental models, which classify individuals according to criteria such as colonization or infection status.

3.2. Health Promotion Strategies

Health promotion in infection control encompasses a set of measures to avert the onset of ill health and to sustain well-being in at-risk populations (Millar, 2009). The WHO Health Promotion Glossary identified settings as the contexts where health promotion activities are carried out. These special places or social contexts provide the platform for creating experiential learning and receptive environments for behaviour change. Intensive-care units (ICUs) are health settings open to patients, nurses, and medical colleagues; nevertheless, the constant presence of a highly vulnerable patient warrants particular attention to the tension between working with patient safety and upholding the agency of nursing staff. High-quality UK care and training in support of a motivating environment and thus a stepping-stone for safer care and successful infection-control procedures are recognized sectorwide, with numerous descriptions both domestically and internationally (Mehta et al., 2014). Both the CDC and WHO identify a decisive role for nurses in ensuring that infection-control guidelines are disseminated and understood within ICUs, with the importance of a well-organized staff-training programme emphasized. Nurses are thus obliged to maintain their working environment in a clean and safe condition; to encourage effective sterilization and isolation measures; to provide a physical space conducive to easy and hygienic movement; to stay informed about current infection patterns and best practices; and to ensure that care remains consistent with both safety and quality within the ongoing clinical context. The continued application of health-promoting principles therefore figures centrally at all levels of infection-control strategy.

4. Infection Control Guidelines

In an intensive care unit (ICU), the major risk of nosocomial infections constitutes a significant problem for healthcare professionals, patients and the healthcare system as a whole. Public health approaches to infection control within a hospital setting are highly relevant to both policy and nursing practice. Nosocomial infections often extend hospital stays by four to 13 days, significantly increase treatment costs, and may lead to serious adverse patient outcomes, including death. (Mehta et al., 2014)

No single intervention can provide full protection against the acquisition of infections. Every healthcare professional must consistently apply the principles of infection control. The most effective interventions are those that can be applied consistently, across all groups of patients.

The Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) specify three components essential in an effective infection control program and provide a comprehensive set of guidelines and recommendations: – administrative measures designed to reduce risk; – infection prevention procedures, including personal hygiene, appropriate use of personal protective equipment, cleaning, disinfection and sterilization; – surveillance procedures to monitor and improve

effectiveness.

The World Health Organization (WHO) describes five key moments at which it is essential to practice hand hygiene and provides educational and training resources.

4.1. CDC Recommendations

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) seeks to protect the public against health, safety, and security threats. Within healthcare settings, a system approach addressing all sources and routes of transmission offers the best opportunity for overcoming the economic and health burden of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). National campaigns have directed efforts toward identifying and reliably implementing evidence-based infection prevention practices to reduce these infections (Mehta et al., 2014).

These recommendations use a letter indicating strength and a Roman numeral for the quality of evidence, based on scientific data, rationale, applicability, and economic impact. The GRADE system classifies recommendations as strong (grade 1) or weak (grade 2). Evidence sources include randomized controlled trials, well-designed clinical studies, expert opinions, and reports. Examples of intensive-care-unit (ICU) HAI prevention protocols include comprehensive programs and those targeting specific infections such as ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Guidelines from the World Health Organization (WHO) further elaborate on infection control in ICUs (Sharma et al., 2020).

Current guidelines provide a practical framework for adopting evidence-based measures to reduce HAIs in adult ICUs. ICU-specific examples illustrate strategies, and advice supports the adaptation of general practices to local conditions. Additional issues of implementation and research priorities remain applicable.

4.2. WHO Guidelines

WHO Guidelines The World Health Organization (WHO) utilizes the public health framework to control hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) that emerge as critical health issues worldwide. In August 2016, WHO released a core component framework to advance infection prevention and control, refining and updating earlier guidelines (Mehta et al., 2014). A comprehensive, optimal prophylaxis minimizes the use of personal protective equipment without conflicting with infection-control measures. WHO's guidelines serve as a companion to U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommendations, providing practical infection-control information where national guidance is lacking. Limited resources, a high burden of healthcare-associated infections, and a global rise in antimicrobial resistance hinder adoption of evidence-based practices in many countries. The guidelines recommend surveillance, source control, and treatment to reduce the risk of transmission in healthcare facilities. Numerous outbreaks in the past two decades highlight the significant role of the hospital environment in sustaining and amplifying outbreaks of tuberculosis, severe acute respiratory syndrome, and Middle East respiratory syndrome. Proper sterilization of medical devices and environmental maintenance form the backbone of strategies to minimize HAIs. The WHO framework outlines the public

health rationale behind these recommendations and offers a practical foundation for developing a national infection-prevention and control action plan.

5. Role of Nursing in Infection Control

Nurses play a pivotal role in implementing infection control programmes within health care settings. The foundation for nursing's role in surveillance and control of hospital infections was laid by Florence Nightingale during the Crimean War when sanitary improvements led to a significant reduction in mortality among wounded soldiers. Nurses are well-positioned to lead initiatives promoting safer work and care routines, therefore decreasing the risk of iatrogenic harm. To fulfil this role effectively, nurses must maintain current knowledge of infection prevention, serve as educators and facilitators for their teams, and support continuing education efforts. Higher education institutions contribute by providing learning spaces where students can develop critical thinking and professional skills. Ethical obligations further underscore nursing responsibilities: practitioners have a duty to protect the safety of patients, families and communities by preventing harm due to malpractice or negligence. Within hospital infection control teams, nurses are tasked with surveillance and prevention activities and are expected to act as role models. Empirical studies indicate that continuing education plays a decisive role in reducing nosocomial infections, and the individual performance of nurses remains central to the success of any infection control programme. Promotion of protective practices such as hand hygiene is a fundamental contribution, and nursing personnel play a vital role in encouraging the adoption of such behaviours (Garcia Dutra et al., 2015).

