

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v4i1.3717>

Managing Health Crises from an Integrated Perspective Between Nursing and Health Administration

Nourah Yousef Mohammed Aldousari¹, Hadeel Mohammed Ghonaim², Zainah Balqasiem Ali Alshreef³, Aisha Abdulrahman Sulong⁴, Bashair Jameel Almwad⁵, Nouf Mubarek Abdullah Albishi⁶, Saeed Mohammed Bin Mesfer Aighamdi⁷, Turkai Mater Alhothali⁸, Khaled Abdullah Alharbi⁹, Jamla Nawar Mohammed Alghamdi¹⁰, Najat Abdualh Khalil¹¹

Abstract

Background: The rise of global health crises reveals vulnerabilities in isolated healthcare leadership. The COVID-19 pandemic illustrated the dangers of disconnect between administrative strategy and clinical nursing, compromising patient safety and operational continuity. *Objective:* This paper posits that effective health crisis management relies on a collaborative relationship between nursing and health administration. *Methods:* Through a comprehensive review of empirical studies and frameworks such as HICS and CERC, it investigates the interdependent roles of nursing and administration, exploring collaboration models like dyad leadership and shared governance. *Findings:* Integrated systems show greater adaptability and resilience, necessitating interdisciplinary staffing, shared decision-making, bi-directional communication, and joint simulation training for effective crisis management. *Conclusion:* To ensure patient safety and system survival amidst global health challenges, integrating nursing and health administration is both an ethical and operational necessity, advocating for the dismantling of traditional silos to create a unified leadership model.

Keywords: Health Crisis Management, Integrated Leadership, Nursing Administration, Health Services Management, Health Systems Resilience, Disaster Preparedness, Patient Safety, Crisis Standards of Care.

1. Introduction

1.1 The Evolving Landscape of Global Health Crises

The twenty-first century has ushered in an era of volatility for global health systems, characterized by the increasing frequency, complexity, and severity of large-scale emergencies. The definition of a health crisis has transcended localized mass casualty incidents to encompass transboundary threats such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the Ebola outbreaks in West Africa,

¹ Ministry of health- Jeddah Second Health Cluster-Saudi Arabia

² Ministry of health- Jeddah Second Health Cluster-Saudi Arabia

³ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁴ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁵ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁶ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁷ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁸ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁹ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

¹⁰ Ministry of health- Al-Baha Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

¹¹ Ministry of health- Madinah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia



severe weather events driven by climate change, and systemic supply chain disruptions. These events are no longer isolated anomalies but are recognized as recurrent features of the modern geopolitical and ecological landscape [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) define disasters as ecological disruptions that exceed the adjustment capacity of the affected community, necessitating external assistance [2]. However, within the operational reality of a healthcare institution, a crisis is more precisely defined as a surge in demand that drastically outstrips available resources—staff, space, and supplies—forcing a departure from normally acceptable standards of care [3].

The management of these crises demands a level of agility and coordination that traditional, siloed healthcare hierarchies struggle to provide. Historically, the governance of healthcare institutions has been bifurcated: health administration manages the business, regulatory, and logistical domains, while nursing and medicine manage the clinical and operational domains. This separation, effective for routine optimization, creates dangerous fissures during a crisis. The COVID-19 pandemic, in particular, served as a global stress test, revealing that the lack of integration between administrative strategy and clinical reality can lead to catastrophic failures in patient safety, workforce resilience, and operational continuity [4].

1.2 The Imperative for Integrated Leadership

The central thesis of this research is that effective crisis management is contingent upon a symbiotic, integrated relationship between nursing practice and health administration. This integration goes beyond mere communication; it requires structural fusion where administrative authority is informed by clinical intelligence, and nursing operations are empowered by strategic resource mobilization. Research indicates that organizations with fragmented leadership structures—where administrative decisions are made in isolation from the "work-as-done" reality of the bedside—suffer from higher rates of moral injury among staff, inefficient resource allocation, and compromised patient outcomes [5]. Conversely, institutions that employ integrated frameworks, such as dyad leadership models and interdisciplinary command centers, demonstrate superior adaptability and resilience.

