

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i12.3715>

Enhancing Patient Outcomes through Collaborative Practice between Nurses and Patient Care Technicians

Ansam Homood Alfahmi¹, Raneem Fahad Alharbi², Nada Nasser Mohammed Alsallah³, Ahlam Mohammed Almalki⁴, Raneem Abdullah Shesha⁵, Fawzia Mohammed Jeddawi⁶, Asma Abid Almalki⁷, Bayan Othman Ali Alaki⁸, Abdulatef Dakhil Aid Alharbi⁹

Abstract

In the landscape of modern healthcare, the collaborative partnership between Registered Nurses (RNs) and Patient Care Technicians (PCTs) represents a cornerstone of effective, patient-centered care. This research paper explores the dynamics of this critical dyad, examining how structured collaboration, clear role delineation, and effective communication directly contribute to improved patient outcomes, including enhanced safety, greater patient satisfaction, and a higher overall quality of care. This paper analyzes the distinct yet complementary scopes of practice for RNs and PCTs, governed by the foundational principles of delegation as outlined by the American Nurses Association (ANA). It establishes the evidentiary link between high-functioning interprofessional teams and measurable improvements in clinical and patient-reported metrics. Furthermore, the paper identifies common systemic, interpersonal, and structural barriers that impede effective teamwork and presents a suite of evidence-based strategies to overcome them, with a significant focus on the TeamSTEPPS® framework. Through an examination of successful collaborative models, this paper synthesizes its findings into actionable recommendations for clinical practice, healthcare policy, and nursing education. The central thesis is that by intentionally cultivating a culture of mutual respect, psychological safety, and shared purpose, healthcare organizations can leverage the full potential of the nurse-PCT team to meet the complex demands of contemporary patient care and achieve the highest standards of safety and quality.

Keywords: Nursing Collaboration, Patient Care Technician, Teamwork, Patient Outcomes, Quality Of Care, Interprofessional Practice.

1. Introduction

1.1 The Imperative for Team-Based Care in Modern Healthcare

Contemporary healthcare systems face unprecedented challenges, driven by an aging population and a growing burden of chronic diseases that increase the complexity of patient needs [1]. This complexity has rendered traditional, siloed models of care insufficient, creating a clear imperative for a shift toward integrated, team-based approaches. Interprofessional collaboration (IPC), defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the process by which "multiple health

¹ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

² Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

³ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁴ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁵ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁶ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁷ Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁸ Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

⁹ Ministry of health- Makkah Forensic Medical Services Center-Saudi Arabia



workers from different professional backgrounds work together with patients, families, carers and communities to deliver the highest quality of care," has emerged as the gold standard for comprehensive care delivery [2]. This model is a guiding principle for learning health systems, which stress interdependence and efficient care coordination to prevent medical errors and improve patient-centered outcomes [3]. The drive toward team-based care is further propelled by the healthcare industry's pursuit of the "Triple Aim": improving the patient experience of care, improving the health of populations, and reducing the per capita cost of healthcare [4].

1.2 The Nurse-PCT Dyad: A Critical Partnership at the Point of Care

Within the broad framework of IPC, the partnership between the Registered Nurse (RN) and the Patient Care Technician (PCT) constitutes a critical dyad at the frontline of patient care. The PCT, unlicensed assistive personnel (UAP), provides essential direct care to patients under the supervision of a licensed RN [5]. The increasing reliance on this dyad is not merely a response to nursing shortages but represents a fundamental redesign of care delivery. This model strategically leverages the distinct skill sets of each professional to meet complex patient needs more efficiently. By entrusting foundational care tasks to competent PCTs, RNs are better positioned to operate at the top of their license, focusing on complex assessment, clinical judgment, and care coordination, which in turn helps to mitigate the pervasive issues of nursing burnout and turnover [6]. The relationship is characterized by a high degree of interdependence; the RN's ability to perform high-level cognitive functions is directly contingent on the PCT's effective and reliable execution of delegated tasks [7]. Consequently, any dysfunction within this partnership—be it from miscommunication, role ambiguity, or lack of mutual respect—is not simply an interpersonal issue but a direct threat to the integrity of the care model and, by extension, to patient safety. The success of this collaboration has become a non-negotiable prerequisite for the delivery of safe, high-quality care.