5.1. Nurse Responsibilities

The implementation of infection control programs depends on nursing personnel capable of applying the programs at the bedside. The dissemination of knowledge and clarification of the nursing role in infection control remain essential (Girgis Eskander et al., 2013). Training of all clinical staff must enable application of infection control policies. Critical care nurses are among the users and the hospital's most intensive-care consumers. Standard infection control precautions are fundamental to these programs.

5.2. Training and Education

The complexity of infection control, particularly the prevention of multidrug-resistant organisms and healthcare-associated infections, necessitates ongoing training and education for the healthcare workforce. Infection-control nurses serve as the backbone of hospital hygiene management and require continuous updates to maintain effective practice. Participatory, team- and task-based strategies facilitate the transfer of the latest knowledge into clinical care, extending to home environments and informing policy decisions during pandemics. Infection-control link physicians are mandated to attend basic courses and regular updates for the implementation of preventive measures, and hospital leadership often retains overall responsibility for infection control tasks. Regular interprofessional case discussions and continuous education—including meetings and congresses—are vital, but additional instruction or consultation may be necessary based on the scope of daily duties (Barre et al., 2022).

An educational concept tailored to the complexity of modifying professional practices was developed through an interdisciplinary collaboration among health professionals, epidemiologists and educators. Such a programme recognizes that while guidelines may exist, successful implementation remains challenging. The approach combines professionalization and organizational development in a participatory format, integrating scientific knowledge with social negotiation to achieve sustainable change. The Nigeria Centre for Disease Control and the Robert Koch Institute exemplify this collaboration aimed at altering clinical procedures by addressing both individual competencies and systemic factors (Zocher et al., 2019).

Evaluating programme effectiveness necessitates a comprehensive assessment tool encompassing key dimensions of infection control. Excluding items irrelevant to specific contexts, such an instrument measures areas including hand hygiene, employee safety, control of intravascular catheter infections, urinary tract infection management, pneumonia prevention, isolation procedures, and disinfection and sterilization management. Each domain is rated on a 5-point scale, yielding overall reliability coefficients of 0.962 prior to and 0.965 after training, thereby confirming the tool's stability and sensitivity for monitoring awareness improvements (Young Jeong & Son Kim, 2023).

6. Environmental Considerations

Sterilisation of surfaces, equipment and medications, and isolation of infected patients are critical methods to reduce the risk of microbial transmission in ICUs (Russotto et al., 2015). In some cases, these procedures are required by evidence-based guidelines rather than common sense alone, because many microorganisms are able to survive on surfaces for hours or days, and exposure to environmental contamination is a frequent route of ICU acquisition. In addition, many patients in ICU require occlusive dressings over central venous access sites, open surgical wounds or faecal incontinence, which substantially increases the frequency with which surfaces are touched and contaminated.

The contamination of inanimate surfaces and fomites in ICU is an important, but often overlooked, route of acquisition of pathogenic microorganisms by patients. Frequently touched surfaces, or hand-touch sites, play a significant role in this process, as microbial contamination of the local environment can readily be transmitted to the patients closely associated with that space, the patient zone, and their respective healthcare worker through hand–surface contact. This process is particularly evident when contaminated hands from a patient in their immediate vicinity touch the same object. Many organisms associated with healthcare-associated infections are able to survive in the ICU environment for prolonged periods, and failure to wipe surfaces immediately prior to patient contact is an important omission in the transmission pathway. High-contact surfaces in ICU can become recontaminated within hours of cleaning and repeated contamination cycles can sustain the presence of these microorganisms.

6.1. Sterilization Practices

Sterilization of medical equipment is a critical component of infection control in ICUs. In the ICU setting, reusable medical equipment that is clean and sterile must be stored in a designated area separate from soiled equipment. Medical equipment that becomes soiled during patient care

must be reprocessed as soon as possible. Equipment that enters a sterile body cavity must be sterilized prior to use unless the instrument is designed for single use only (Mehta et al., 2014). There are three categories of sterilization: critical, semi-critical, and non-critical equipment. These categories are determined on the basis of whether the item enters sterile body areas or contacts mucous membranes. Critical items come into contact with sterile sites and hence require sterilization that destroys all forms of microbial life. Semi-critical equipment contacts intact mucous membranes; it requires disinfection that kills vegetative forms of micro-organisms but not necessarily all bacterial spores. Non-critical items contact intact skin and may be disinfected using low-level disinfectants. The effectiveness of these sterilization and disinfection practices must be monitored regularly to ensure their adequacy in controlling infection in ICUs. In addition to equipment, material that enters the ICU should be sterilized. Likewise, food entering the unit should be sterilized, as ICU patients are usually intubated and at risk of aspiration (Russotto et al., 2015). Separate equipment should be designated to individual patients for specialized therapeutic purposes to reduce infection risks.

6.2. Isolation Procedures

The role of isolation procedures in Intensive Care Units (ICUs) is equally important as medication and nursing procedures. Patients with compromised immunity must be protected against pathogens introduced from other sites by stringent isolation measures. Universally accepted procedures for sterilizing reusable instruments and isolating patients are well established to prevent hospital-associated infections. Many of the guidelines and recommendations emanate from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). Infection control practices are concerned with environmental, personnel, and patient-related aspects, and procedures are routinely followed for maintaining a clean and sterile environment to reduce infection risks.