This paper provides an exhaustive analysis of the mechanisms required to achieve this integration. It explores the foundational frameworks of crisis preparedness, the specific operational roles of nursing and administration, and the nexus where these distinct disciplines must merge to ensure the safety of patients and the survival of the healthcare workforce. Through the lens of recent empirical studies and comprehensive reviews of disaster management protocols, we argue that the future of health security relies on dissolving the "boardroom to bedside" divide.

2. Theoretical Frameworks for Crisis Preparedness and Response

To understand the mechanics of integrated crisis management, one must first examine the standardized frameworks that govern disaster response. These frameworks provide the scaffold upon which interdisciplinary collaboration is built.

2.1 The Hospital Incident Command System (HICS)

The Hospital Incident Command System (HICS) is the globally accepted standard for managing threats and emergencies in healthcare settings. Derived from the Incident Command System (ICS) developed for fire and police agencies, HICS provides a predictable hierarchy and nomenclature that allows diverse departments to function as a unified entity [6].

Structural Integration in Command

HICS is organized around five primary functions: Command, Operations, Planning, Logistics, and Finance/Administration.

- **Command:** Sets the strategic objectives (e.g., "Expand ICU capacity by 50%").
- **Operations:** Executes the tactical objectives (e.g., "Convert the PACU into an ICU").
- **Planning:** Collects data and forecasts needs (e.g., "Project ventilator usage for the next 7 days").
- **Logistics:** Provides the necessary resources (e.g., "Procure 50 ventilators and travel nurses").
- **Finance:** Tracks costs and manages procurement contracts.

In a siloed model, the "Command" and "Finance" sections are populated by administrators, while "Operations" is left to clinical leaders. This separation is fatal in complex biological crises. For instance, if the Logistics Section Chief (an administrator) procures Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) based solely on cost or availability without input from the Operations Section (nursing), the result may be equipment that is clinically unusable, leading to infection risks and staff revolt. An integrated HICS model mandates that nurse leaders serve not only in Operations but also as technical specialists within the Planning and Logistics sections, ensuring that the material realities of care inform procurement strategies [7].

2.2 Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication (CERC)

The CDC's Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) framework outlines the principles for communicating during high-stress events: Be First, Be Right, Be Credible, Express Empathy, and Promote Action [8].

- **Administrative Responsibility:** The administration is responsible for the macro-level messaging to external stakeholders—government bodies, media, and the general public—to maintain organizational reputation and secure community trust.
- **Nursing Responsibility:** Nurses are the micro-level communicators, translating complex and often shifting policies to patients and families at the bedside. They are the "face" of the crisis response.
- **Integration Point:** The failure of integration here leads to the "credibility gap." If administration releases a statement claiming "supplies are adequate" (to reassure the public) while nurses are rationing masks on the unit, trust evaporates. Integrated communication requires that the CNO validates external messaging against internal reality before dissemination.

2.3 Crisis Standards of Care (CSC) and the "Duty to Plan"

The Institute of Medicine (IOM) and the American Nurses Association (ANA) frame Crisis Standards of Care (CSC) as the legal and ethical authorization to shift from individual-centric care to population-centric care during catastrophic shortages [9].

- **The Continuum of Care:** CSC is not a binary switch but a continuum ranging from *Conventional* (usual care) to *Contingency* (functionally equivalent care with adapted resources) to *Crisis* (insufficient resources requiring triage/rationing) [10].
- **Administrative-Nursing Nexus:** Implementing CSC requires a dual-key authorization. Administration must declare the emergency to activate legal liability protections (e.g., PREP Act), but Nursing must operationalize the triage protocols. Without administrative

cover, nurses practicing triage face legal jeopardy; without nursing adherence to protocols, administration faces liability for negligence. The "Duty to Plan" encompasses the administrative obligation to create frameworks that protect the clinical workforce from the moral and legal consequences of rationing [11].

2.4 Integration Frameworks for Health IT

Modern crisis response is data-driven. The CDC's Integration Framework for Health IT emphasizes the necessity of linking Electronic Health Records (EHR) with public health surveillance systems [12]. This integration allows the granular data collected by nurses (symptoms, triage tags) to populate the strategic dashboards used by administration to monitor system capacity and trigger surge protocols.

3. The Role of Nursing

Nursing professionals constitute the largest segment of the healthcare workforce and serve as the primary sensory network of the health system. Their role in crisis management extends far beyond the execution of orders; they are pivotal in surveillance, adaptation, and the maintenance of system integrity.