1.3 Thesis and Structure of the Paper

This paper argues that a structured, respectful, and clearly defined collaborative relationship between RNs and PCTs, governed by professional principles of effective delegation and communication, is a direct and powerful driver of improved patient outcomes. To substantiate this thesis, this paper will first delineate the complementary roles and professional boundaries of the RN and PCT, establishing the framework of delegation that governs their interaction. It will then explore the core dynamics of interprofessional collaboration, including the critical roles of communication and leadership, and the challenges posed by traditional healthcare hierarchies. Subsequently, the paper will present the robust evidentiary link between effective collaboration and enhanced patient safety, satisfaction, and quality of care. Following an analysis of the primary barriers to effective teamwork, a comprehensive set of evidence-based strategies and successful care models will be presented. The paper will conclude by synthesizing these findings into actionable recommendations for clinical practice, healthcare policy, and future research.

2. Delineating Complementary Roles and Professional Boundaries

2.1 The Registered Nurse: Clinical Judgment, Care Coordination, and Accountability

The role of the Registered Nurse is defined by the performance of acts requiring "substantial

specialized judgment and skill" grounded in the principles of biological, physical, and social sciences. The RN's scope of practice is comprehensive, encompassing patient assessment, the development and implementation of nursing care plans, administration of medications and treatments, evaluation of care, and patient education. RNs are at the front lines of ensuring patient care is delivered safely and effectively, and they are legally and professionally accountable for the overall care provided to their patients. This accountability extends to all tasks delegated to other members of the care team. As such, the RN functions as the leader and coordinator of the nursing team, responsible for synthesizing complex information and collaborating with physicians and other disciplines to ensure a cohesive plan of care [8].

2.2 The Patient Care Technician: Foundational Support and Direct Patient Assistance

The Patient Care Technician provides direct, hands-on care to patients under the supervision of an RN or physician. The PCT's responsibilities are foundational to patient comfort and safety and typically include monitoring and recording vital signs, assisting with activities of daily living (ADLs) such as bathing and feeding, helping with patient mobility, and collecting specimens for testing. In many settings, PCTs possess a more advanced skillset than other UAPs, with training to perform procedures like phlebotomy, electrocardiograms (EKGs), and, in some states, placement of intravenous lines. The PCT's role is designed to be supportive, allowing the RN to delegate routine tasks and dedicate more time to complex clinical responsibilities that require advanced nursing judgment [9].

2.3 The Principle of Delegation: A Framework for Safe and Effective Practice

Delegation is the core mechanism that structures the RN-PCT working relationship. It is defined as the process of a licensed nurse authorizing a competent individual to perform a selected nursing task in a specific situation, while the nurse retains accountability for the outcome of that task [10]. According to a joint statement by the American Nurses Association (ANA) and the National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN), delegation is an essential nursing skill that, when used appropriately, maximizes the delivery of patient care [11]. A cardinal rule of delegation is that the core components of the nursing process—assessment, planning, evaluation, and nursing judgment—can never be delegated to unlicensed personnel [12]. The decision to delegate is a professional judgment made by the RN based on a thorough assessment of the patient's condition, the complexity of the task, and the competency of the PCT.

Feature	Registered Nurse (RN)	Patient Care Technician (PCT)
Primary Role	Clinical Judgment, Care Coordination, Comprehensive Patient Management	Direct Patient Care Assistance, Data Collection, Performing Delegated Tasks
Education	Associate Degree in Nursing (ADN) or Bachelor of Science in Nursing (BSN)	Certificate or Diploma Program (typically several months to a year)

Licensure	State Licensure required (must pass NCLEX-RN)	Certification (e.g., CPCT) often required or preferred; not a state license
Scope of Practice	Comprehensive: assessment, nursing diagnosis, care planning, medication administration, evaluation of care	Limited to delegated tasks: vital signs, ADLs, phlebotomy, EKGs, specimen collection, basic procedures under supervision
Accountability	Legally accountable for overall patient outcomes, the nursing process, and the outcomes of delegated tasks	Accountable for the competent and safe performance of the delegated task itself
Supervision	Practices independently within scope and supervises other personnel (LPNs, PCTs)	Works under the direct supervision of a licensed RN or physician

To ensure this process is conducted safely and effectively, the ANA has established a widely adopted framework known as "The Five Rights of Delegation." This framework provides a systematic checklist for the RN to use when making any delegation decision, ensuring that critical aspects of patient safety and personnel competency are considered.

The Right	Description	Key Considerations for the RN
Right Task	The task is repetitive, requires minimal supervision, is relatively noninvasive, and has predictable results. It must be within the PCT's scope of practice and align with agency policies.	Is this task appropriate for delegation, or does it require nursing judgment? Can it be safely performed by a UAP?
Right Circumstance	The patient's condition is stable and predictable. The practice setting has adequate resources and appropriate supervision is available.	Is the patient's condition stable enough for this task to be delegated? Are there sufficient resources and support available?
Right Person	The specific PCT has the necessary competence, skills, and training to perform the task	Does this individual have the required knowledge and demonstrated skill to

	safely. Their competency has been documented and verified.	perform this task? Have they been properly trained and validated?
Right Direction/Communication	The RN provides clear, concise, correct, and complete instructions, including the objective, limits, and expectations. Two-way communication is encouraged.	Have I clearly communicated what needs to be done, for whom, by when, and what needs to be reported back to me immediately?
Right Supervision/Evaluation	The RN provides appropriate monitoring, evaluation, intervention as needed, and feedback. The RN remains accountable for evaluating the patient's outcome.	Am I available to supervise and support the PCT? How will I monitor the performance and evaluate the patient's response to the care?