Any disease or failure of instrumentation can increase the patients' length of stay in ICUs. The consequent increase in treatment sessions and utilization of antimicrobials increases the cost of hospitalization. Thus, the incidence of hospital infections has become an indicator for assessing patient safety and the quality of care. Regular risk assessment of the hospital environment and close monitoring of outcomes will help reduce the risk of hospital-acquired infections. Additionally, the growing decrease in the effectiveness of antimicrobials calls for rational antimicrobial policies in hospitals. Training in infection control should be made available periodically for all health-care workers.

7. Patient Safety and Quality of Care

Hospital infection control focuses on mitigating risks to patients, families, personnel, and visitors. Patient safety and quality of care are central to all quality improvement initiatives (Meddings et al., 2020). Since the mid-1990s, healthcare-associated infections (HCAI) have received widespread attention. Substantial progress has been made in reducing these risks, but prevalence remains significant, especially in intensive care units (ICUs). Certain infections013 such as Staphylococcus sp. and Enterobacteriaceae013 remain challenging and account for a substantial proportion of HCAI (Gaudart et al., 2013). The environment can serve as a reservoir for pathogenic microbes, and monitoring and managing environmental contamination is still a major

obstacle. Understanding the spatial variability of microbiological contamination provides a tool to target infection control efforts and reduce environmental sources of transmission. This section examines the role of risk assessment and outcome monitoring. Although estimates of healthcare risk vary by context, they are valuable indicators of the relative effectiveness of infection control programmes within specific institutional or national contexts. Patient safety and quality-of-care objectives guide the subsequent discussion of antimicrobial stewardship.

7.1. Risk Assessment

Patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs) are at increased risk of acquiring nosocomial infections and of dying there. Mobility, infection status at admission, coma, and prior antibiotic administration are independent risk factors for acquiring infection in ICU (Haddadi et al., 2013). The rate of infection and mortality rises with total colonisation pressure.

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) are an emerging problem estimated to affect over 1.4 million people worldwide at any time. It is well established that hands of healthcare workers are the main route of cross-transmission of potentially pathogenic bacteria among patients. In intensive care units, where invasive procedures are widely utilised, this is particularly crucial (Musu et al., 2017). Observations show that the overall adherence to hand hygiene procedures varied considerably, with the lowest compliance occurring after patient contact and after glove removal.

7.2. Monitoring Outcomes

The HHS Hospital National Quality Measures Report provides benchmark infection rates by hospital size and teaching intensity, yet such data must be interpreted cautiously given the high variance and complex epidemiological factors that contribute to infection rates. Currently, there is no widely accepted quality indicator for infection control in intensive care units (ICUs). Given that the primary objective of infection control is to reduce infection rates among patients when an ICU is not outbreak prone, process indicators are likely to be more useful for monitoring and evaluating infection control programmes—particularly in conjunction with outcome indicators at MACRO level, defined by (Cherifi et al., 2013) as the rate of hospital-acquired infections (HAI) and the rate of HAI from non-filtered dermatological flora. Cherifi and colleagues undertook a multicentre study to assess the impact of an intensive care unit central line associated blood stream infection (CLABSI) injection control programme using auditing and performance feedback. They concluded that behavioural parameters—along with process and outcome indicators—need to be monitored to evaluate the benefits of any new infection control programme. Their findings underscore the importance of simultaneously measuring top–down and ground–up strategies to influence the success of infection control initiatives, and they point to a need for further research to identify the most effective approaches for changing professional behaviour and promoting sustainable adherence to evidence-based CLABSI prevention practices.

8. Antimicrobial Stewardship

Prolonged outbreaks of multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter

baumannii in intensive care units were linked to contaminated sinks, ultra-filtrate bags, and bronchofiberoscopy procedures. These outbreaks underscore the importance of infection control and antimicrobial stewardship. Multimodal antimicrobial stewardship programs, encompassing feedback, education, and electronic records, have been shown to improve antibiotic use and clinical outcomes in critically ill patients thus reducing the frequency of nosocomial infections and limiting antimicrobial resistance. Optimization of antimicrobial treatment by culture site and enhancement of prescribing quality can be achieved through such programs. De-escalation of empirical therapy is associated with lower mortality in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock (Slain et al., 2011). Rapid organism identification combined with stewardship team intervention further refines treatment strategies. Collaboration between infectious-diseases and critical-care practitioners shapes antimicrobial utilization and patient outcomes whereas environmental contamination and patient-to-patient transmission contribute to refractory outbreaks (Vitrat et al., 2014). Given that intensive care units exhibit the highest density of antimicrobial use and bacterial resistance, and considering that Pseudomonas aeruginosa susceptibility can deteriorate rapidly within a single year, widespread introduction of stewardship initiatives is paramount (Ture et al., 2022). Critical-care nurses play a central role in setting standards, monitoring effectiveness, providing education, and enforcing compliance in order to maximize the impact of infection-control programs.

8.1. Rationale for Stewardship

The emergence of novel infections has demonstrated the ongoing threat of infectious disease, accompanied by an unprecedented surge of antimicrobial resistance in low-, middle- and high-income countries. Moreover, inadequate surveillance and insufficient nursing training in low-income countries create a substantial threat to global health, given the ease of worldwide dissemination via international travel. Many intensive care units (ICU), particularly in poorer countries, receive limited diagnostic information, and the extent of infection is uncertain. This problem alone constitutes a significant public health issue and restricts the choice of appropriate therapeutics. Furthermore, how the patient is stabilized, reviewed and managed undoubtedly impacts well-being; hospital-acquired infections present additional challenges. Public health approaches include those of infectious disease epidemiology, health promotion and health protection. Infection control mechanisms are implemented globally, and nurses should be aware of the impact of such measures. The CDC and WHO guidelines make suggestions that allow the evolution of public-health strategies to be illustrated and are presented in Chapter 5. Nurses play a fundamental role in lowering the risk of infection by adhering to infection control guidelines, and they are frequently responsible for staff training. (Millar, 2009)

8.2. Strategies for Implementation

An important public health approach applicable to infection control in intensive care units (ICUs) addresses the challenges associated with implementing the necessary guidelines and preventive measures. The goals of such an approach are to enhance the capabilities of health-care practitioners in service delivery and to increase the dissemination of evidence-based information to the larger patient community (Mehta et al., 2014).

