

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v4i3.3473>

## The Role of Digital Health and Artificial Intelligence in Reducing Medication Errors and Improving Patient Safety

ZAINAB ABDULKAREEM ALMOUSA<sup>1</sup>, MARIAM RADI ALBASHRAWI<sup>2</sup>, ALEAH DEEFALLH ALSHOMRANI<sup>3</sup>, ASHWAG MOHAMMED EIDAN<sup>4</sup>, ABDULLAH MOHAMMED ALZAHIRANI<sup>5</sup>, KHALID ABDULLAH SAEED GHILANI<sup>6</sup>, FAYEZ ALI ALMUTAIRI<sup>7</sup>, MONA ABDULLAH ALZAHER<sup>8</sup>, RASHEED ALI HAJWAL<sup>9</sup>, HASSAN MOHAMMED ALSADIQ<sup>10</sup>, ZAHRA MANSOUR AL SIBAA<sup>11</sup>, ZAINAB FATHALLAH AL NASIEF<sup>12</sup>, WALAA MOHAMMED AL SAFFAR<sup>13</sup>, SALMAN FRAIH SALIH ALHARBI<sup>14</sup>, AMJAD RUHAIL ALSHAMMARI<sup>15</sup>, ALREHAILI, OSAMA AHMED<sup>16</sup>

### Introduction

#### Chapter 1: Introduction and Background

##### Paragraph 1

Patient safety is a cornerstone of modern healthcare, representing the commitment to reduce preventable harm and ensure high-quality outcomes for patients. Among the many challenges in patient safety, medication errors stand as one of the most common and impactful threats, leading to significant morbidity, mortality, and financial burden. These errors may arise at any stage of the medication use process, from prescribing and dispensing to administration and monitoring. Addressing medication safety requires not only robust clinical practices but also a cultural transformation within organizations, where safety is prioritized across all levels of care (Macedo

<sup>1</sup> PHYSICAL THERAPY RAS TANURA GENERAL HOSPITAL.

<sup>2</sup> MIDWIFE MATERNITY AND CHILDREN HOSPITAL IN DAMMAM.

<sup>3</sup> PHYSICAL THERAPY EAST JEDDAH HOSPITAL

<sup>4</sup> PHYSICAL THERAPY TECHNICIAN HOME CARE AT KING FAHD HOSPITAL

<sup>5</sup> NURSING SPECIALIST EAST JEDDAH HOSPITAL

<sup>6</sup> EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES KING FAHD GENERAL HOSPITAL

<sup>7</sup> RADIOLOGY TECHNICIAN KING FAHAD SPECIALIST HOSPITAL

<sup>8</sup> HEALTH ADMINISTRATION SPECIALIST

MINISTRE OF HELATH IN EASTERN PROVINCE

<sup>9</sup> PHYSIOTHERAPIST QATIF CENTRAL HOSPITAL

<sup>10</sup> GENERAL PHYSICIAN PRINCE MOHAMMED BIN FAHD HOSPITAL

<sup>11</sup> HEALTH ADMINISTRATION SPECIALIST QATIF CENTRAL HOSPITAL

<sup>12</sup> MIDWIFE MCH

<sup>13</sup> MIDWIFE MATERNITY AND CHILDREN HOSPITAL DAMMAM

<sup>14</sup> HEALTH INFORMATICS PATIENT EXPERIENCE

QASSIM HEALTH CLUSTER

<sup>15</sup> NURSING SPECIALIST RIYADH THIRD HEALTH CLUSTER

<sup>16</sup> RN , BSN IN NURSING,

MEDINA HEALTH CLUSTER



et al., 2020; Eliyana et al., 2020).

## **Paragraph 2**

The concept of patient safety culture (PSC) has emerged as a crucial framework to tackle systemic weaknesses that contribute to medication errors. PSC reflects the collective values, attitudes, and behaviors of healthcare staff, fostering an environment where safety is embedded in daily operations. A strong PSC shifts focus away from individual blame and instead emphasizes organizational learning, transparency, and teamwork. This cultural shift encourages open reporting of near misses and actual errors, which is essential for continuous improvement. By embedding PSC into the healthcare structure, institutions can better anticipate risks, minimize errors, and improve patient outcomes (Querstret et al., 2020; Newman et al., 2020).

## **Paragraph 3**

Medication errors often occur not because of negligence, but due to complex systemic failures, such as communication gaps, workload pressures, and inconsistent protocols. These challenges underline the need for robust safety mechanisms that support healthcare workers in decision-making and error prevention. PSC provides the foundation for such mechanisms by creating a non-punitive environment, where frontline staff are empowered to identify vulnerabilities and contribute to organizational learning. Hospitals with strong PSC have consistently shown reductions in adverse events, demonstrating how culture influences real-world patient safety outcomes (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2022).

## **Paragraph 4**

The urgency of addressing medication errors became more pronounced after the Institute of Medicine's 1999 report *To Err is Human*. This landmark publication highlighted that medical errors were a leading cause of preventable deaths in the United States, sparking global recognition of patient safety as a systemic challenge. Prior to this, healthcare largely relied on punitive approaches, focusing blame on individuals rather than addressing the structural causes of errors. The report initiated a paradigm shift, reframing errors as opportunities for system-wide learning and improvement, and laying the groundwork for broader adoption of safety culture principles (Shin & Shin, 2020; Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020).

## **Paragraph 5**

Following this shift, healthcare organizations began implementing structured safety frameworks that emphasized error prevention through systemic reforms. Standardized protocols, clinical checklists, and reporting mechanisms became central strategies to reduce variability in practice and enhance accountability. These changes evolved over time to incorporate models such as the Swiss Cheese Model, which illustrates how multiple system defenses must align to prevent harm, and High Reliability Organization principles, which emphasize vigilance and resilience in complex environments. Together, these models have guided healthcare institutions toward embedding PSC as a foundation of safe, reliable care delivery (Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022; Tajalli et al., 2021).

## **Paragraph 6**

Leadership plays a defining role in shaping PSC and medication safety. Leaders who actively demonstrate commitment to safety — by prioritizing resources, maintaining transparency, and modeling safe behaviors — set the tone for the entire organization. Initiatives such as regular

safety briefings, open forums for staff input, and visible leader engagement create trust and accountability across teams. Leadership support not only fosters staff confidence to report errors but also drives the integration of safety goals into strategic planning. Ultimately, strong leadership acts as a catalyst, translating the principles of PSC into daily clinical practice and measurable improvements (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021; Kim & Sim, 2020).

### **Paragraph 7**

The role of external organizations has been equally influential in embedding PSC across healthcare systems. Regulatory bodies and accreditation agencies, such as The Joint Commission and the World Health Organization (WHO), have developed standardized guidelines to assess and strengthen safety culture. These frameworks encourage institutions to adopt formalized safety audits, error reporting systems, and continuous improvement initiatives. By aligning organizational practices with external standards, healthcare systems not only meet compliance requirements but also create consistency in patient safety practices across diverse settings. This external oversight reinforces PSC as a non-negotiable aspect of high-quality healthcare delivery (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021; Spagnoli et al., 2020).

### **Paragraph 8**

Despite notable progress, sustaining PSC remains a challenge for many institutions. Resistance to cultural change, inadequate resources, and hierarchical communication structures continue to hinder progress. For example, frontline staff may be reluctant to report errors if they fear punitive responses, undermining transparency and learning. Additionally, resource-limited healthcare settings often struggle to maintain safety initiatives due to competing priorities. However, research shows that organizations investing in long-term PSC strategies ultimately experience improved outcomes, both in patient safety metrics and in staff morale. Addressing these challenges requires sustained commitment from leadership and continuous engagement of healthcare teams (Zarrin, Gracia & Paixão, 2020; Yun, Lim & Choi, 2020).