3. The Dynamics of Interprofessional Collaboration

3.1 Core Tenets of Team-Based Care: Shared Goals, Mutual Trust, and Role Clarity

High-functioning healthcare teams are built upon a foundation of core principles that foster what is often termed "tameness". The first tenet is the establishment of **shared goals** that are clearly articulated, understood by all members, and reflect patient and family priorities [13]. When the patient is positioned as the central member of the team, professional differences are minimized in favor of a unified purpose. A second, indispensable tenet is **mutual trust**, which is earned through honesty, transparency, and consistent, reliable performance [14]. This trust is underpinned by foundational values such as humility—recognizing that no single discipline is superior—and discipline in carrying out one's responsibilities. The third tenet is **role clarity**, which requires clear expectations for each team member's functions, responsibilities, and accountabilities. This clarity is essential for optimizing team efficiency and ensuring that the professional with the best match of expertise is engaged at the appropriate time [15].

3.2 Communication as the Cornerstone of Effective Teamwork

Effective communication is universally cited as the key to quality teams and the very cornerstone of collaborative practice. High-functioning teams prioritize and continuously refine their communication skills, maintaining consistent and candid channels for information exchange that are accessible to all members. Within the nursing dyad, the RN often serves as the central communication hub, acting as a bridge between the patient, the PCT, physicians, and other members of the healthcare team [16]. Research indicates a high frequency of interaction between RNs and PCTs, with one study finding that over 90% of RNs and PCTs caring for the same patient reported speaking with each other during a shift. However, this same study revealed a significant disconnect in their understanding of patient care priorities, with full agreement in only

a fraction of cases [17]. This finding critically underscores that the frequency of communication does not equate to its effectiveness; without a shared mental model and clear, goal-oriented dialogue, even constant interaction can fail to produce cohesive care.

3.3 The Influence of Healthcare Hierarchies on Collaborative Dynamics

Despite the move toward team-based models, healthcare systems are often characterized by traditional hierarchical structures that can be counterproductive to true collaboration [18]. This hierarchy can create power differentials and a "medically dominant management structure" that stifles open dialogue and shared decision-making [19]. Over time, this can lead to the development of a "culture of low expectations," where faulty and incomplete information exchange becomes an accepted norm, predisposing the system to errors as even conscientious professionals learn to ignore potential red flags [20].

This dynamic is particularly salient in the RN-PCT relationship. The inherent power differential between a licensed professional (RN) and an unlicensed assistant (PCT) can create a significant deficit in psychological safety for the PCT. In a hierarchical culture that lacks mutual respect, PCTs may feel they do not have the right to decline inappropriately delegated tasks or voice concerns for fear of reprisal [7]. This transforms the act of communication from a simple exchange of information into a complex risk-assessment activity for the PCT. When a technician observes a subtle but potentially critical change in a patient's condition, their decision to report it is weighed against the interpersonal risk of being dismissed, ignored, or criticized. This hesitation, born from a lack of psychological safety, can delay or prevent the transmission of vital clinical information, creating a direct pathway to adverse patient events [20]. Therefore, fostering effective collaboration is not simply about training PCTs on what to report, but about creating an organizational culture where they feel safe and empowered to do so without fear.

4. The Evidentiary Link Between Collaboration and Patient Outcomes

4.1 Enhancing Patient Safety: Error Reduction and Proactive Risk Mitigation

A substantial body of evidence links effective interprofessional collaboration directly to enhanced patient safety. Team-based approaches have been shown to be effective in preventing medical errors, which are often rooted in communication failures [21]. Indeed, studies have attributed the majority of serious medical errors and a significant portion of malpractice claims to miscommunication between caregivers, particularly during patient handovers [20]. Research on nurse-physician collaboration, which serves as a strong proxy for interprofessional teamwork, has demonstrated that higher levels of collaboration are associated with a decrease in adverse patient events [22]. The clinical impact can be highly specific; for instance, a retrospective analysis of severe pneumonia patients in an ICU found that enhanced communication and collaboration within the nursing team led to significantly better improvements in respiratory mechanics and a greater reduction in serum inflammatory markers compared to standard care [23].