Three key strategies can inform this implementation framework. First, continuous assessment

and modification should be embraced. Core components of infection control must be promoted within ICUs through a dynamic system of feedback and adaptation, with health-care officials monitoring the changing environment and responding accordingly. Second, ongoing education initiatives are essential. Awareness campaigns, workshops, and training sessions reinforce interpersonal understandings and facilitate the incorporation of best practices in clinical settings. Third, active participation should become an integrated process. Opportunities and incentives for community and medical engagement generate the trust that permits the exchange of knowledge and improves health outcomes over time.

These experiences demonstrate that the effectiveness of infection-control strategies depends on the adoption of complementary mechanisms in intensive care that enable a seamless flow of information. The collective implementation of assessment protocols, educational activities, and participatory programs helps to disseminate data, enrich interpretation, and foster understanding of fundamental principles and prevention methods—thus creating an environment in which the public-health impact can be realized in practice.

9. Challenges in Infection Control

ICU patients are particularly susceptible to HAIs (Gaudart et al., 2013). Such infections form a major part of the burden of disease in the ICU, leading to increased morbidity and mortality (Harbarth & Haustein, 2010).

The ICU infrastructure within many public hospitals in South Africa has not kept apace with the increasing demand for intensive care services. Furthermore, the ICU has the unique characteristic of high-intensity patient care delivered by the closest proximity of healthcare professionals to patients in the hospital (Mahomed et al., 2017). This close contact between healthcare professional and patient can permit the easy transmission of pathogens, especially with inadequate facilities and infrastructure. The physical environment of patient care areas may also serve as potential reservoirs for pathogenic microbes. Surfaces, ward design, hand hygiene, staff behaviour and ward management all influence the behaviour of pathogens within the environment and, thus, the risk of patient infection. The efficient management of these environments therefore becomes vital to the containment of these pathogens and subsequent maintenance of patient health and safety. The physical structure and condition of the public hospitals and their new requirements for full compliance with the ideal hospital plan, the National Core Standards and the Regulations for Specialized Hospitals (R158 of 2012) also represents an important indicator of quality and patient safety. Complex physical and environmental characteristics in an ICU may contribute to an increased risk of hospital-acquired infection (HAI).

9.1. Antibiotic Resistance

Antimicrobial resistance has become a crucial factor influencing patient mortality and healthcare resource utilization within Intensive Care Units. Globally, ICUs confront the rapid emergence and dissemination of antibiotic-resistant organisms, encompassing resistant Gram-negative bacilli and Gram-positive bacteria. Notably, pathogens such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium often leave a scarcity of effective therapeutic options. The ICU environment—with its widespread utilization of broad-

spectrum antimicrobials, high patient density characterized by severe illness, restricted staffing, and prolonged hospital stays—creates a fertile milieu for the development and propagation of resistance. Consequently, strategies designed to prevent the advent of antibiotic resistance are of paramount importance, extending beyond the ICU setting to encompass broader hospital care, thereby ensuring effective treatment while curtailing unwarranted antibiotic exposure (H Kollef, 2005).

9.2. Resource Limitations

One of the most important and usually unavoidable challenges encountered in intensive care units during the control of infections is the general shortage of budget, lack of basic medical and laboratory equipment, shortage of nurses and other healthcare workers, lack of standards of health and medication (Mahomed et al., 2017). conducted a study on South African intensive care units to compare the differences in health resources and infrastructure between private and public sector ICUs. The study reported that some ICUs in the public sector had no enforcement of fire extinguishers, no maintenance required for the medical equipment, unserviced equipment, unsecured electric distribution boards, and unsecured medical gas tanks. Only 59% of the ICUs in the public health sector had enough equipment, compared with 86% of the private sector, as was the case for inventory and spill kits.

10. Case Studies

Hospital-acquired infections increase length of stay, use of antibiotics, costs and mortality rates in Intensive Care Units (ICUs). The multiple risk factors, limited resources and gaps in evidence to inform guidelines are obstacles to adequate Infection Control (IC). IC in a public health framework advocates an integrated approach to preventing hospital-acquired infections assimilating epidemiological models of health together with health promotion strategies. The importance of these approaches for IC in ICUs has significant implications for nursing practice.

Infection control has been an integral part of healthcare for thousands of years. Today, IC is founded on a comprehensive system of public health interventions developed from the nineteenth century during classical and germ theory epidemiology. It includes a multiplicity of human levels: the individual patient; the ward or section of the hospital; the people, places and things in contact with the patient; and the wider community from which the patient originates (Musu et al., 2017). Infection control objectives are to prevent or limit infections acquired by patients in healthcare settings. IC is therefore a coordinated and continuous effort to prevent the spread of offending pathogens to those who have not yet been infected or to prevent the development of an infection acquired at a previous time. In places where there is a high concentration of susceptible individuals with an increased risk of exposure to pathogens, such as intensive care units (ICUs), infections represent an especially important risk factor increasing mortality, prolonging length of stay and adding to healthcare costs.

Within a public health framework, the epidemiological basis for IC in ICUs embraces the models of epidemiology together with health science and health promotion developed during the late 20th century. Modern epidemiology combines experiential and rhetorical knowledge on the one hand, with a range of conceptual models to explain the natural history and distribution of disease

on the other. One important contribution of public health to IC policies is to present infection spreading in a community as the systemic interaction of a number of complex, hierarchical and dynamic processes running in parallel. This contrasts with a simple knowledge of "what" infection is about, and helps to understand "how" to control infection.