### **Paragraph 9**

One of the most promising aspects of modern PSC development is the integration of digital health technologies. Tools such as electronic prescribing systems, computerized physician order entry (CPOE), and bar-code medication administration directly target error-prone processes in the medication use cycle. These innovations support frontline staff by standardizing tasks, reducing transcription errors, and ensuring accurate patient identification. Importantly, technology complements — rather than replaces — PSC by providing tools that align with safety principles of transparency and accountability. When combined, PSC and digital health create a synergistic framework for reducing medication errors (Macedo et al., 2020; Newman et al., 2020).

### **Paragraph 10**

Artificial intelligence (AI) extends the potential of PSC by enabling proactive identification of risks. Machine learning algorithms can analyze vast datasets to detect patterns associated with prescribing errors, adverse drug events, or high-risk patients. This predictive capacity allows organizations to act before errors occur, transitioning from reactive to preventive safety strategies. AI-driven tools also enhance clinical decision support systems by offering real-time alerts and personalized recommendations. However, these tools require integration within a strong PSC framework to ensure trust, usability, and ethical application. Together, PSC and AI

can significantly strengthen medication safety outcomes (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2022).

### **Paragraph 11**

The relationship between PSC and digital innovation highlights the importance of system-wide alignment. Technology alone cannot eliminate errors if cultural barriers, such as underreporting or poor communication, persist. Conversely, a strong PSC without supportive tools may struggle to address the complexity of modern healthcare. Therefore, the integration of PSC principles with digital health and AI applications represents a holistic strategy, addressing both human and systemic dimensions of safety. This dual approach not only reduces medication errors but also fosters resilience and adaptability in rapidly evolving healthcare environments (Shin & Shin, 2020; Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020).

### **Paragraph 12**

An essential element of PSC in the digital era is cultivating trust among healthcare workers in new technologies. Staff must feel confident that digital tools, such as automated alerts or predictive analytics, are designed to support rather than replace clinical judgment. This trust is built through transparency in system design, adequate training, and opportunities for staff feedback. When healthcare professionals feel empowered and engaged, they are more likely to embrace technology as part of their safety practices, enhancing its effectiveness in reducing medication errors (Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022; Tajalli et al., 2021).

### **Paragraph 13**

Another dimension linking PSC with digital health is the role of leadership in technology adoption. Leaders must actively champion the use of AI and digital tools, ensuring they are integrated responsibly and sustainably. This involves securing funding, providing ongoing staff education, and monitoring outcomes to refine implementation. Leaders also play a critical role in addressing ethical concerns, such as data privacy and algorithmic bias, which can undermine trust if left unaddressed. By aligning digital strategies with PSC values, leaders help create a healthcare environment where innovation directly contributes to safer medication practices (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021; Kim & Sim, 2020).

### **Paragraph 14**

In conclusion, PSC forms the foundation for all patient safety efforts, particularly in the context of medication error reduction. Its evolution from punitive models to system-based learning has been instrumental in transforming healthcare safety practices. The integration of digital health and AI represents the next frontier, offering new capabilities to detect, prevent, and learn from errors. However, these technologies will only be effective when embedded within a strong PSC that prioritizes transparency, accountability, and collaboration. As healthcare systems continue to innovate, PSC remains the guiding principle that ensures these advancements translate into meaningful improvements for patients (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021; Spagnoli et al., 2020).

## **Chapter 2: Digital Health Interventions in Medication Safety**

### **Paragraph 1**

Leadership plays a decisive role in guiding the adoption of digital health interventions aimed at reducing medication errors. Successful implementation of electronic health records (EHRs), computerized physician order entry (CPOE), and bar-code medication administration (BCMA)

systems requires leaders who allocate resources and ensure alignment with organizational safety goals. Transformational leadership fosters empowerment, encouraging staff to engage with new technologies as tools for improving safety. By visibly supporting digital strategies, leaders reinforce the idea that these innovations are not optional add-ons but essential elements of a robust patient safety culture (Siyal et al., 2020; World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

## **Paragraph 2**

Effective communication remains foundational when implementing digital health tools for medication safety. Technologies such as electronic prescribing systems and mobile alerts reduce errors only when accompanied by clear communication protocols among healthcare teams. Structured tools like SBAR can be integrated into digital workflows to improve handoffs and clinical documentation. However, without open communication, even the most advanced systems may fail to prevent medication errors. By promoting transparent and assertive communication, organizations ensure that staff use technology effectively, thereby minimizing risks during prescribing, dispensing, and administration processes (Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022; Adel et al., 2021).

## **Paragraph 3**

Teamwork is a critical factor in leveraging digital health interventions for safe medication practices. Interdisciplinary collaboration ensures that pharmacists, nurses, and physicians all contribute to verifying medication safety at different stages. Simulation-based team training using digital scenarios has proven effective in preparing teams for high-risk situations such as emergency medication administration. These practices enhance mutual understanding and role clarity, supporting the proper use of clinical decision support systems. By integrating digital teamwork simulations and interprofessional education, organizations strengthen both team dynamics and the effective application of digital safety tools (Brown, Kraimer & Bratton, 2019; Ramos et al., 2020).

## **Paragraph 4**

A learning environment is essential for sustaining the effectiveness of digital health interventions in medication safety. Digital systems generate large datasets that can be analyzed to identify patterns in medication errors and near misses. Organizations with a learning culture use this information not to punish staff, but to refine processes and enhance training. For example, regular workshops on medication safety can incorporate real-time digital data, helping staff recognize error trends and improve practice. By embedding digital tools within a culture of continuous learning, healthcare systems not only reduce errors but also empower staff to innovate (Zwedberg, Alnervik & Barimani, 2021; Segev, 2019).

## **Paragraph 5**

The transition from a blame culture to a learning culture is especially important in the digital era. Digital reporting systems allow healthcare professionals to log errors and near misses more efficiently. However, without a supportive culture, staff may fear that such reports could lead to punitive consequences. By fostering non-punitive, transparent reporting, organizations ensure that digital platforms become tools for learning rather than surveillance. This shift encourages greater participation, leading to the proactive identification of systemic weaknesses that may compromise medication safety (Holland, 2019; Lee et al., 2020).

## **Paragraph 6**

Transparency, supported by digital health innovations, enhances trust among staff, patients, and families. Digital dashboards and reporting systems can provide real-time feedback on safety metrics, enabling teams to track progress and address risks quickly. Moreover, transparency with patients about medication-related incidents strengthens trust and accountability. Digital communication platforms also enable patients to review prescriptions, ask questions, and participate in decision-making, further reducing risks. To achieve this, organizations must combine transparent communication practices with user-friendly digital tools, embedding safety as a visible and measurable value (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021; Syahrina & Mutya, 2023).

### **Paragraph 7**

Accountability is reinforced through the use of digital health systems that track prescribing patterns, medication administration, and adherence to safety protocols. These systems provide leaders with insights into compliance and identify areas for improvement. However, accountability must be balanced with a non-punitive approach to avoid discouraging staff. When staff view digital systems as supportive rather than disciplinary, they are more likely to embrace them. Leaders play a vital role by modeling accountability in their own practices and ensuring resources are available for safe implementation of technology (Fernández-Salineró & Topa, 2020; Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl, 2019).

### **Paragraph 8**

Integrating leadership, communication, teamwork, and learning into digital interventions creates a holistic framework for medication safety. For example, CPOE systems reduce prescribing errors, but their success depends on leaders providing training, teams communicating effectively, and a learning environment that evaluates system performance. Similarly, mobile health apps designed for medication adherence require teamwork across disciplines and transparent communication with patients. When these cultural components align with technology, organizations achieve sustainable improvements in safety outcomes (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021; Chang et al., 2020).