This link between collaboration and safety can be understood through the lens of cognitive load. The PCT's role in managing routine, predictable tasks—such as assisting with ADLs, taking vital signs, and ensuring patient comfort—serves to offload the RN's cognitive burden. In a complex

and interruption-prone healthcare environment, cognitive overload is a major contributor to medical errors. By reliably and competently managing these foundational tasks, the PCT effectively preserves the RN's limited cognitive and attentional resources. This allows the RN to dedicate their focus to higher-level functions: interpreting subtle changes in a patient's condition, engaging in complex clinical reasoning, and coordinating multifaceted care plans. Thus, the PCT's contribution to safety is not merely in the tasks they perform, but in their role as a manager of the RN's cognitive environment. An unreliable or poorly communicating PCT does the opposite; they increase the RN's cognitive load by requiring constant verification and follow-up, which paradoxically elevates the risk of errors.

4.2 Improving the Patient Experience and Satisfaction

Effective collaboration also yields significant benefits in patient-reported outcomes, most notably patient satisfaction. Systematic reviews have found a positive correlation between interprofessional collaboration and higher levels of patient satisfaction [2]. This is rooted in the principles of patient-centered care, which positions the patient and their family as active partners in the care process [4]. When patients feel their input is sought and valued, they report higher satisfaction with their care. The PCT plays a direct and vital role in this experience. As the caregiver often spending significant time with patients on basic needs, the PCT's ability to provide comfort, emotional support, and compassionate assistance is a major determinant of the patient's overall perception of their care [24].

4.3 Elevating the Quality of Care: Evidence from Clinical and Process Metrics

Beyond safety and satisfaction, strong teamwork has been shown to improve objective clinical and process metrics. A systematic review of physician-nurse collaboration in primary care found that it led to better clinical outcomes, including improved blood pressure control and a reduction in hospitalizations. The evidence regarding patient length of stay (LOS) is more nuanced. While some studies have linked collaboration to decreased LOS, others suggest that for patients with higher acuity, more intensive collaborative care may appropriately result in a longer LOS, as this allows the team to proactively manage issues and prevent complications that might otherwise lead to readmission [25]. Overall, the body of evidence strongly supports the conclusion that IPC improves the continuity and coordination of care, leading to more effective and efficient health service delivery.

5. Barriers to Effective Nurse-PCT Collaboration

5.1 Systemic and Organizational Challenges: Staffing, Workload, and Resource Constraints

Effective collaboration is often hindered by systemic pressures that are beyond the immediate control of the clinical team. Pervasive issues such as inadequate staffing levels, heavy workloads, and a general lack of time are frequently cited as the most significant barriers to interprofessional collaboration [1]. These constraints force a shift from a collaborative, patient-centered approach to a task-oriented, fragmented model of care, where efficiency is prioritized over communication [26]. Furthermore, a lack of robust organizational support, manifested as an absence of a defined collaborative culture or inadequate resources for team training, creates an environment where

teamwork cannot thrive [27].

5.2 Interpersonal and Communication Gaps: Misunderstanding, Lack of Respect, and Ineffective Handoffs

At the inter-individual level, barriers are often rooted in poor communication and a lack of mutual respect. Nurses frequently report feeling hurried by other professionals or feeling that their input is not being heard, which discourages them from voicing critical observations [28]. A lack of respect, demonstrated through condescending remarks or expressions of superiority, erodes the trust necessary for effective teamwork [7]. A critical communication gap occurs when UAPs are excluded from key information-sharing processes, such as shift handovers or care planning meetings. This exclusion prevents the development of shared goals, creates information silos, and leaves PCTs feeling disengaged from the team, ultimately leading to fragmented care and missed tasks [19]. Language and cultural differences between team members can also present considerable communication challenges if not proactively addressed [26].

5.3 Role Ambiguity and Conflict: The Consequences of Unclear Professional Boundaries

A lack of role clarity is one of the most consistently reported barriers to effective IPC. When professional boundaries are poorly defined, it can lead to role conflict, a significant job-related stressor that contributes to burnout. This ambiguity manifests in two dangerous ways. First, it can lead to PCTs performing tasks that are outside their scope of practice, which creates a serious patient safety risk and exposes the supervising RN to legal and professional liability [29]. Second, when staffing shortages force RNs to consistently perform tasks that are better suited for PCTs, it leads to professional dissatisfaction, burnout, and an inefficient use of highly skilled resources. This role confusion often stems from a fundamental lack of knowledge among team members about each other's education, scope of practice, and unique contributions to patient care [30].