10.1. Successful Infection Control Programs

Hospital administrators and Infection Prevention (IP) staff are constantly seeking improvements in IP programs, focusing on standardizing metrics and better controlling Healthcare Associated Infections (HAIs) (Garcia et al., 2022). Strong leadership support remains a critical success factor. Recent analyses identify three key management practices that facilitate HAI prevention: engaging executive staff, establishing organization-wide systems to relay, display, and discuss relevant infection data, and providing management coaching that includes feedback and re-education on IP best practices. Through collaboration, these insights contribute to the development of stronger programs, improved performance measurement, and more effective outbreak management.

Hand hygiene compliance is a critical element of infection control (Musu et al., 2017). In six Intensive Care Units (ICUs), actual hand hygiene (HH) behavior was monitored during the fluid, electrolyte, and acid-base balancing phase of care. HH compliance was assessed based on existing protocols and procedures. However, only 73 of 142 standards were fully available, indicating weaknesses in standard precautions and isolation measures. Overall, adherence to hygiene practices showed extremely heterogeneous behavior—variations ranged from 3% to 100%. The highest compliance rate was confirmed for the moment "after direct contact with the patient". Notably, a strong relationship exists between the presence/absence of protocols and procedures, HH compliance values, and the structural characteristics of the ICUs, such as the type of rooms, the number of beds present, the ventilation systems, and the availability of disinfectant dispensers. To safeguard patient health, continual verification and timely updates to infection control protocols are essential. Checklists can facilitate the identification of critical issues, while continuous monitoring ensures the enforcement of corrective breakdowns.

10.2. Lessons Learned from Outbreaks

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to challenge hospitals worldwide. Intensive care units (ICUs) remain heavily occupied with critically ill COVID-19 patients. Transmission-based precautions, including use of gloves, gowns, surgical masks, N95 respirators, isolation, and single rooms, can reduce nosocomial spread of SARS-CoV-2 in ICU settings. However, personal protective equipment (PPE) shortages affect healthcare worker compliance to essential infection prevention and control practices, such as hand hygiene, COVID-19-specific showering, and sterilization protocols. Transmission risks increase when appropriate PPE is not donned during the four "moments" where hand hygiene is required, such as before touching a patient or moving from a contaminated body site to a clean one. Prolonged wearing time and re-use of PPE may also increase the risk of self-contamination. During periods of PPE shortage, changing PPE between patients has often been discouraged. Rapid deterioration and frequent turnover of ventilated patients in ICU can further contribute to healthcare workers neglecting hand hygiene practices or gown changes between patients.

Knowledge of previous outbreaks provides important opportunities to improve infection prevention and control measures and reduce risk of healthcare-associated transmission during a large-scale infectious disease outbreak. Several such outbreaks occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic (Howard-Jones et al., 2022) (Balachander et al., 2020).

11. Future Directions in Infection Control

The increasing incidence of healthcare-associated infections underscores the ongoing challenge of safeguarding patients, healthcare workers, and the public (Afshari et al., 2012). The need for enhanced infection prevention programs is clear; future success hinges on robust leadership support. Key strategies include: engaging executive staff to underscore organizational priorities; implementing systems for sharing relevant infection data; and providing staff with feedback and re-education on best practices (Garcia et al., 2022). The potential of supplemental disinfection technologies warrants further consideration. Moreover, a unified stewardship approach—integrating antimicrobial, infection prevention, and diagnostic stewardship (AID)—offers a comprehensive framework for infection management.

11.1. Innovative Technologies

Innovative technologies in infection control encompass applications that enhance surveillance, tracking, monitoring, and visibility of healthcare-associated infections (Russotto et al., 2015). Airborne infection isolation rooms (AIIRs) are negatively pressurized, enclosed inpatient spaces that prevent contagious pathogens from escaping by regulating the direction of airflow (Gaudart et al., 2013). Dynamic ultraviolet light (UV-C) disinfection systems use far-ultraviolet-C (far-UV-C) energy to treat occupied rooms by reducing aerosolized viruses and surface-borne pathogens while protecting human skin and eyes from exposure. Edible microbial control offers a biotechnological approach to influence microbial communities, employing microbial succession and biological speciation seen in food fermentations to produce beneficial outputs. Germicidal ultraviolet light provides a control agent against microorganisms, deploying radiation energy to inactivate a broad spectrum of microbes and xenobiotics. ZigBee wireless systems support the dissemination, coordination, and correction of infection control information, enhancing communication among healthcare workers. Robots can perform decontamination and cleaning tasks that would otherwise require direct exposure, thereby reducing occupational risks and improving efficiency.

11.2. Policy Recommendations

Effective leadership and management are crucial for translating planning into operational reality. Central planning issues include interorganizational coordination, external relations, and steering of organizational resources. Leaders must cultivate trust, eliminate rivalry and antagonism, encourage team cohesion, and provide visionary leadership that motivates and inspires collaboration. Government plays a pivotal role, supplying essential inputs such as funding, manpower, and equipment; public hospitals are invariably state-run in at least a basic sense, and civil unrest and guerrilla activity gravitate almost naturally to weak states (Mehta et al., 2014).