### **Paragraph 9**

Electronic prescribing systems exemplify how digital tools can directly reduce medication errors, but their effectiveness relies on leadership and staff engagement. Leaders must allocate resources for system integration and training, while staff must communicate concerns about usability or workflow disruptions. When supported by a strong PSC, these systems reduce errors related to illegible handwriting, incorrect dosing, and drug interactions. Moreover, digital alerts within these systems can prevent errors, but only if staff are empowered to interpret and respond effectively (Siyal et al., 2020; World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

### **Paragraph 10**

Bar-code medication administration (BCMA) is another critical digital tool that ensures the right patient receives the right drug at the right time. By requiring barcode scanning, BCMA reduces transcription and administration errors. Its success depends on effective teamwork, where nurses, pharmacists, and physicians coordinate seamlessly. Simulation-based training can prepare staff to respond when systems flag potential mismatches, reinforcing collaboration. With leadership support and a non-punitive environment, BCMA becomes a reliable safeguard against medication errors (Brown, Kraimer & Bratton, 2019; Ramos et al., 2020).

### **Paragraph 11**

Clinical decision support systems (CDSS) represent a higher-level intervention that integrates with EHRs to provide real-time guidance on prescribing. These systems analyze patient data to alert clinicians about potential drug interactions or allergies. However, their effectiveness is undermined if staff dismiss alerts due to “alarm fatigue.” Leadership and communication are critical in training staff to use CDSS effectively and ensuring feedback loops refine system accuracy. By embedding CDSS within a culture of safety and accountability, organizations enhance both clinician decision-making and patient safety outcomes (Adel et al., 2021; Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022).

### **Paragraph 12**

Mobile health applications play an expanding role in patient-centered medication safety. Apps that remind patients to take medications, track adherence, and enable communication with providers reduce risks associated with non-adherence and misuse. Transparency and accountability are crucial in these systems, as patients must trust that their data is secure and used ethically. Leaders must ensure policies protect privacy while encouraging patients to engage with digital tools. By fostering trust and communication, mobile apps can extend the principles of PSC beyond clinical settings and into patients’ daily lives (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021; Syahrina & Mutya, 2023).

### **Paragraph 13**

The integration of predictive analytics into digital health systems marks a transformative step in medication safety. By analyzing patterns in prescribing, dispensing, and administration, predictive tools can identify high-risk patients and intervene early. These innovations require strong learning environments, where data is continuously reviewed and translated into actionable insights. Leadership must provide resources for implementing predictive models, while teamwork ensures that interventions are carried out effectively. Predictive analytics illustrates how technology and PSC can converge to create proactive rather than reactive safety strategies (Zwedberg, Alnervik & Barimani, 2021; Segev, 2019).

### **Paragraph 14**

In summary, digital health interventions enhance medication safety by addressing vulnerabilities across the prescribing, dispensing, and administration process. Yet their success depends not solely on technology but on integration with PSC principles. Leadership, communication, teamwork, learning, transparency, and accountability all serve as enablers that allow digital tools to function effectively. Without these cultural foundations, even advanced systems may fail to reduce errors. By aligning digital health strategies with PSC values, healthcare organizations can create sustainable, resilient systems that ensure safer medication practices and improved patient outcomes (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021; Chang et al., 2020).

## **Chapter 3: Artificial Intelligence Applications in Medication Error Prevention**

### **Paragraph 1**

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful tool in advancing patient safety by preventing medication errors. Its ability to process large volumes of data in real time allows healthcare systems to predict risks and intervene early. However, the effectiveness of AI is closely linked to a strong Patient Safety Culture (PSC), where staff are encouraged to report errors and near misses. When AI systems are trained on comprehensive error-reporting data, they can identify patterns and improve accuracy in medication decision support. Thus, the

combination of AI technology and proactive PSC ensures safer medication practices (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021; Even, 2020).

## **Paragraph 2**

AI-driven error detection systems excel at analyzing complex medication workflows, identifying systemic failures that might otherwise be missed. Yet, these tools are only as strong as the reporting culture underpinning them. A PSC that prioritizes systemic learning over individual blame provides AI with richer, more reliable datasets. For instance, miscommunication errors flagged in digital systems can be better understood when AI algorithms are combined with root cause analyses supported by PSC. This synergy shifts focus from penalizing individuals to redesigning workflows and improving safety protocols across the organization (Jiang et al., 2019; Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023).

## **Paragraph 3**

The success of AI in medication safety depends on the availability of robust, non-punitive reporting systems. AI tools require transparent, accurate data inputs to function effectively, and these are best achieved in environments where staff can report errors without fear of punishment. By analyzing reported incidents, AI can predict risk-prone scenarios and recommend interventions, such as workflow adjustments or targeted training. Healthcare institutions that combine AI with PSC-based reporting mechanisms benefit from higher rates of disclosure, enabling stronger predictive analytics and proactive safety strategies (Moghadari-Koosha et al., 2020; Ismail, 2021).

## **Paragraph 4**

AI applications are particularly effective when applied to near-miss reporting, a vital but often underutilized safety resource. Near misses provide AI with insights into hidden system vulnerabilities, enabling the design of predictive models that prevent future harm. For example, if near-miss data reveals recurring issues with medication labeling, AI can detect similar risks across the organization and alert staff before errors occur. By encouraging near-miss reporting and combining it with AI analytics, healthcare institutions strengthen vigilance and enhance their capacity for proactive error prevention (Liu et al., 2019; Cherkasov et al., 2019).

## **Paragraph 5**

AI-enhanced clinical decision support systems (CDSS) integrate PSC communication principles to reduce prescribing and administration errors. These systems provide real-time alerts on drug interactions, allergies, and dosing inconsistencies. However, their impact is magnified when standardized communication tools like SBAR are embedded within AI-enabled workflows. This ensures that the alerts generated are communicated clearly and acted upon effectively by multidisciplinary teams. As miscommunication is a leading cause of medication errors, combining AI with structured communication frameworks greatly enhances safety outcomes (Dedahanov, Bozorov & Sung, 2019; Cinar, 2019).

## **Paragraph 6**

Effective AI deployment requires strong leadership commitment, as leaders play a central role in prioritizing safety, ensuring resources for AI integration, and addressing reported errors. AI tools must be accompanied by leadership visibility, such as executives actively engaging in safety rounds and supporting the implementation of AI-driven safety dashboards. Leaders who

advocate for transparent use of AI foster staff confidence and promote engagement with these technologies. Ultimately, leadership ensures that AI is not viewed as a monitoring tool but as a supportive resource aligned with PSC principles (Ghafouri et al., 2022; Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

### **Paragraph 7**

AI is uniquely positioned to transform error reporting into actionable intelligence. Traditional reporting systems often accumulate large datasets that are underutilized due to the complexity of analysis. AI can synthesize this information, identifying recurring risks such as high-alert medications or vulnerable patient groups. PSC provides the environment in which this data can be shared openly and without blame, ensuring that AI models are continuously refined. This partnership enhances system learning and creates a feedback loop that strengthens both safety culture and medication practices (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021; Even, 2020).

### **Paragraph 8**

System-focused AI applications reinforce PSC's emphasis on addressing root causes rather than individual blame. For example, natural language processing can analyze clinical notes to detect miscommunication trends that contribute to prescribing errors. When paired with PSC-driven system improvements, AI-generated insights can lead to redesigned workflows that address communication failures. This approach ensures that technological solutions do not merely identify errors but actively contribute to system resilience and safer medication delivery (Jiang et al., 2019; Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023).

### **Paragraph 9**

AI-driven predictive analytics enhance medication safety by identifying high-risk scenarios before errors occur. However, these models require trust from staff, which can only be achieved in non-punitive environments where transparency is encouraged. If staff perceive AI as a tool for surveillance, underreporting will compromise its effectiveness. Conversely, when AI is framed as part of PSC, it fosters accountability without blame, encouraging staff to embrace it as a partner in improving safety outcomes (Moghadari-Koosha et al., 2020; Ismail, 2021).

### **Paragraph 10**

Near-miss data offers AI unique opportunities to intervene early in the medication cycle. For example, predictive AI models can identify when a near miss involving storage conditions might escalate into a dispensing error. By analyzing trends across multiple incidents, AI provides targeted recommendations for process improvements. This transforms near-miss reporting from a passive activity into an active learning mechanism. Healthcare organizations that prioritize near-miss reporting as part of PSC enable AI systems to deliver more accurate and preventive safety insights (Liu et al., 2019; Cherkasov et al., 2019).