3.1 Early Detection, Triage, and Surveillance

Effective crisis response relies on the speed of detection. Nurses, particularly in emergency departments and primary care, are often the first to detect the "weak signals" of an impending crisis, such as an unusual cluster of respiratory symptoms or a spike in opioid overdoses.

- **Triage Protocols:** In mass casualty incidents (MCIs), nurses utilize standardized algorithms like START (Simple Triage and Rapid Treatment) or SALT (Sort, Assess, Lifesaving Interventions, Treatment/Transport) to bring order to chaos. This triage data is the fuel for the entire response system; it dictates the scale of resource mobilization required by administration [13].
- **Syndromic Surveillance:** Integrated decision-making relies on the "competence to comprehend the operational environment". Nurses contribute to this by documenting syndromic data in the EHR, which, when integrated with administrative dashboards, provides a real-time heat map of the crisis evolution [14].
- **The Disaster Triage Tool (TRAIN):** Case studies illustrate the development of specific tools like Triage by Resource Allocation for Inpatients (TRAIN), where nurses and administrators collaborated to create a system for evacuating neonatal and pediatric patients. This tool utilized nursing assessments of transport needs (e.g., life support vs. basic ambulance) to inform administrative logistics, demonstrating how clinical data drives operational logistics [15].

3.2 Frontline Response: "Work-as-Done" vs. "Work-as-Imagined"

A critical concept in safety science is the distinction between "work-as-imagined" (policies written by administration) and "work-as-done" (actual practice at the bedside). In a crisis, this gap widens.

- **Adaptability and Innovation:** Nurses are the primary adaptors in the system. When supply chains fail, nurses innovate—creating makeshift PPE, adapting tubing, or clustering care to conserve resources. This "MacGyver nursing" is a hallmark of resilience but also a symptom of systemic fragility [16].

- **Operational Reality:** Nursing feedback is essential for "reality-testing" administrative plans. For example, a plan to double ICU capacity by putting two patients in a room might look feasible on a floor plan (Work-as-Imagined) but fails if the oxygen wall outlets cannot support two ventilators simultaneously (Work-as-Done). Only frontline nursing input can identify these fatal flaws before implementation [17].

3.3 Nursing Leadership and Staff Resilience

Nurse leaders—from Charge Nurses to Chief Nursing Officers (CNOs)—act as the "shock absorbers" of the organization, mediating the pressure between high-level directives and frontline fatigue.

- **Relational Leadership:** Research supports that a "relational leadership style"—characterized by visibility, active listening, and empathy—is significantly correlated with higher nurse resilience and retention during crises [18].
- **Psychological Safety:** The crisis environment breeds "toxic emotions"—fear, anxiety, and moral distress. Nurse leaders must create a psychologically safe environment where staff can report safety concerns or admit exhaustion without fear of retribution. This is a prerequisite for a High Reliability Organization (HRO) [19].
- **Competency in Crisis:** Studies identify specific competencies for nurse leaders in crisis, including "competence to manage and take care of staff" and "competence to stay resilient amidst change". Leaders who lack these skills contribute to the perception of "administrative failure" and abandonment, which was a recurrent theme in analysis of nurse narratives during COVID-19 [20].

3.4 Education and Simulation

Preparedness is built through education. However, studies indicate a gap in disaster preparedness training in standard nursing curricula, with many nurses feeling inadequately prepared for MCIs or pandemics [21].

- **Simulation Training:** Integrated simulation exercises, where nursing staff practice alongside administrative decision-makers, are the gold standard for closing this gap. These exercises test not just clinical skills, but the communication pathways between the Command Center and the Unit.
- **Interdisciplinary Training:** Joint training initiatives that include physicians, nurses, and administrators foster role clarity and break down silos before the crisis hits.

4. Health Administration Responsibilities

While nurses manage the clinical battlefield, health administration is responsible for securing the perimeter, supplying the lines, and ensuring the strategy is legally and financially viable.

4.1 Strategic Planning and Emergency Operations

Administrators hold the primary responsibility for the "mitigation" and "preparedness" phases of the disaster management cycle [22].

- **Emergency Operations Plans (EOP):** Developing the EOP is a complex administrative task that involves risk assessment, hazard vulnerability analysis (HVA), and ensuring compliance with accreditation standards (e.g., Joint Commission). The EOP serves as the legal and operational playbook for the institution.