6. Evidence-Based Strategies for Optimizing Teamwork

6.1 Fostering a Culture of Collaboration through Transformational Leadership

The most fundamental intervention for improving teamwork is a deliberate and sustained effort to foster a positive organizational culture. This change must be driven from the top down. Strong, effective nurse leaders are essential for creating a psychologically safe and civil workplace that promotes high morale, encourages collaboration, and improves staff retention [31]. Leaders are responsible for modeling collaborative behaviors, clearly communicating expectations for teamwork, and providing the necessary support and resources for teams to succeed [32]. Leadership styles that are democratic and transformational, focusing on team success and inspiring a shared vision, are particularly effective in building a collaborative environment. A supportive organizational culture is not merely a desirable attribute but a key facilitator of high-quality interprofessional practice [33].

6.2 Implementing Structured Communication and Teamwork Models: The TeamSTEPPS Framework

To move beyond abstract goals, healthcare organizations can implement structured, evidence-based frameworks designed to improve teamwork and communication. The most prominent of these is TeamSTEPPS® (Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety),

a system developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and the Department of Defense. TeamSTEPPS is built on four core competencies: **Communication, Leading Teams, Situation Monitoring, and Mutual Support**. It provides teams with a common language and a toolkit of practical strategies, such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) for structured information exchange, daily huddles for care planning, and closed-loop communication to ensure messages are received and understood [34]. The implementation of TeamSTEPPS has been shown to significantly improve patient safety culture, enhance staff satisfaction, reduce medical errors, and lead to better clinical outcomes.

6.3 The Role of Joint Education, Competency-Based Training, and Mentorship

Educational initiatives are critical for building and sustaining collaborative practice. Interprofessional education (IPE), which brings students from different health professions together to learn about, from, and with each other, is a key strategy for breaking down professional silos and fostering a shared understanding of roles before clinicians enter the workforce. For PCTs, rigorous, competency-based training is essential to ensure they can perform delegated tasks safely and effectively, and this competency must be validated and documented by the healthcare organization. Furthermore, establishing formal mentorship programs can be a powerful tool for improving interpersonal communication, building confidence, and supporting the professional development of both new RNs and PCTs, thereby strengthening the entire team [35].

6.4 Adherence to Professional and Regulatory Guidelines (ANA, The Joint Commission)

Finally, all collaborative practices must be firmly grounded in professional and regulatory standards. The ANA's principles on delegation, particularly the "Five Rights of Delegation," provide a non-negotiable framework that must guide every decision to delegate a task from an RN to a PCT [36]. Adherence to these principles is essential for protecting patient safety and mitigating legal risk. Concurrently, accrediting bodies like The Joint Commission have standards that focus on functions essential to providing safe, high-quality care, including requirements related to effective team communication and collaboration [37]. These standards serve as a powerful regulatory incentive for organizations to invest in and prioritize teamwork. Global standards, such as the WHO's Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education & Collaborative Practice, further reinforce the importance of these efforts on an international scale [38].

Barrier

Evidence-Based Strategy

Role Ambiguity & Conflict

Develop clear, written role descriptions and decision-making algorithms. Conduct joint training sessions on each other's scope of practice. Mandate consistent use of the "Five Rights of Delegation" framework for all delegated tasks.

Poor Communication & Ineffective Handoffs	Implement structured communication tools (e.g., SBAR, closed-loop communication). Formally integrate PCTs into team huddles and bedside shift reports to ensure shared awareness.
Hierarchical Culture & Lack of Respect	Senior leadership must visibly champion and model a culture of psychological safety and mutual respect. Implement TeamSTEPPS to flatten communication hierarchies and empower all team members to speak up. Establish mentorship programs to build positive interpersonal relationships.
Lack of Training & Competency Gaps	Invest in interprofessional education (IPE) for students and ongoing team training for staff. Develop and require competency validation for all delegated PCT tasks. Provide continuous education on effective communication and delegation skills.

7. Models of Success: Case Studies in Collaborative Practice

7.1 The Team Nursing Model in Acute Care Settings: A Comparative Analysis

The team nursing model is a care delivery philosophy in which a group of professional and non-professional personnel, led by an RN team leader, work collaboratively to provide comprehensive care for a designated group of patients [27]. This model leverages the varied skills of the team—including RNs, Licensed Vocational Nurses (LVNs), and PCTs/CNAs—to manage patient care efficiently. For example, in a medical-surgical unit, a team might consist of one RN team lead, another RN or LVN, and a PCT, who are collectively responsible for a group of patients. The RN team lead is responsible for assessment and care planning, while the LVN may handle medication administration and treatments, and the PCT focuses on ADLs, vital signs, and patient mobility [39]. The success of this model is highly dependent on structured and frequent communication, including regular team huddles to reassess care plans and comprehensive bedside shift reports to ensure continuity of care.