### **Paragraph 11**

AI also strengthens multidisciplinary communication by enhancing the flow of critical information across teams. Real-time AI-driven alerts during prescribing or administration can be integrated with communication protocols like SBAR to ensure clarity. For example, an AI alert about a potential drug interaction must be conveyed effectively during patient handoffs. PSC initiatives that prioritize structured communication enable AI to function not just as a technical safeguard but as part of a broader team-based safety strategy (Dedahanov, Bozorov &

Sung, 2019; Cinar, 2019).

### **Paragraph 12**

Leadership involvement is crucial in addressing ethical and operational challenges of AI in medication safety. Leaders must ensure that AI algorithms are transparent, free from bias, and aligned with PSC values. By promoting open dialogue about how AI works and addressing staff concerns, leaders build trust in these systems. Visible leadership engagement reassures staff that AI is not replacing clinical judgment but augmenting it, fostering a balanced approach where human expertise and AI complement one another (Ghafouri et al., 2022; Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

### **Paragraph 13**

The integration of AI with PSC enhances continuous learning cycles within healthcare organizations. AI tools can analyze reported incidents, identify recurring risks, and recommend targeted interventions. PSC ensures these findings are not used to assign blame but to drive system-wide learning and process refinement. This creates a self-sustaining cycle where AI informs practice, PSC encourages open feedback, and both together continuously reduce medication errors and improve safety outcomes (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021; Even, 2020).

### **Paragraph 14**

In summary, AI offers unparalleled opportunities to strengthen medication safety, but its success is inseparable from PSC principles. Non-punitive reporting, near-miss tracking, effective communication, and leadership commitment create the conditions for AI to thrive. When aligned with PSC, AI evolves from a technological innovation into a cultural enabler that promotes transparency, accountability, and systemic learning. By combining AI's analytical capabilities with PSC's human-centered values, healthcare organizations can establish resilient systems that proactively prevent medication errors and safeguard patients (Ghafouri et al., 2022; Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

## **Chapter 4: Challenges, Limitations, and Ethical Considerations**

### **Paragraph 1**

One of the key challenges in integrating AI and digital health into medication safety is ensuring adherence to fundamental safety protocols. While technology offers predictive and preventive capabilities, healthcare settings still face risks such as hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) when safety culture is weak. AI systems may flag risks, but without a strong PSC, staff may overlook infection prevention practices such as hand hygiene or checklist adherence. Ethical considerations also arise when technology is viewed as a substitute for vigilance rather than a complement. Balancing AI tools with human accountability remains a pressing challenge (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022; Mauro, 2022).

### **Paragraph 2**

Hospital readmissions pose a limitation that AI alone cannot fully resolve. While predictive analytics can identify high-risk patients, success depends on effective discharge planning and communication. A weak PSC can undermine these efforts, with poor instructions or inadequate follow-up leading to readmissions despite technological support. Additionally, ethical concerns arise when AI-generated discharge recommendations are not personalized, potentially

overlooking patient-specific needs. Ensuring that AI is integrated into a culture that values patient education and collaborative care is essential for reducing readmission rates sustainably (Khalid et al., 2021; Aklil et al., 2021).

### **Paragraph 3**

Reducing mortality through AI-enhanced early warning systems is promising but limited by cultural and organizational barriers. AI tools may detect patient deterioration early, but their impact diminishes if staff hesitate to act due to hierarchical barriers or fear of blame. Ethical concerns also surround the reliability of AI alerts—false positives can cause alarm fatigue, while false negatives may delay care. Only in organizations where PSC fosters empowerment and accountability can AI-driven early warning systems achieve their intended effect of lowering preventable mortality (Yoon et al., 2020; Gawad, 2022).

### **Paragraph 4**

Patient satisfaction represents another challenge in deploying AI for medication safety. While digital systems can enhance efficiency, patients may perceive overreliance on technology as impersonal or confusing. Ethical dilemmas emerge when patients are not fully informed about AI's role in their care, potentially undermining trust. PSC principles of transparent communication and shared decision-making must guide AI adoption to ensure patients feel engaged and reassured. When aligned with PSC, AI enhances—not detracts from—the patient experience, improving satisfaction and safety simultaneously (Raeissi et al., 2019; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020).

### **Paragraph 5**

Trust is both a challenge and an ethical cornerstone in AI-driven safety interventions. Patients are more likely to trust care teams who double-check medications manually, even when AI tools are available. Similarly, staff may distrust AI if its algorithms are not transparent. Without a PSC that promotes openness and shared responsibility, these trust gaps can hinder adoption. Ethical considerations extend to explaining how AI decisions are made and ensuring accountability when errors occur. Building and sustaining trust requires aligning AI systems with cultural practices that reinforce transparency and reliability (Ko & Kang, 2019; Eslamlou, Karatepe & Uner, 2021).

### **Paragraph 6**

Staff morale can also be negatively impacted by the introduction of AI if it is perceived as punitive or as replacing human judgment. Fear of being monitored by AI systems may increase stress, reducing engagement in safety initiatives. PSC mitigates this risk by framing AI as a supportive tool rather than a disciplinary mechanism. Organizations that maintain non-punitive cultures, provide training, and recognize staff contributions build morale while leveraging AI effectively. Ethically, leaders must ensure AI adoption enhances staff well-being instead of exacerbating fear and burnout (Al-Turfi & Al-Jubouri, 2022; Faisal, 2022).

### **Paragraph 7**

Retention of healthcare staff is closely linked to how AI is integrated into workflows. If AI systems increase workload or create stress, employees may feel alienated and consider leaving. A strong PSC that emphasizes safety, learning, and teamwork can offset these risks by involving staff in design and implementation decisions. Ethically, retention strategies must consider the

human impact of digital transformation, ensuring staff feel valued and supported in adapting to change. By embedding AI within a positive safety culture, organizations foster both continuity of care and long-term workforce stability (Spilg et al., 2022; Crafter, Maunder & Soulsby, 2019).

### **Paragraph 8**

Resistance to change remains a major barrier to AI adoption in medication safety. Many professionals are hesitant to embrace new technologies due to mistrust, lack of training, or skepticism about outcomes. This resistance is compounded in cultures where psychological safety is weak, and staff fear repercussions for errors. Ethically, imposing AI without staff involvement risks alienation and underutilization. Leaders must build trust by fostering open dialogue and involving staff in decision-making, ensuring AI systems align with frontline needs and safety priorities (Durrah, Chaudhary & Gharib, 2019; Olatunji, Idemudia & Owoseni, 2020).

### **Paragraph 9**

Punitive error management systems conflict directly with AI's reliance on accurate data. If staff fear disciplinary action, errors will go unreported, depriving AI of essential training data. This creates ethical challenges, as AI may generate incomplete or biased insights. A transition to non-punitive cultures, where errors are seen as opportunities for learning, is essential for AI to function effectively. Embedding just culture principles ensures AI enhances transparency and accountability, rather than reinforcing a climate of fear (Çingöl et al., 2020; Pålsson et al., 2022).

### **Paragraph 10**

Ingrained practices and cultural inertia present another limitation to AI adoption. Staff accustomed to traditional processes may resist AI-driven changes, even if they enhance safety. This reluctance is intensified when the benefits of AI are not clearly communicated. Ethically, imposing technology without demonstrating its value undermines trust and collaboration. Pilot programs and transparent outcome reporting can help overcome skepticism, aligning innovation with cultural readiness for change. By addressing deep-seated habits, healthcare systems can successfully integrate AI within a PSC framework (Jansen et al., 2020; Molazem, Bagheri & Najafi Kalyani, 2022).