- **Recovery Planning:** Administration must also plan for the "Recovery" phase—financial recoupment (FEMA reimbursement), return to normal operations, and addressing the long-term mental health impact on the workforce.

4.2 Resource Mobilization and Supply Chain Resilience

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed the fragility of the "Just-in-Time" supply chain model, which prioritizes lean efficiency over resilience.

- **Supply Chain Management:** Administrators are now tasked with building "Just-in-Case" resilience. This involves diversifying vendor networks, maintaining strategic stockpiles of critical supplies (PPE, ventilators, pharmaceuticals), and participating in regional supply chain coalitions [1].
- **Allocation Protocols:** When shortages occur, administration must enforce strict allocation (rationing) protocols. This is a high-stakes administrative function that must be transparent to maintain staff trust. Tools and dashboards that display "days on hand" of critical supplies help align clinical usage with inventory realities [23].

4.3 Policy Enforcement and Regulatory Compliance

Crises often necessitate the implementation of unpopular policies to protect public health and institutional integrity.

- **Visitation Restrictions:** Administrators must enforce visitation bans to control infection spread. This policy, while epidemiologically sound, creates immense friction at the bedside. Administrative support is required to provide the technology (tablets, Wi-Fi) and staff (family liaisons) to mitigate the human cost of these restrictions [24].
- **Elective Surgery Cancellations:** The decision to cancel revenue-generating elective procedures is a major financial risk taken by administration to preserve bed capacity and PPE. This decision must be based on objective criteria—often developed in collaboration with nursing and surgery leadership—to balance financial solvency with community safety [25].

4.4 Workforce Allocation and Surge Capacity

Managing the human capital of the health system is the most complex administrative challenge during a crisis.

- **Predictive Analytics:** Advanced health systems utilize predictive modeling and machine learning to forecast patient surges days or weeks in advance. By integrating historical data, community infection rates, and real-time ED volume, administrators can trigger "surge staffing" plans proactively, rather than reacting to a crisis in progress [26].
- **Tiered Staffing Models:** To expand capacity, administration often implements tiered staffing, where non-critical care nurses are redeployed to ICU settings under the supervision of experienced critical care nurses. This model expands the reach of critical care expertise but requires robust administrative support in the form of competency verification, liability coverage, and modified payroll structures [27].

5. Models of Integrated Collaboration

The separation of clinical and administrative functions is the single greatest failure point in crisis management. The following models demonstrate how integration creates a resilient system.

5.1 The Dyad Leadership Model

The Dyad Leadership model pairs a clinical leader (Nurse or Physician) with an administrative leader to share responsibility for a unit, service line, or incident response [28].

- **Mechanism of Action:** The clinician ensures quality, safety, and adherence to standards of care, while the administrator manages finance, logistics, and operations.
- **Crisis Efficacy:** During a crisis, the dyad model allows for rapid, balanced decision-making. For example, if a decision is needed on whether to convert an operating room into an ICU, the nurse leader assesses the clinical feasibility (gas lines, staffing competencies) while the administrator assesses the logistical feasibility (equipment procurement, lost revenue).
- **Evidence:** Institutions like Avera Health and Mount Sinai have utilized dyad and triad structures to standardize clinical protocols across multiple sites during the pandemic, resulting in improved alignment of goals and unified communication.

5.2 Shared Governance in Crisis

Shared governance empowers frontline nurses to have a voice in clinical practice decisions. During a crisis, there is a temptation to abandon shared governance in favor of top-down command and control. However, research suggests that "Crisis Shared Governance" is vital for adaptability [29].

- **Rapid-Cycle Feedback:** Instead of monthly councils, crisis shared governance utilizes daily "huddles" or "town halls" where frontline staff can escalate immediate barriers (e.g., "The new gowns tear when we do CPR") to administration.
- **Outcome:** This feedback loop prevents the implementation of unworkable policies and keeps administration tethered to the reality of the crisis. Excluding nurses from this decision-making process leads to "diffuse" leadership and policy failure.

5.3 The Hospital Command Center (HCC)

The physical manifestation of integration is the Hospital Command Center [30].