12. Implications for Nursing Practice

Nurses assuming a committed leadership role within the public health framework must engage collaboratively with the infection-control team and hospital epidemiologist to ensure comprehensive remodeling of the European intensive-care unit to meet public-health standards. This entails full adoption of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention infection-control guidelines, alongside active advocacy for the revision and supplementation of existing international infection-control policies maintained by the World Health Organization. Infection-control nurses are uniquely positioned to achieve these objectives by exerting decisive influence over infection-control standards, prompting proactive responses to public-health imperatives encountered within the ward. The implementation of public-health approaches to infection control in the intensive-care units of contemporary European hospitals would simultaneously honor the historic commitments of Florence Nightingale, uphold the founding principles of the Florence Nightingale School of Nursing, and fulfill the public-health requirements set forth by the European public-health model of infection control. (Girgis Eskander et al., 2013)

12.1. Integrating Public Health Approaches

Integrating public health approaches is essential for enhancing infection control in intensive care units (ICUs). Infection control encompasses strategies and activities designed to reduce healthcare-associated infections (HCAIs) that prolong patient stay, increase morbidity and mortality, and present a major patient safety challenge (Garcia et al., 2022). The field's origins can be traced to the Florence Nightingale era, with guidelines expanding since, culminating in comprehensive CDC and WHO policies that both address and influence consistent nursing practice. Preventing HCAIs requires an understanding of public health frameworks such as the epidemiological triad of host, environment, and agent; the chain of infection; modes of transmission; and principles of outbreak control. Environmental considerations—including sterilisation and isolation procedures—reduce transfer of agents from reservoir to susceptible host, while microbiological screening assists in risk assessment and outbreak response (Gaudart et al., 2013). Key surveillance data inform ongoing monitoring of HCAI incidence, and prevention efforts constitute integral components of patient safety and quality-of-care programmes that examine exposures associated with risk and the effectiveness of resulting outcomes (Mahomed et al., 2017). Antimicrobial stewardship remains fundamental, since previous policies and programmes for control and prevention have been hindered by the rise and spread of resistance. Constant challenges—including antibiotic-resistant organisms, outbreaks of previously unknown pathogens, prolonged ICU admissions, recurrences of hospital outbreaks, and resource constraints in many countries—continue to underpin the search for optimal ways forward and operational solutions.

12.2. Advocacy and Leadership Roles

Infection control programs demonstrate practitioners' commitment to patients and staff, and to quality care systems. Infection control, the both historical and contemporary practice of reducing or preventing the spread of contagious diseases in hospitals and communities, is a crucial public health topic that affects all nursing professionals, especially those who work in intensive-care units. Public-health frameworks clearly articulate the tenets of infectious-disease control, as well

as the conduct of epidemiological investigations that led to the development of guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the World Health Organization (Aghaie et al., 2021). The CDC and WHO guidelines, which provide detailed procedures for protecting nurses and their clients, are fundamental tools in controlling the spread of bacterial, viral, and fungal infections within critical-care settings. Implementation of these guidelines requires nurses who possess not only technical expertise and skill but also confidence and discretion in patients' interests. Public-health approaches to infection control also encompass the institutional and community environments, underscore the safety and quality of care within healthcare institutions, promote prudent and defensible control of antimicrobial agents, address the diverse challenges presented by infection control, and provide additional perspectives on research and practice.

13. Ethical Considerations

Infection control measures can have ethical implications. Informed consent is not usually solicited from patients when infection control measures such as hand hygiene audits are implemented, which can be grounds for objection. High-handed interventions are inappropriate, but the imposition of sanctions for actions likely to damage other people is acceptable in certain contexts. Resource allocation and potential conflicts of interest may arise through infection control, particularly when clinical outcomes are disproportionately affected. Furthermore, equity of access to infection control is not always possible in some developing nations, which may be compounded by differences in standards of infection control across different institutions.

13.1. Informed Consent

Informed consent in ICUs is a complex ethical area in clinical trial design. Regulated and documented procedures must comply with the Mental Capacity Act; personal consultee advice must be sought; the consent process and information documentation must be clearly designed and delivered. Investigators must ensure that personal consultees have a good understanding of the patient's condition in order to provide informed advice on whether the patient would want to participate. Specific considerations surround proxy consent (Paddock et al., 2022).

13.2. Equity in Healthcare Access

The World Health Organization regards access to health care without discrimination as a fundamental human right. Nevertheless, equal access to quality health care at times of need does not exist in many parts of the world. This is true for the intensive care unit (ICU), where differences in access opportunities, resources, and quality of care also exist within countries and even within cities. Recognizing that health cannot be attained without addressing the social determinants that drive health inequalities, some health-care workers explicitly opt to care for the disadvantaged and in resource-limited environments. There are numerous barriers that may prevent one from accessing an ICU bed, and in many parts of the world, absent, limited, or inappropriate ICU beds are part of the reality. Furthermore, triage for admission to an ICU is not standardized, and the factors that influence decisions to admit or decline a patient are not entirely objective or transparent (Millar, 2009). The ICU should be held to the same standards as any other clinical area where direction, indication, and consent are required before interventions are implemented. One of those standards must be parental or patient choice to access or decline

access to an ICU bed. Existence of medical insurance policies also invariably influences ICU admission decisions, which is a key reason why access to ICU care in high health-care expenditure countries, such as the United States, is not universal. Within ICUs, there is increasing interest in developing appropriate care plans for patients, which should be acknowledged before a plan for ICU admission is considered. Accordingly, improvements in local and national data collection are required to better understand differences in both type and quality of care available and to assess its impact on individual and population health. An additional complication is that integrated systems with quality controls and fully transparent maintenance of data are mostly lacking.

14. Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Successfully preventing infections requires expertise and communication across disciplines. Highly collaborative teams share positive attitudes toward infection prevention and control (IPC) practices. The Interdisciplinary Model of IPC (IMIPC) builds on an established input–mediator–output–input (IMOI) framework to identify how inputs catalyze unit-level outcomes through mediators within the collaborative teamwork process. Organizational IPC processes—group identification, intertwined goals, and quality formal communication—facilitate positive IPC attitudes, enabling effective IPC team behaviors. Specifically, collective ownership mediates the relationship between inputs and timely removal, analysis shows that team leadership and complementary core IPC activities mediate the effect on both timely removal and communication, and knowledge sharing and interpersonal collaboration mediate the influence on all assessed IPC behaviors. Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) such as central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) and catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) generally have straightforward preventive measures; however, timely catheter removal and adherence to sterile techniques are often missed or deprioritized. Although teamwork enables success, numerous barriers within healthcare impede active engagement with frontline infection prevention practices. Mitigating these barriers is essential for reducing HAIs and improving patient safety (E. Gregory et al., 2022).

14.1. Working with Other Healthcare Professionals

Public health approaches to infection control offer practical frameworks for enhancing nursing practice in intensive-care units (ICUs). Infection control—comprising all measures used to prevent the transmission of infectious agents—constitutes a pivotal public-health issue (Nasa et al., 2022). The WHO considers infection control vital to public health worldwide; health systems rely on the effectiveness of ICU infection prevention to contain COVID-19 and mitigate antimicrobial resistance (Sharma et al., 2020). ICUs exist to provide enhanced monitoring and support for acutely ill patients but create ideal conditions for the transmission of pathogens (E. Gregory et al., 2022). Nurse managers must ensure that their staff possess the practical and technical competencies necessary to implement infection-control guidelines. Because nurses spend more time with ICU patients than any other clinical staff, they remain responsible for adhering to infection-prevention activities to ensure that services continue uninterrupted. The interdependent nature of infection-control activities means that nurses must also maintain collaborative relationships with other clinicians and healthcare professionals.

14.2. Community Engagement

Community engagement represents a critical dimension of infection control (IC) in intensive care units (ICUs). As hospital environments concentrate infectious agents and susceptible hosts, including visitors in IC strategies emerges as a necessary component for controlling disease transmission. Clinical practice statements derived through Delphi consensus recommend the incorporation of patients and their relatives into protocols designed to minimize infection risk. Healthcare workers acknowledge this collaborative approach but express concerns about shifting responsibility for IC onto patients, particularly in scenarios where some patients may themselves pose infection risks. Staff views favor strategies that encourage patient and relative cooperation without fostering confrontation. The fluctuating and context-dependent nature of patient and relative involvement necessitates a careful balance between respecting autonomy and fulfilling the duty to protect against unseen harm. Consequently, responsibility for safeguarding patients may, at times, override the goal of co-producing IC with them, underscoring the complexity of community engagement within ICU settings (Nasa et al., 2022).

15. Conclusion

A wide range of factors must be considered in infection control when implementing measures in ICUs. Public health and epidemiology offer comprehensive frameworks to guide the management of both individual patients and groups. Adopting a public health perspective enhances the potential for infection control strategies to be effective, sustainable over time, and adaptable to varying geographic locations, socio-economic circumstances, and environments. Given their close involvement with patients, nurses can play a key role in ensuring that infection control guidelines developed by organizations such as the CDC and WHO are translated into practice across different settings. Nurse-led approaches frequently emphasize advocacy and leadership to promote infection control, and practitioners may choose to incorporate public health concepts into their daily work (Nasa et al., 2022).

References:

Gaudart, J., Cloutman-Green, E., Guillas, S., D'Arcy, N., C. Hartley, J., Gant, V., & Klein, N. (2013). Healthcare Environments and Spatial Variability of Healthcare Associated Infection Risk: Cross-Sectional Surveys. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Datta, P., Rani, H., Chauhan, R., Gombar, S., & Chander, J. (2014). Health-care-associated infections: Risk factors and epidemiology from an intensive care unit in Northern India. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Sharma, J., Nasa, P., Subba Reddy, K., Deepak Kuragayala, S., Sahi, S., Gopal, P., Chaudhary, D., B Dixit, S., & Samavedam, S. (2020). Infection Prevention and Control for ICU during COVID-19 Pandemic: Position Paper of the Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Mahomed, S., W Sturm, A., & Moodley, P. (2017). A comparison of private and public sector intensive care unit infrastructure in South Africa. [PDF]

Goldrick, B. (2005). The practice of infection control and applied epidemiology: A historical perspective. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Musu, M., Lai, A., Maria Mereu, N., Galletta, M., Campagna, M., Tidore, M., Francesca Piazza,

M., Spada, L., Valeria Massidda, M., Colombo, S., Mura, P., & Cristina Coppola, R. (2017). Assessing hand hygiene compliance among healthcare workers in six Intensive Care Units. [PDF]

Harbarth, S. & Haustein, T. (2010). Year in review 2009: Critical Care - infection. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

S. Bryan, C., J. Call, T., & Elliott, K. (2007). The Ethics of Infection Control: Philosophical Frameworks. [PDF]

Tan, C., Ofner, M., L. Candon, H., Reel, K., Bean, S., K. Chan, A., & A. Leis, J. (2023). An ethical framework adapted for infection prevention and control. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Millar, M. (2009). Do we need an ethical framework for hospital infection control?. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

López-García, M. & Kypraios, T. (2018). A unified stochastic modelling framework for the spread of nosocomial infections. [PDF]

C. Jackson, K., T. Short, C., R. Toman, K., S. Mietchen, M., & Lofgren, E. (2022). Transient dynamics of infection transmission in a simulated intensive care unit. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Mehta, Y., Gupta, A., Todi, S., Myatra, S. N., P. Samaddar, D., Patil, V., Kumar Bhattacharya, P., & Ramasubban, S. (2014). Guidelines for prevention of hospital acquired infections. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Garcia Dutra, G., Pereira da Costa, M., Ott Bosenbecker, E., Moura de Lima, L., Crescência Heckler de Siqueira, H., & Cecagno, D. (2015). Nosocomial infection control: role of the nurse. [PDF]