### **Paragraph 11**

Communication barriers also limit the effectiveness of AI systems. If alerts or recommendations are not clearly communicated, misinterpretations may occur, leading to unsafe outcomes. Hierarchical structures can exacerbate these challenges, with junior staff reluctant to act on AI-generated information. Ethically, organizations must standardize communication practices and ensure AI tools complement, rather than complicate, information flow. Training in structured communication methods helps integrate AI into multidisciplinary teams, ensuring that safety benefits are fully realized (Mostafa et al., 2021; Razmerita et al., 2020).

### **Paragraph 12**

Teamwork challenges arise when AI disrupts established workflows. For example, physicians may prioritize efficiency, while nurses emphasize patient education, creating tension over AI-driven recommendations. Heavy workloads and time pressures further complicate collaboration, limiting opportunities to integrate AI insights effectively. Ethically, it is essential to ensure AI strengthens interprofessional teamwork rather than fragmenting it. Team training and shared decision-making frameworks can align diverse perspectives, allowing AI to enhance collective

### **Paragraph 13**

Staffing shortages magnify the limitations of AI in medication safety. While AI can automate some tasks, insufficient staffing reduces the capacity to act on AI-generated recommendations. Overburdened staff may ignore alerts, undermining safety improvements. Ethically, it is problematic to expect AI to compensate for chronic understaffing without addressing root causes. Sustainable workforce planning and supportive leadership are needed to ensure AI complements, rather than replaces, human resources in maintaining safety (Ferri et al., 2020; Abd El-Salam, Metwally & Abdeen, 2022).

### **Paragraph 14**

Financial constraints remain a significant limitation in implementing AI for medication safety. Advanced technologies require substantial investments, which are often unattainable in resource-limited settings. Small hospitals may struggle to afford AI integration, raising ethical concerns about equity and disparities in patient safety. Policymakers and leaders must explore funding mechanisms, partnerships, and cost-effective solutions to avoid widening safety gaps. Demonstrating AI's long-term financial benefits, such as reduced litigation and fewer adverse events, can help justify investments. Ultimately, balancing fiscal realities with ethical imperatives is crucial for equitable AI adoption in healthcare (Mahmoud, 2019; Yurtseven & Dogan, 2019).

## **Chapter 5: Future Directions and Conclusion**

### **Paragraph 1**

The future of patient safety and medication error reduction lies in the alignment of strong leadership with advanced technologies. Leaders who prioritize safety in organizational policies and allocate resources effectively set the foundation for sustainable digital health interventions. By transparently addressing errors and reinforcing non-punitive reporting, leadership fosters trust in AI-driven systems. As healthcare organizations continue to adopt predictive analytics and electronic health records, leadership engagement will ensure these innovations are integrated seamlessly into clinical workflows, driving safer practices and cultural resilience (Badawy, 2021; Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019).

### **Paragraph 2**

Leadership must evolve beyond traditional roles to act as role models in leveraging AI and digital tools for safety. Actively participating in safety rounds, engaging in discussions about technology-enhanced error detection, and ensuring accountability will inspire staff confidence. Leaders who prioritize patient safety over cost-saving or speed-related pressures will communicate that technology adoption is guided by ethical and patient-centered values. Future directions also call for leadership training programs tailored to digital transformation, equipping leaders to champion safety culture while embracing new tools such as predictive analytics and real-time monitoring systems (Canu, 2023; Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019).

### **Paragraph 3**

Continuous training and education are critical for preparing staff to adapt to the digital and AI-driven era of patient safety. Simulation-based exercises can replicate complex medication scenarios, enabling staff to practice responses to AI alerts and predictive models. Incorporating

safety modules into staff onboarding ensures new hires recognize both cultural and technological commitments to safety. In the future, e-learning platforms integrated with AI will personalize training, aligning educational content with staff performance data to address gaps effectively. These initiatives strengthen PSC while equipping healthcare professionals to collaborate with technology in preventing medication errors (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021).

#### **Paragraph 4**

Interdisciplinary training programs represent a promising direction for enhancing teamwork in AI-enabled healthcare environments. Digital health interventions require collaboration between clinicians, IT specialists, and data scientists to ensure usability and accuracy. Simulation exercises that bring together multiple disciplines can prepare teams to act cohesively when responding to AI alerts during high-risk scenarios. Training in communication frameworks like SBAR, when integrated with AI systems, will standardize responses to predictive safety warnings. These interdisciplinary approaches build mutual trust and reduce silos, ensuring that AI technologies support rather than disrupt patient care (Fentaw, Moges & Ismail, 2022; Parizad et al., 2021).

#### **Paragraph 5**

Electronic health records (EHRs) will continue to serve as the cornerstone of digital patient safety, particularly when optimized with AI-enhanced features. Real-time alerts for drug interactions or duplicate prescriptions will evolve through machine learning, improving specificity and reducing alert fatigue. However, the success of EHRs depends on effective staff training and thoughtful system design that balances usability with safety. Future directions include integrating blockchain for data integrity and expanding interoperability to ensure continuity of care across institutions. With strong PSC, EHRs can transform into powerful tools for both clinical decision-making and system-wide learning (Sengul & Seyfi, 2020; Vasconcelos et al., 2019).

#### **Paragraph 6**

Predictive analytics will redefine how healthcare systems anticipate and prevent medication errors. By analyzing patient data and identifying patterns, predictive models can highlight risks such as adverse drug reactions or sepsis before they escalate. Future directions include embedding predictive analytics into clinical workflows, enabling providers to act proactively rather than reactively. Collaboration between clinicians and data scientists will be crucial for ensuring models are clinically relevant and ethical. By transitioning to predictive safety strategies, healthcare organizations can prevent harm more effectively and foster resilience against system failures (Huang et al., 2020; Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022).

#### **Paragraph 7**

Feedback systems will play a critical role in the future of safety culture by connecting staff insights with AI-driven analytics. Anonymous reporting platforms supported by AI can detect patterns in medication errors, while timely feedback loops ensure staff see how their reports lead to improvements. This cycle of reporting, analysis, and response fosters continuous learning and accountability. The future lies in integrating digital dashboards that share real-time progress with staff, reinforcing trust and encouraging active participation in safety initiatives. By embedding feedback within both cultural and technological frameworks, organizations sustain safety

### **Paragraph 8**

Technology-enhanced communication platforms will further strengthen collaboration among healthcare providers. Secure messaging systems integrated with EHRs will ensure critical updates, such as abnormal lab results or dosing changes, are delivered immediately to the right team members. Telehealth platforms can extend safety oversight beyond hospitals, monitoring medication adherence and side effects remotely. Future directions also include using AI-driven natural language processing to streamline documentation and improve clarity in communication. By embedding these technologies into PSC principles of openness and teamwork, healthcare organizations can reduce errors and improve coordination across care settings (Gillet et al., 2021; Balducci, Avanzi & Fraccaroli, 2020).

### **Paragraph 9**

Future PSC strategies will increasingly rely on standardized measurement tools to monitor progress. Instruments like the HSOPSC, SAQ, and MaPSaF will remain essential for assessing staff perceptions of safety and identifying gaps. However, the next generation of measurement will combine these surveys with real-time digital monitoring systems. AI-powered dashboards can track medication error trends continuously, providing dynamic insights that guide targeted interventions. By embedding measurement into routine practice, healthcare organizations ensure that safety initiatives evolve alongside technological and cultural changes (Svartdal et al., 2020; Nomany, 2022).

### **Paragraph 10**

Key performance indicators (KPIs) will play an increasingly central role in future PSC and digital health strategies. Metrics such as reported medication errors, near misses, and patient satisfaction scores will serve as both cultural and technological benchmarks. Integrating KPIs into predictive dashboards ensures leaders can evaluate progress and allocate resources effectively. Ethical considerations in KPI use include ensuring metrics reflect genuine improvements rather than superficial compliance. Balanced scorecards that combine quantitative data with qualitative staff feedback will provide a holistic view of safety culture (Fortes et al., 2022; Sein Myint, Kunaviktikul & Stark, 2021).