- **Co-Location:** Effective HCCs co-locate operational leads (House Supervisors, Bed Managers) with clinical leads and administrative executives.
- **Data Visualization:** These centers utilize large-scale dashboards integrating data from the EHR, ADT (Admission-Discharge-Transfer) systems, and supply chain software.
- **Impact on Safety:** Studies evaluating the impact of HCCs show mixed but promising results. While some technological implementations showed only marginal improvements in mortality, the *process* of integrated, hospital-wide engagement facilitated by the command center structure was associated with improvements in patient flow and readmission rates.

5.4 Interdisciplinary Rounds (IDR)

At the unit level, Interdisciplinary Rounds (IDR) bring together nurses, physicians, case managers, and administrators to manage patient progression.

- **Throughput Management:** During a crisis, bed capacity is the currency of survival. IDR is the primary mechanism for identifying barriers to discharge and freeing up capacity. Systematic reviews indicate that structured IDR reduces length of stay and improves team collaboration, which is essential when the system is under load [31].

6. Integrated Decision-Making

The ultimate metric of crisis management is the safety and outcome of the patient. Integration directly influences the "Iron Triangle" of healthcare: Access, Quality, and Cost.

6.1 Operational Continuity and Capacity Management

The decision to cancel elective surgeries is a prime example of the need for integrated decision-making.

- **Siloed Approach:** Administrators might delay cancellations to protect revenue, leading to an unsafe overlap of elective and crisis patients. Conversely, clinicians might cancel everything indiscriminately, causing financial ruin and patient harm from delayed care.
- **Integrated Approach:** Using data-driven "Triage by Resource Allocation" tools, the team can objectively weigh the urgency of the surgery against the consumption of critical resources (PPE, blood, ICU beds). This ensures that operations continue safely for as long as possible [15].

6.2 Patient Safety and High Reliability

Crisis environments are prone to error due to fatigue, distraction, and the breakdown of standard processes.

- **Safety Culture:** High Reliability Organizations (HROs) emphasize deference to expertise—meaning the person with the most knowledge of the situation (often the bedside nurse) should influence the decision, regardless of rank.
- **System Failures:** Case studies of medical errors during crises (e.g., the RaDonda Vaught case) often reveal systemic failures where administrative pressures (short staffing, broken equipment) collided with clinical errors. Integrated leadership recognizes that safety is a system property, not just an individual responsibility [32].

Table 1: Comparative Impact of Siloed vs. Integrated Decision-Making

Decision Domain	Siloed Administrative Approach	Siloed Nursing Approach	Integrated Approach (Best Practice)
Supply Procurement	Purchase based on lowest cost or contract compliance. Result: Unusable PPE, staff risk.	Request specific, high-cost brands based on preference. Result: Budget overruns, stockouts.	Admin sources options; Nursing tests usability/clinical efficacy. Result: Cost-effective, functional supplies.
Staffing/Surge	Mandate ratios; Redeploy by	Refusal to float to unsafe areas. Result:	Competency-based redeployment (Tiered

	headcount. Result: Skills mismatch, burnout, error.	Critical staffing gaps, patient abandonment.	Model); Admin provides training/liability cover.
Visitation Policy	Strict ban to minimize legal/infection risk. Result: Family trauma, nurse abuse.	Allow exceptions based on compassion. Result: Infection control breach.	Policy with defined compassionate exceptions; Admin supplies technology for virtual visits.
Elective Surgery	Keep revenue streams open as long as possible. Result: Surge overlap, unsafe census.	Cancel all to prioritize crisis care. Result: Patient backlog, financial instability.	"Dial" approach based on daily census and staff availability data.

7. Conclusion

The management of health crises is an exercise in complexity that exceeds the capacity of any single discipline. The evidence presented in this report demonstrates that the most resilient health systems are those that reject the dichotomy of "business vs. care" and instead embrace an **Integrated Perspective**.

Effective crisis management requires that:

1. **Frameworks like HICS** are populated by interdisciplinary teams, ensuring clinical reality informs strategic command.
2. **Decision-making** regarding resources and standards of care is shared, protecting bedside staff from moral injury while ensuring organizational viability.
3. **Communication** is bi-directional, with nursing leadership acting as the critical link between the boardroom and the bedside.
4. **Preparedness** moves beyond paper plans to include integrated simulations, predictive modeling, and robust supply chain buffers.