7.2 Innovations in High-Acuity Environments: The Nurse-Extender Model

The principles of team nursing can be adapted to meet the unique challenges of high-acuity environments. A compelling case study from an Emergency Department (ED) demonstrates an innovative "nurse-extender" model developed in response to staffing shortages and increased patient complexity. In this model, high-functioning medical-surgical RNs were recruited to work as "extenders" alongside experienced ED RNs. A critical component of this model's success was the intensive, specialized training provided to *both* groups. The extenders received an 8-hour training day covering ED-specific workflows, triage, documentation, and complex emergency protocols. The existing ED staff, in turn, were trained on the scope and responsibilities of the extenders and the new team-based staffing plan. This model allowed a single ED RN, paired with a nurse extender, to safely care for a larger group of patients. The outcomes were overwhelmingly positive, resulting in high staff satisfaction and, notably, the original nurse extenders were so engaged by the experience that they transitioned to full-time ED positions [40].

7.3 Lessons from Nurse-Led Innovations in Diverse Care Settings

The success of these collaborative models is not an anomaly but reflects a broader pattern seen in various nurse-led innovations. Programs like Centering Pregnancy (group prenatal care) and the Family Practice and Counseling Network (integrated primary and behavioral health) demonstrate that successful models, regardless of the specific clinical setting, share common facilitators [41]. These include the presence of a strong "champion"—a leader who drives the vision and implementation—and broad support from the community and the organization. These models are not merely static staffing grids; they are dynamic, adaptive systems. They treat collaboration itself as a clinical competency that must be deliberately cultivated. The success of the ED nurse-extender model was not a result of simply placing more nurses in the department, but of intentionally engineering a collaborative workflow through mandatory, structured training and the establishment of new communication processes. This demonstrates that the most effective models build in mechanisms for continuous learning and recalibration, actively managing teamwork with the same rigor applied to a patient's clinical condition.

8. Conclusion and Future Directions

The evidence presented throughout this paper confirms that the collaborative relationship between Registered Nurses and Patient Care Technicians is a critical, symbiotic dyad in modern healthcare delivery. A well-structured partnership, characterized by clear role delineation, effective communication, and mutual respect, is not merely a component of a healthy work environment but a direct determinant of patient outcomes. The synthesis of research demonstrates a clear and compelling link between high-functioning nurse-PCT teams and tangible improvements in patient safety, increased patient satisfaction, and enhanced overall quality of care. The core pillars supporting this successful collaboration are unambiguous: supportive and transformational leadership that fosters a culture of psychological safety; adherence to professional standards of delegation, such as the ANA's "Five Rights"; and the implementation of structured communication frameworks like TeamSTEPPS.

Recommendations for Clinical Practice, Healthcare Policy, and Nursing Education

Based on the synthesized evidence, the following recommendations are proposed to strengthen nurse-PCT collaboration and, by extension, patient outcomes:

- **For Clinical Practice:** Healthcare organizations should make a strategic investment in team training by implementing evidence-based programs such as TeamSTEPPS® across all clinical units. Clear, accessible, and regularly reviewed policies and procedures governing the scope of practice for PCTs and the process of delegation must be established. Critically, PCTs should be formally integrated into all relevant team communication structures, including daily huddles and bedside shift reports, to foster a shared mental model and a sense of inclusion.
- **For Healthcare Policy:** Regulatory and accrediting bodies, including The Joint Commission, should continue to strengthen and enforce standards that mandate effective interprofessional communication and collaboration as a condition of accreditation.

Policymakers should support initiatives that promote team-based care models and provide funding for research into their effectiveness.

- **For Nursing Education:** Nursing school curricula must incorporate robust, competency-based education on the principles of delegation, supervision, conflict management, and interprofessional communication. Academic institutions should actively seek opportunities to create joint training programs for nursing students and PCT students to build collaborative skills and mutual respect from the earliest stages of their professional development.

8.3 Addressing Gaps in the Research: A Call for Future Inquiry

While the benefits of collaboration are clear, recent scoping reviews have identified several gaps in the current body of research that warrant future inquiry. Future studies should focus on:

1. **Optimizing Communication Processes:** Research is needed to identify and validate the most effective communication strategies and tools specifically for the RN-PCT dyad within various clinical contexts.
2. **Enhancing Delegation Skills:** There is a need for more rigorous research evaluating the effectiveness of different educational interventions designed to improve RNs' delegation and supervision competencies.
3. **Quantifying Outcomes:** While a general link exists, more research is needed to draw direct, causal links between specific collaborative models or delegation practices and quantifiable patient outcomes, such as rates of falls, pressure injuries, or medication errors.
4. **Comparative Analysis:** Further investigation is required to understand the nuanced differences in teamwork dynamics, barriers, and best practices across diverse clinical settings, such as comparing the fast-paced, high-acuity environments of the ICU and ED with the workflow of medical-surgical units.