### **Paragraph 11**

Continuous monitoring will define the next phase of PSC evolution, supported by advanced digital systems. AI-enhanced dashboards will provide leaders with real-time insights into safety trends, while safety committees analyze data to drive immediate action. Feedback loops that inform staff of changes resulting from their input will enhance trust and accountability. This real-time adaptability ensures safety culture remains responsive to emerging challenges, such as new medications or treatment protocols. By combining AI-driven monitoring with human oversight, organizations create dynamic systems capable of sustaining long-term improvements (Ohnishi et al., 2019; Ramírez Molina et al., 2019).

### **Paragraph 12**

Technology itself will play a transformative role in monitoring PSC, particularly through integration with digital health platforms. Mobile apps will enable staff to report incidents quickly, reducing underreporting, while AI will predict areas of risk before harm occurs.

Dashboards connected to EHRs will automatically analyze safety data, making monitoring both efficient and actionable. However, organizations must invest in training to ensure staff are comfortable and competent in using these tools. Future directions include balancing technological automation with human oversight to maintain transparency and trust in error management systems (Abdillah et al., 2022; Kachaturoff et al., 2020).

### Paragraph 13

Adapting PSC to evolving healthcare contexts will be crucial as technologies advance. The rise of telemedicine, wearable health devices, and personalized medicine will introduce new risks and opportunities for medication safety. Organizations must remain flexible, regularly updating safety protocols and training to reflect technological innovations. Engaging frontline staff in these adaptations ensures PSC remains relevant and trusted. Future safety systems will not only respond to new challenges but also anticipate them through predictive and adaptive strategies. By remaining agile, PSC will continue to anchor safety in a rapidly changing healthcare environment (Jalili et al., 2021; Clark, Smith & Haynes, 2020).

### Paragraph 14

In conclusion, the future of reducing medication errors and enhancing patient safety lies in the integration of PSC principles with digital health and AI. Strong leadership, continuous training, predictive analytics, effective communication, and robust monitoring systems will define the next era of safety culture. The challenge will be to maintain a human-centered approach, ensuring technology enhances rather than replaces clinical judgment. By fostering adaptability and resilience, healthcare organizations can achieve sustainable improvements in patient safety. Ultimately, PSC remains the guiding framework, ensuring technological innovation translates into meaningful and ethical improvements in patient care (Badawy, 2021; Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019).

### References

- Abd El Rahman, S., Ali, H., Ali, R., Mohamed, A. (2022): Effect of Organizational Cynicism on Quality of Work Life and Employee Effectiveness among Nursing Staff. *Minia Scientific Nursing Journal*. 11 (1), 2785-9797.
- Abd El-Salam, A. I., Metwally, F. G., & Abdeen, M. A. (2022): Academic Procrastination and Self-control of Faculty Nursing Students. *Zagazig Nursing Journal*, 18(2), 15-29.
- Abdillah, H. Z., Rahman, F., Husna, M., Sitinjak, C., Hidayah, N., & Mujidin, M. (2022): School well-being in terms of self-determination and patience in vocational high school students. *International Journal of Islamic Educational Psychology*, 3(1), 19-34.
- Abe, E. N., & Chikoko, V. (2020): Exploring the factors that influence the career decision of STEM students at a university in South Africa. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 7(1), 1-14.
- Adel, " E., Lofmark, " A., Pålsson, Y., Mårtensson, G., Engstrom, " M., Lindberg, M., 2021: Health-promoting and impeding aspects of using peer-learning during clinical practice education: a qualitative study. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* 55, 103169.
- Afota, M. C., Robert, V., & Vandenberghe, C. (2021): The interactive effect of leader-member exchange and psychological climate for overwork on subordinate workaholism and job strain. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 30(4), 495-509.
- Akinbadewa, B. O., & Sofowora, O. A. (2020): The effectiveness of multimedia instructional learning packages in enhancing secondary school students' attitudes toward Biology. *International Journal on Studies in Education*, 2(2), 119-133.

- Aklil, M., Perizade, B., Hanafi, A., & Bemby, B. (2021): The Effect of Resonant Leadership on Work Engagement through Ethnic Culture in Pangkalpinang City Civil Servants. *Italienisch*, 11(2), 358-371.
- Al-Turfi, M. K. & Al-Jubouri, M. B. (2022): "Effect of moral distress on decision making among nurses in intensive care units," *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 915–918.
- Badawy, A.A. (2021): Relationship between organizational justice and work engagement among staff nurses, unpublished master thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University.
- Balducci, C., Avanzi, L., & Fraccaroli, F. (2020): The individual "costs" of workaholism: An analysis based on multisource and prospective data. *Journal of Management*, 44(7), 2961-2986.
- Baris, V. K., Intepeler, S. S., & Unal, A. (2023): Development and psychometric validation of the Sickness Sickness presenteeism Scale-Nurse. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, e13168.
- Brown, M., Kraimer, M. L., & Bratton, V. K. (2019): The influence of employee performance appraisal cynicism on intent to quit and sportsmanship. *Personnel Review*.
- Canu, Z. (2023): The Relationship Between Family-Work Conflict and Work-Family Conflict Among Special Education Teachers. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Madani*, 3(4), 811-816.
- Chang, Y., et al. (2020): Work Ability and Quality of Life in Patients with Work- Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. P.p.20-40.
- Cherkasov, A., Bratanovskii, S. N., Koroleva, L. A., & Zimovets, L. G. (2019): Development of the School Education System in the Province of Vologda (1725-1917). Part 2. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 8(2), 418-424.
- Cinar, E. (2019): The effect of person-organization fit on the organizational identification: The mediating role of organizational attractiveness. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Management*, 7(1), 74-84.
- Çingöl N., Karakaş M., Zengin S., and Çelebi E. (2020): The effect of psychiatric nursing students' internships on their beliefs about and attitudes toward mental health problems; a single-group experimental study. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2020;84:104243.
- Clark, M. A., Smith, R. W., & Haynes, N. J. (2020): The Multidimensional Workaholism Scale: Linking the conceptualization and measurement of workaholism. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(11), 1281.
- Crafter, S., Maunder, R., & Soulsby, L. (2019): *Developmental transitions: Exploring stability and change through the lifespan*. Routledge.
- Darling-Hammond L., Flook L., Cook-Harvey C., Barron B., and Osher D. (2020): Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140.
- Dedahanov. A.T, Bozorov. F and Sung S. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership and Innovative Behavior: Psychological Empowerment as a Mediator. *Sustainability*. 11(6) 1-14.
- Durrah, O., Chaudhary, M., & Gharib, M. (2019): Organizational cynicism and its impact on organizational pride in industrial organizations. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(7), 1203.
- Echebiri, C., Amundsen, S., & Engen, M. (2020): Linking Structural Empowerment to Employee-Driven Innovation: the Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment. *MDPI*, 10(42).
- Eliyana, A., Rohmatul, S., Rohmatul, S., Sridadi, A. R., Razaq, A., & Gunawan, D. R. (2020): The role of motivation on attitudes and entrepreneur achievement. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, 11(8), 335-343.
- Eslamlou, A., Karatepe, O. M., & Uner, M. M. (2021): Does job Embeddedness mediate the effect of resilience on cabin attendants' career satisfaction and creative performance? *Sustainability*, 13(9),

5104.