Ultimately, the integration of Nursing and Health Administration is not merely a strategy for efficiency; it is an ethical imperative for patient safety and a prerequisite for survival in an era of global health volatility. The path forward demands that we dismantle the silos of the past and build a unified, resilient healthcare leadership for the future.

References

- Austin L, Department of Defense, Mayorkas A, Department of Homeland Security, Becerra X, Department of Health and Human Services, et al. National Strategy for a Resilient Public Health Supply Chain [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://www.phe.gov/Preparedness/legal/Documents/National-Strategy-for-Resilient-Public-Health-Supply-Chain.pdf>
- Wright AM, Snowdon A, Saunders M, Trampas D. The necessity of healthcare supply chain resilience for crisis preparedness. *Healthcare Management Forum* [Internet]. 2023 Nov 3;37(2):95–100. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/08404704231207386>
- Tenergood V PHD, MSN, MPH, RN, FAAN, DeRuggiero K DNP, RN, Losinski SL MPH, RN,

- Daniel Barnett. Crisis Leadership and Decision-Making: Hospital administration and nurse leaders' concerns for disaster response. Sigma Theta Tau International Research Congress. 2005 Aug 28;
- Gupta N, Balcom SA, Gulliver A, Witherspoon RL. Health workforce surge capacity during the COVID-19 pandemic and other global respiratory disease outbreaks: A systematic review of health system requirements and responses. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management* [Internet]. 2021 Mar 1;36(S1):26–41. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3137>
- Abeje W, Tegegne B, Tefera Z, Zenebe Y, Yimam W, Desu B, et al. Factors affecting decision making among nurse managers working in government hospitals. *Frontiers in Health Services* [Internet]. 2025 Jan 15;4:1475402. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3389/frhs.2024.1475402>
- California SO. Hospital Incident Command System – Welcome! | EMSA [Internet]. Available from: <https://emsa.ca.gov/disaster-medical-services-division-hospital-incident-command-system-resources/>
- Kaye AD, Cornett EM, Kallurkar A, Colantonio MM, Chandler D, Mosieri C, et al. Framework for creating an incident command center during crises. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology* [Internet]. 2020 Nov 16;35(3):377–88. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpa.2020.11.008>
- Crisis & Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) [Internet]. Crisis & Emergency Risk Communication (CERC). 2025. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/cerc/php/about/index.html>
- American Nurses Association. Who Will Be There? Ethics, the law, and a nurse's duty to respond in a disaster [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https://www.nursingworld.org/~4af058/globalassets/docs/ana/ethics/who-will-be-there_disaster-preparedness_2017.pdf
- US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) ASPR, the Technical Resources, Assistance Center, and Information Exchange (TRACIE). Staffing considerations for surge planning and emergency response [Internet]. 2021 Feb. Available from: <https://www.nursingworld.org/~499237/globalassets/covid19/final-ana-document-csc-staffing-guidance-4.22.21.pdf>
- Leider JP, DeBruin D, Reynolds N, Koch A, Seaberg J. Ethical Guidance for Disaster response, specifically around crisis standards of care: A Systematic review. *American Journal of Public Health* [Internet]. 2017 Jul 20;107(9):e1–9. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2017.303882>
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Integration Framework [Internet]. 2021 Jun. Available from: <https://www.healthit.gov/>
- Pre-Hospital Mass casualty triage and trauma care [Internet]. ASPR TRACIE. Available from: <https://asprtracie.hhs.gov/technical-resources/33/pre-hospital-mass-casualty-triage-and-trauma-care>
- Adhikari B, Shrestha L, Bajracharya M, Aryal N, Rajbhandari A, Maharjan RK, et al. Triage practices for emergency care delivery: a qualitative study among febrile patients and healthcare workers in a tertiary care hospital in Nepal. *BMC Health Services Research* [Internet]. 2024 Feb 8;24(1):180. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-10663-3>