By addressing these research gaps, the healthcare community can continue to refine and optimize the collaborative practice between nurses and patient care technicians, solidifying this essential partnership as a cornerstone of safe, effective, and compassionate patient care.

References

- Rawlinson C, Carron T, Cohidon C, Arditi C, Hong QN, Pluye P, et al. An overview of reviews on interprofessional collaboration in Primary Care: Barriers and Facilitators. *International Journal of Integrated Care* [Internet]. 2021 Jun 22;21(2):32. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.5589>
- Matthys E, Remmen R, Van Bogaert P. An overview of systematic reviews on the collaboration between physicians and nurses and the impact on patient outcomes: what can we learn in primary care? *BMC Family Practice* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 1;18(1). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-017-0698-x>

- 40 *Enhancing Patient Outcomes through Collaborative Practice between Interprofessional Collaborative Practice* | World Health Professions Alliance [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.whpa.org/activities/interprofessional-collaborative-practice>
- Kaiser L, Conrad S, Neugebauer E a. M, Pietsch B, Pieper D. Interprofessional collaboration and patient-reported outcomes in inpatient care: a systematic review. *Systematic Reviews* [Internet]. 2022 Aug 13;11(1). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-022-02027-x>
- Produced by the employer-led Talent Pipeline Management Collaborative. Patient Care Technician (PCT). 2023.
- CONTINENTAL. DIVISION SCOPE OF SERVICE. 2022.
- Wong KL, Chua WL, Griffiths P, Goh QLP, Low KWC, Tan JQA, et al. Teamwork between registered nurses and unlicensed assistive personnel in acute care settings: a scoping review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies Advances* [Internet]. 2025 Jan 13;8:100293. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnsa.2025.100293>
- Nursing ORF, Ernstmeyer K, Christman E. Chapter 1 Scope of practice [Internet]. *Nursing Fundamentals* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2021. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK591808/>
- Little KT. *Fundamental Concepts and Skills for the Patient Care Technician - E-Book: Fundamental Concepts and Skills for the Patient Care Technician - E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2022.
- Barrow JM, Sharma S. Five rights of nursing delegation [Internet]. *StatPearls* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2023. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519519/>
- American Nurses Association. ANA's Principles for Delegation [Internet]. American Nurses Association; 2012. Available from: <https://www.nursingworld.org/~4af4f2/globalassets/docs/ana/ethics/principlesofdelegation.pdf>
- Kentucky Board of Nursing. AOS #15 Supervision and delegation [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://kbn.ky.gov/KBN%20Documents/aos15-delegation.pdf>
- American Nurses Association, Berwick D, Mitchell P, Lamb P, Institute of Medicine, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Promoting Patient-Centered Team-Based health care [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.nursingworld.org/~4af159/globalassets/docs/ana/ethics/issue-brief_patient-centered-team-based-health-care_2016.pdf
- Schottenfeld L, Petersen D, Peikes D, Ricciardi R, Burak H, McNellis R, et al. Creating Patient-Centered Team-Based primary care [Internet]. AHRQ. 2016. Available from: <https://doh.sd.gov/media/m4beks0b/what-is-team-based-care.pdf>
- Keehn MT, Center for the Advancement of InterProfessional Practice, Education and Research (CAIPPER), University of Illinois Chicago, Nundy et al., Interprofessional Education Collaborative, WHO - Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education & Collaborative Practice, et al. Collaborative Practice Definition February 2024. 2024.
- O'Daniel M, Rosenstein AH. Professional communication and team collaboration [Internet]. *Patient Safety and Quality* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2008. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2637/>
- Vujančić J, Mikšić Š, Barać I, Včev A, Lovrić R. Patients' and nurses' perceptions of importance of caring Nurse–Patient interactions: do they differ? *Healthcare* [Internet]. 2022 Mar 16;10(3):554. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10030554>