- Even, A. (2020): The Evolution of Work: Best Practices for Avoiding Social and Organizational Isolation in Telework Employees. SSRN2020.
- Faisal .S, .(2022): Job embeddedness and its connection with person organization fit among Saudi Arabian employees. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 20(2), 348- 360. doi:10.21511/ppm.20(2).2022.29.
- Faisal, S., Naushad, M., & Faridi, M. (2020): A study on the level and relationship of job embeddedness and turnover intentions among Saudi Arabian working- class. *Management Science Letters*, 10(13), 3167- 3172. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2020.5.005>
- Fentaw, Y., Moges, B. T., & Ismail, S. M. (2022): Academic procrastination behavior among public university students. *Education Research International*, 2022.
- Fernández-Salineró, S. & Topa, G. (2020): Intergroup Discrimination as a Predictor of Conflict within the Same Organization. The Role of Organizational Identity. *Eur. J. Invest. Health Psychol. Educ.* 2020, 10, 1.
- Ferri, P., Stifani, S., Morotti, E., Nuvoletta, M., Bonetti, L., Rovesti, S., Cutino, A., & Di Lorenzo, R. (2020): Perceptions of Caring Behavior Among Undergraduate Nursing Students: A Three-Cohort Observational Study. *Psychology research and behavior management*, 13, 1311-1322.
- Fortes, K., Latham, C.L., Vaughn, S., Preston, K., 2022: The influence of social determinants of education on nursing student persistence and professional values. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 39, 41–53.
- Gawad, S.A., (2022): Work Place Incivility and its Effect on Quality of Work Life among Staff Nurses. *Egyptian Journal of Health Care*, 13(3), 809-821. doi: 10.21608/ejhc.2022.255400.
- Ghafouri R , Bajestani S.I , Nasiri M , Ohnishi K and Foroozan A.S (2022): Psychometrics of the moral distress scale in Iranian mental health nurses. *BMC Nursing* (2021) 20:166 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00674-4>
- Gillet, N., Austin, S., Fernet, C., Sandrin, E., Lorho, F., Brault, S., ... & Aubouin Bonnaventure, J. (2021): Workaholism, presenteeism, work–family conflicts and personal and work outcomes: Testing a moderated mediation model. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 30(19-20), 2842-2853.
- Gupta, M., Shaheen, M., & Das, M. (2019): Engaging employees for quality of life: mediation by psychological capital. *The Service Industries Journal*, 39(5-6), 403-419.
- Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2020): Reexamining the role of vision in second language motivation: A preregistered conceptual replication of You, Dörnyei, and Csizér (2016). *Language Learning*, 70(1), 48-102.
- Malinauskas, R. K., & Pozeriene, J. (2020). Academic motivation among traditional and online university students. *European journal of contemporary education*, 9(3), 584-591
- Holland, K. (2019): Does the Market Subvert Health Care Reform? An Examination of Recent Attempts to Cut Costs and increase Accessibility to Health Care by Harnessing the Market (Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at Stony Brook.
- Huang., et al.(2020): Self-reported confidence in patient safety competencies among Chinese nursing students: a multi-site cross-sectional survey. *BMC Medical Education* (2020) 20:32.
- Ismail, E.(2021): The relationship between Ethical work climate and Organizational commitment among staff nurses p7.
- Jalili, M., Niroomand, M., Hadavand, F., Zeinali, K., & Fotouhi, A. (2021) : Burnout among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 1-8.
- Jansen, T. L. Hem, M. H. Dambolt, L. J. and Hanssen, I. . (2020): “Moral distress in acute psychiatric nursing: multifaceted dilemmas and demands,” *Nursing ethics.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1315–1326.

- Jerg-Bretzke, L., Limbrecht-Ecklundt, K., Walter, S., Spohrs, J., & Beschoner, P. (2020): Correlations of the “Work–Family Conflict” with occupational stress—a cross-sectional study among university employees. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, 11, 134.
- Jiang, Z., Hu, X., Wang, Z., & Jiang, X. (2019): Knowledge hiding as a barrier to thriving: The mediating role of psychological safety and moderating role of organizational cynicism. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(7), 800-818.
- Kachaturoff, M., Caboral-Stevens, M., Gee, M., Lan, V.M., 2020: Effects of peermentoring on stress and anxiety levels of undergraduate nursing students: an integrative review. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 36, 223–228.
- Khalid, U., Mushtaq, T., Khan, A. Z., & Mahmood, F. (2021): Probing the impact of transformational leadership on job embeddedness: the moderating role of job characteristics. *Management Research Review*, 44(8), 1139-1156.
- Khosravi, M., Ghiasi, Z., & Ganjali, A. (2021): Burnout in hospital medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 21(12 (1)), 3644.
- Kim, A.Y., Sim, I.O., 2020: Communication skills, problem-solving ability, understanding of patients’ conditions, and nurse’s perception of professionalism among clinical nurses: a structural equation model analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17, 4896.
- Kim, J., & Gatling, A. (2019): Impact of employees’ job, organizational and technology fit on engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*.
- Kim, S.C., Jillapali, R., Boyd, S., 2021: Impacts of peer tutoring on academic performance of first-year baccalaureate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 96, 104658.
- King, J. L. (2021): Research review: work-family/family-work conflict. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 1(1), 102-105.
- Ko, W., & Kang, H. (2019): Effect of leadership style and organizational climate on employees' food safety and hygiene behaviors in the institutional food service of schools. *Food Science & Nutrition* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.; 7 (6): 2131-2143.
- Lee, S.E., Lee, M.H., Peters, A.B. and Gwon, S.H., (2020): Assessment of Patient Safety and Cultural Competencies among Senior Baccalaureate Nursing Students. *nt. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 17, 4225.
- Liu, F., Chow, I. H.-S., Zhang, J.-C., & Huang, M. (2019): Organizational innovation climate and individual innovative behavior: exploring the moderating effects of psychological ownership and psychological empowerment. *Rev. Manag. Sci.* 13, 771–789.
- Macedo, L.L., Silva, A.M.R., Silva, J.F., Mdcfl, H., & Giroto, E. (2020): The cultureregarding the safety of the patient in primary health care: distinctions among professional categories. *Trab Educ Saúde Rio de Janeiro*. 2020;18(1).
- Mahmoud, S.R., 2019: Nursing students' attitudes toward nursing profession and its relation to study adjustment. *Int. J. Nurs. Didact.* 9 (7), 9–16.
- Mahran, H. M., Abd Al, M. A. A. H., & Saleh, N. M. (2022): Relationship between ethical leadership and workaholism among nursing supervisors as perceived by staff nurses. *Egyptian Nursing Journal*, 19(2), 79.
- Mauro, L. B. (2022): Exploring Moral Distress, Ethical Climate, and Psychological Empowerment among New Registered Nurses (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University)
- Moghadari-Koosha, M., Moghadasi-Amiri, M., Cheraghi, F., Mozafari, H., Imani, B., & Zandieh, M. (2020): Self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and motivation as factors influencing academic achievement among paramedical students: A correlation study. *Journal of allied health*, 49(3), 145E-152E.
- Molazem, Z., Bagheri, L., & Najafi Kalyani, M. (2022): Evaluation of the Moral Distress Intensity and Its