- Lin A, Taylor K, Cohen RS. Triage by Resource Allocation for INpatients: A Novel Disaster Triage Tool for Hospitalized Pediatric Patients. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*. 2018;12(6):692–6. doi:10.1017/dmp.2017.139
- Azimi H, Momeni M. Mitigating the impact of the challenges of shortages of nurses and patient care equipment during crises: a qualitative study. *BMC Nursing* [Internet]. 2025 Jul 1;24(1):747. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-025-03363-8>
- Verhoeven A, Van De Loo E, Marres H, Lalleman P. Nurses' relational leadership struggles on positioning in strategic hospital crisis management. *Journal of Nursing Management* [Internet]. 2024 Jan 1;2024(1):9212508. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/9212508>
- Sihvola S, Kvist T, Nurmeksela A. Nurse leaders' resilience and their role in supporting nurses' resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic: A scoping review. *Journal of Nursing Management* [Internet]. 2022 Apr 18;30(6):1869–80. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13640>
- Dietl JE, Derksen C, Keller FM, Lippke S. Interdisciplinary and interprofessional communication intervention: How psychological safety fosters communication and increases patient safety. *Frontiers in Psychology* [Internet]. 2023 Jun 15;14:1164288. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1164288>
- Turnipseed DL, VandeWaa EA. Crisis leadership during and after the COVID pandemic. *JONA the Journal of Nursing Administration* [Internet]. 2022 Feb 16;52(3):154–9. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/nna.0000000000001123>
- Genç EE. Improving Disaster Preparedness among Healthcare professionals: A Comprehensive approach. *Eurasian Journal of Emergency Medicine* [Internet]. 2025 Apr 15; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4274/eajem.galenos.2025.51482>
- Federal Emergency Management Agency, Ohio Association of Community Health Centers, Spectrum Health, CDC, Hospital Incident Command System H. Understanding the Hospital Incident Command System (ICS) Module 2 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: <https://files.asprtracie.hhs.gov/documents/epimn-module-2-understanding-hospital-ics.pdf>
- Im A MSPPM, Florez N, Mackin M MPH, Ahana S MPH, McDowell E MPH, Williams S, et al. Supply Ready Leading Practices for Disaster-Related Supply Chain Resilience in community health Centers. 2023.
- CMS announces new guidance for safe visitation in nursing homes during COVID-19 Public Health Emergency | CMS [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/press-releases/cms-announces-new-guidance-safe-visitation-nursing-homes-during-covid-19-public-health-emergency>
- Sahraoui A, Elarref M. Bed crisis and elective surgery late cancellations: An approach using the theory of constraints. *Qatar Medical Journal* [Internet]. 2014 Jul 1;2014(1):1–11. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5339/qmj.2014.1>
- Prediction-Driven Surge Planning with Application to Emergency Department Nurse Staffing [Internet]. Stanford Graduate School of Business. Available from: <https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/faculty-research/publications/prediction-driven-surge-planning-application-emergency-department>
- Kissel KA, Filipek C, Folz E, Jenkins J. The impact of a three-tiered model of nursing redeployment during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing* [Internet]. 2023 Mar 21;77:103431. Available from:

- Buell JM. DYAD LEADERSHIP MODEL: FOUR CASE STUDIES [Internet]. Healthcare Executive. 2009 Jun. Available from: https://assets.ctfassets.net/akkbeusfbcei/1ptWygXzhgQQByW0MpPDM/47c8f9d007b249ec6b79458d8d6fa845/PRMT-Mod3-CreateChange-CaseStudies_1_.pdf
- Brennan D, Wendt L. Increasing Quality and Patient Outcomes with Staff Engagement and Shared Governance. OJIN the Online Journal of Issues in Nursing [Internet]. 2021 Feb 5;26(2). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3912/ojin.vol26no02ppt23>
- Mebrahtu TF, McInerney CD, Benn J, McCrorie C, Granger J, Lawton T, et al. Effect of a hospital command centre on patient safety: an interrupted time series study. BMJ Health & Care Informatics [Internet]. 2023 Jan 1;30(1):e100653. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2022-100653>
- Heip T, Van Hecke A, Malfait S, Van Biesen W, Eeckloo K. The effects of interdisciplinary bedside rounds on patient centeredness, quality of care, and team collaboration: a systematic review. Journal of Patient Safety [Internet]. 2020 May 8;18(1):e40–4. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/pts.0000000000000695>
- Hawkins SF, Morse JM. Untenable expectations: nurses' work in the context of medication administration, error, and the organization. Global Qualitative Nursing Research [Internet]. 2022 Jan 1;9. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/23333936221131779>.