- Babiker A, El Husseini M, Al Nemri A, et al. Health care professional development: Working as a team to improve patient care. *Sudan J Paediatr*. 2014;14(2):9-16.
- Clarín OA. Strategies to overcome barriers to effective nurse practitioner and physician collaboration. *The Journal for Nurse Practitioners* [Internet]. 2007 Sep 1;3(8):538–48. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nurpra.2007.05.019>
- Evanoff B, Potter P, Wolf L, Grayson D, Dunagan C, Boxerman S. Can we talk? Priorities for patient care differed among health care providers [Internet]. *Advances in Patient Safety: From Research to Implementation (Volume 1: Research Findings)* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2005. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK20468/>
- Olsen L, Saunders RS, McGinnis JM. Team-Based care and the learning culture [Internet]. *Patients Charting the Course* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2011. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK92080/>
- Tschannen D, Kalisch BJ. The impact of nurse/physician collaboration on patient length of stay. *Journal of Nursing Management* [Internet]. 2008 Nov 19;17(7):796–803. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2834.2008.00926.x>
- Wei XF, Zhu T, Xia Q. Effects of nursing team communication and collaboration on treatment outcomes in intensive care unit patients with severe pneumonia. *World Journal of Clinical Cases* [Internet]. 2024 Jun 30;12(20):4166–73. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v12.i20.4166>
- How nurses can affect positive patient outcomes [Internet]. ANA. 2024. Available from: <https://www.nursingworld.org/content-hub/resources/nursing-leadership/positive-patient-outcomes/>
- Robinson SK, Meisnere M, Phillips RL Jr, McCauley L. Designing interprofessional teams and preparing the future primary care workforce [Internet]. *Implementing High-Quality Primary Care* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2021. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK571818/>
- Alharazi RM, Abdulrahim RJ, Mazuzah AH, Almutairi RM, Almutary H, Alhafaian A. Barriers and factors affecting nursing communication when providing patient care in Jeddah. *Clinics and Practice* [Internet]. 2025 Jan 14;15(1):19. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/clinpract15010019>
- The Team Nursing Model: What it is and how it improves care [Internet]. The University of Tulsa. 2024. Available from: <https://online.utulsa.edu/blog/team-nursing-model/>
- Talking it out: Improving Nurse-Physician Communication - Rivier Academics [Internet]. Rivier Academics. 2025. Available from: <https://www.rivier.edu/academics/blog-posts/talking-it-out-improving-nurse-physician-communication/>
- Miller W. Role Conflict, Role Ambiguity, and Burnout among Medical-Surgical Staff Nurses [Internet]. 1987. Available from: https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc_theses/3518
- Haddad LM, Annamaraju P, Toney-Butler TJ. Nursing shortage [Internet]. *StatPearls* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2023. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK493175/>
- Leadership in Nursing: Qualities & Why it Matters | ANA [Internet]. ANA. 2024. Available from: <https://www.nursingworld.org/content-hub/resources/nursing-leadership/leadership-in-nursing/>
- The impact of strong nursing leadership on patient care [Internet]. *Online Degree Programs | Oklahoma City University Online*. 2025. Available from: <https://online.okcu.edu/nursing/blog/the-impact-of-strong-nursing-leadership-on-patient-care>

- Galletta M, Portoghese I, Battistelli A, Leiter MP. The roles of unit leadership and nurse–physician collaboration on nursing turnover intention. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* [Internet]. 2012 Nov 16;69(8):1771–84. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12039>
- King HB, Battles J, Baker DP, Alonso A, Salas E, Webster J, et al. TeamSTEPPSTM: team strategies and tools to enhance performance and patient safety [Internet]. *Advances in Patient Safety: New Directions and Alternative Approaches (Vol. 3: Performance and Tools)* - NCBI Bookshelf. 2008. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK43686/>
- National Academies Press (US). *Interprofessional education within the health system* [Internet]. *Interprofessional Education for Collaboration - NCBI Bookshelf*. 2013. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK207099/>
- Nursing Assistive Personnel | ARN [Internet]. Association of Rehabilitation Nurses. Available from: <https://rehabnurse.org/about/position-statements/nursing-assistive-personnel>
- Delegation in Nursing: How to build a stronger team [Internet]. ANA. 2024. Available from: <https://www.nursingworld.org/content-hub/resources/nursing-leadership/delegation-in-nursing/>
- Statement on assistive personnel to the registered nurse [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.aacnnursing.org/news-data/position-statements-white-papers/assistive-personnel-to-the-registered-nurse>
- AONL. *Team Nursing Model/Approach during a Pandemic crisis* [Internet]. *Team Nursing Overview*. 2021. Available from: https://calhospital.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/kp_scal_team_nursing_overview_v4.pdf
- Hall LM. Nursing staff mix models and outcomes. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* [Internet]. 2003 Oct 1;44(2):217–26. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2003.02786.x>
- 5 Innovative Nurse-Led models of care to Explore | AHA [Internet]. American Hospital Association. 2025. Available from: <https://www.aha.org/2025-07-15-5-innovative-nurse-led-models-care-explore>