Girgis Eskander, H., Youssef Mohammed Morsy, W., & Ali Ahmed Elfeky, H. (2013). Intensive Care Nurses' Knowledge & Practices regarding Infection Control Standard Precautions at a Selected Egyptian Cancer Hospital. [PDF]

Barre, F., Kaba, H., Dresselhaus, I., Mayr, E., Voigt, M., Schaumann, R., Dierks, M. L., & Scheithauer, S. (2022). Determining the need for additional training among hospital infection-control workforce – results from a multicentric survey within the multiresistance network of southern Lower Saxony (MRNS), Germany. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Zocher, U., Dan-Nwafor, C., Yahya, D., Ita Ita, O., Kloth, S., Eckmanns, T., Laila Oberländer, K., Saleh, M., Ogunniyi, A., Joy Okwor, T., Obasanya, J., Ihekweazu, C., & Poggensee, G. (2019). Participatory approach to quality development in infection prevention and control (IPC) in Nigerian health facilities. [PDF]

Young Jeong, S. & Son Kim, O. (2023). Development and Application of an Educational-Training Programme for Infection Control Practitioners in Long-Term Care Hospitals. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Russotto, V., Cortegiani, A., Maurizio Raineri, S., & Giarratano, A. (2015). Bacterial contamination of inanimate surfaces and equipment in the intensive care unit. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Meddings, J., Todd Greene, M., Ratz, D., Ameling, J., E Fowler, K., J Rolle, A., Hung, L., Collier, S., & Saint, S. (2020). Multistate programme to reduce catheter-associated infections in intensive care units with elevated infection rates. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Haddadi, A., Lemdani, M., & Hubert, H. (2013). INCIDENCE, DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED TO NOSOCOMIAL INFECTIONS AND TO THE MORTALITY AT THE INTENSIVE CARE UNIT OF THE TIMONE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL. [PDF]

Cherifi, S., Gerard, M., Arias, S., & Byl, B. (2013). A multicenter quasi-experimental study:

impact of a central line infection control program using auditing and performance feedback in five Belgian intensive care units. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Slain, D., R. Sarwari, A., O. Petros, K., L. McKnight, R., B. Sager, R., J. Mullett, C., Wilson, A., G. Thomas, J., Moffett, K., Carlton Palmer, H., & V. Dedhia, H. (2011). Impact of a Multimodal Antimicrobial Stewardship Program on Pseudomonas aeruginosa Susceptibility and Antimicrobial Use in the Intensive Care Unit Setting. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Vitrat, V., Hautefeuille, S., Janssen, C., Bougon, D., Sirodot, M., & Pagani, L. (2014). Optimizing antimicrobial therapy in critically ill patients. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Ture, Z., Güner, R., & Alp, E. (2022). Antimicrobial stewardship in the intensive care unit. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

H Kollef, M. (2005). Bench-to-bedside review: Antimicrobial utilization strategies aimed at preventing the emergence of bacterial resistance in the intensive care unit. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Garcia, R., Barnes, S., Boukidjian, R., Kaye Goss, L., Spencer, M., J. Septimus, E., Wright, M. O., Munro, S., M. Reese, S., G. Fakih, M., E. Edmiston, C., & Levesque, M. (2022). Recommendations for change in infection prevention programs and practice. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Howard-Jones, A. R., Sandaradura, I., Robinson, R., Orde, S. R., Iredell, J., Ginn, A., van Hal, S., & Branley, J. (2022). Multidrug-resistant OXA-48/CTX-M-15 Klebsiella pneumoniae cluster in a COVID-19 intensive care unit: salient lessons for infection prevention and control during the COVID-19 pandemic. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Balachander, B., Rajesh, D., Lillian Pinhero, C., Paul, S., Stevens, S., & Rao, S. (2020). Response Measures to Infection Outbreaks During the Second Year of Sustenance Phase of Infection Control Quality Improvement. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Afshari, A., Pagani, L., & Harbarth, S. (2012). Year in review 2011: Critical Care - infection. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Aghaie, B., Norouzadeh, R., Sharifipour, E., Koohpaei, A., Negarandeh, R., & Abbasinia, M. (2021). The Experiences of Intensive Care Nurses in Advocacy of COVID-19 Patients. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Paddock, K., Woolfall, K., Kearney, A., Pattison, N., Frith, L., Gamble, C., Welters, I., Trinder, J., & Young, B. (2022). Learning from stakeholders to inform good practice guidance on consent to research in intensive care units: a mixed-methods study. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

E. Gregory, M., R. MacEwan, S., N. Sova, L., A. Gaughan, A., & Scheck McAlearney, A. (2022). A Qualitative Examination of Interprofessional Teamwork for Infection Prevention: Development of a Model and Solutions. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Nasa, P., Azoulay, E., Chakrabarti, A., V Divatia, J., Jain, R., Rodrigues, C., D Rosenthal, V., Alhazzani, W., M Arabi, Y., Bakker, J., Bassetti, M., De Waele, J., Dimopoulos, G., Du, B., Einav, S., Evans, L., Finfer, S., Guérin, C., E Hammond, N., Jaber, S., M Kleinpell, R., Koh, Y., Kollef, M., M Levy, M., R Machado, F., Mancebo, J., Martin-Loeches, I., Mer, M., S Niederman, M., Pelosi, P., Perner, A., V Peter, J., Phua, J., Piquilloud, L., W Pletz, M., Rhodes, A., J Schultz, M., Singer, M., Timsit, J. F., Venkatesh, B., Vincent, J. L., Welte, T., & N Myatra, S. (2022). Infection control in the intensive care unit: expert consensus statements for SARS-CoV-2 using a Delphi method. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

.