- Relationship with the Quality of Work Life among Nurses Working in Oncology Wards in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran. *BioMed Research International*, 2022.
- Mostafa, B. A., El-Borsaly, A. A. E., Hafez, E. A. E., & Hassan, S. A. (2021): The Mediating Effect of Person-Organization Value Fit on the Relationship Between University Branding and Academic Staff Citizenship Behavior. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 10(1), 313-313.
- Nanjundeswaraswamy T. (2021): Nurses quality of work life: scale development and validation. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, DOI 10.1108/JEAS-09-2020-0154.
- Newman, A., Round, H., Wang, S. L., & Mount, M. (2020): Innovation climate: a systematic review of the literature and agenda for future research. *J. Occup. Organ. Psychol.* 93, 73–109.
- Nomany, N.F. (2022): Perceived Nursing Supervisor Support and Its Influence on Job Embeddedness among Staff Nurses, unpublished master thesis, faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, p 148-150.
- Nyanywiwa, S., Peters, K., & Murphy, G. (2022): A scoping review: Treatment attitudes and adherence for adults with schizophrenia. *Journal of clinical nursing*.
- Ohnishi, K., Kitaoka, K., Nakahara, J., Välimäki, M., Kontio, R., & Anttila, M. (2019): Impact of moral sensitivity on moral distress among psychiatric nurses. *Nursing ethics*, 26(5), 1473-1483.
- Olatunji, O. A., Idemudia, E. S., & Owoseni, O. O. (2020): Investigating the role of emotional intelligence and role conflict on job burnout among special education teachers. *Journal of Intellectual Disability—Diagnosis and Treatment*, 9(1), 128-136.
- Pålsson, Y., Engstrom, M., Swenne, C.L., Mårtensson, G., 2022: A peer learning intervention in workplace introduction-managers' and new graduates' perspectives. *BMC Nurs.* 21 (12), 1–13.
- Parizad, N., Lopez, V., Jasemi, M., Gharaaghaji Asl, R., Taylor, A., & Taghinejad, R. (2021): Job stress and its relationship with nurses' autonomy and nurse–physician collaboration in intensive care unit. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 22-24.
- Querstret, D., O'Brien, K., Skene, D. J., & Maben, J. (2020): Improving fatigue risk management in healthcare: A systematic scoping review of sleep-related/fatigue-management interventions for nurses and midwives. *International journal of nursing studies*, 106, 103513.
- Raeissi, P., Rajabi, M. R., Ahmadizadeh, E., Rajabkhan, K., & Kakemam, E. (2019): Quality of work life and factors associated with it among nurses in public hospitals, Iran. *Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association*, 94(1), 1-8
- Ramírez Molina, R. J., del Valle Marcano, M., Ramírez Molina, R. I., Lay Raby, N. D., & Herrera Tapias, B. A. (2019): Relationship Between social intelligence and resonant leadership in public health Institutions.
- Ramos, F. R., Barth, P. O Brehmer, L. C., Dalmolin, G. D fargas, M. A. and Schneider, D. G. (2020): "Intensity and frequency of moral distress in Brazilian nurses," *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP.*, vol. 54.
- Razmerita, L., Kirchner, K., Hockerts, K., & Tan, C. W. (2020): Modeling collaborative intentions and behavior in Digital Environments: The case of a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 19(4), 469-502.
- Reynolds, P. O. F., Dias, B. M., Flores, C. A. D. S., Balsanelli, A. P., Gabriel, C. S., & Bernardes, A. (2022): Resonant leadership practices of nurse managers in the hospital setting: a cross-sectional study. *Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem*, 31.
- Segev, E. (2019): "Volume and control: the transition from information to power". *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*. 14 (3): 240–257. doi:10.1080/17447143.2019.1662028. ISSN 1744-7143.

- Sein Myint, N. N., Kunaviktikul, W., & Stark, A. (2021): A contemporary understanding of organizational climate in healthcare setting: A concept analysis. *Nursing Forum*, 56(1), 172–180.
- Sengul, M., & Seyfi, R. O. (2020): Investigation of the relationship between academic procrastination behaviours and academic self-efficacy of Turkish language teacher candidates. *Cumhuriyet International Journal of Education*, 9(3), 755-773.
- Sheta, S. S., & Hammouda, M. A. (2022): Risk for Workaholism among Working Physicians of Zagazig University Hospitals: A Message for Achieving Productive Work and Balanced Life. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 89(1), 4402-4409.
- Shin, J., & Shin, H. (2020): Impact of job insecurity on hotel workers' workaholism and work–family conflict in Korea. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 7783.
- Siyal, S., Xin, C., Peng, X., Siyal, A. W., & Ahmed, W. (2020): role of person–organization fit mechanism. *Sage Open*, 10(3), 2158244020947424.
- Spagnoli, P., Haynes, N. J., Kovalchuk, L. S., Clark, M. A., Buono, C., & Balducci, C. (2020): Workload, workaholism, and job performance: Uncovering their complex relationship. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 6536.
- Spilg, E. G., Rushton, C. H., Phillips, J. L., Kendzerska, T., Saad, M., Gifford, W., Gautam, M., Bhatla, R., Edwards, J. D., Quilty, L., Leveille, C., & Robillard, R. (2022): The new frontline: Exploring the links between moral distress, moral resilience and mental health in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Psychiatry*, 22(1), 19-19. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1186/s12888-021-03637-w>
- Svartdal, F., Klingsieck, K. B., Steel, P., & Gamst-Klaussen, T. (2020): Measuring implemental delay in procrastination: Separating onset and sustained goal striving. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 156, 109762.
- Syahrina, I. A., & Mutya, M. T. (2023): Academic Self-Efficacy and Academic Procrastination: The Mediating Role of Academic Motivation. In *International Conference of Psychology* (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 122-129).
- Tajalli, S. Rostamli, S. Dezvaree, N. Shariat, M. and Kadivar, M. (2021): “Moral distress among Iranian neonatal intensive care units' health care providers: a multi-center cross sectional study,” *Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine.*, p. 14.
- Talebian, F., Hesamzadeh, A., Hosseinnataj, A., & AzimiLolaty, H. (2022): Relationship between academic procrastination and perceived competence, self-esteem and general self-efficacy of nursing students. *Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences*, 9(4), 310-316.
- Twidwell, J., Dial, D., Fehr, C., 2022: Gender, career choice confidence, and perceived faculty support in baccalaureate nursing students. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 39, 96–100.
- Uwannah, N.C., Onyekachi, C.N., & Filade, B.A. (2021): Hardiness, Supervisor Support and Work Engagement: Empirical Evidence from Tertiary Institutions in Ogun State, Nigeria. *American Journal of Applied Psychology*, 9(1), 8-14.
- Vasconcelos PF, de Freitas CHA, Jorge MSB, et al. (2019): Safety attributes in primary care: understanding the needs of patients, health professionals, and managers. *Public Health*. 2019;171:31–40.
- Vikstrom, S., Johansson, K., 2019: Professional pride: a qualitative descriptive study of nursing home staff's experiences of how a quality development project influenced their work. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 28, 2760–2768.
- World Alliance for Patient Safety. (2021): WHO cubiculum guide for medical schools addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806).

- Xing, L., Sun, J.M. and Jepsen, D. (2021): "Feeling shame in the workplace: examining negative feedback as an antecedent and performance and well-being as consequences", *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, (42).9.1244-1260.
- Yoon, S. K., Kim, J. H., Park, J. E., Kim, C. J., & Song, J. H. (2020): Creativity and knowledge creation: the moderated mediating effect of perceived organizational support on psychological ownership. *Eur. J. Train. Dev.* 44, 743-760.
- Yu, H., Guan, X. & Zhang, X. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership Creates Work Performance, Servant Leadership Delivers Job Satisfaction: Integration of Two Types of Leadership Behaviors. *Science of Science and Management of S. & T.*, 35 (06), 172-180.
- Yun, L. (2019): The relation between academic motivation and academic procrastination among university students. *Faculty Of Social Science And Humanities Tunku Abdul Rahman University College Kuala Lumpur*.
- Yun, M. R., Lim, E. J., Yu, B., & Choi, S. (2020): Effects of Academic Motivation on Clinical Practice-Related Post-Traumatic Growth among Nursing Students in South Korea: Mediating Effect of Resilience. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(13), 4901.
- Yuniati, R., & Sitingjak, C. (2022): Upward Comparison at the Workplace: A Review. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(7), 1377-1394.
- Yurtseven, N., & Dogan, S. (2019): Structural Relationships among Academic Procrastination, Academic Motivation, and Problem-Solving Skill in Prep Class College Students. *Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction*, 9(3), 849-876.
- Zarrin, S. A., Gracia, E., & Paixão, M. P. (2020): Prediction of academic procrastination by fear of failure and self-regulation. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 20(3), 34-43.
- Zurman C., Hoffmann H. O., and Ruff-Stahl H. K. (2019): Difference in attitudes toward Crew Resource Management based on nationality. *International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace*, 6(4).
- Zwedberg, S., Alnervik, M., Barimani, M., 2021: Student midwives' perception of peer learning during their clinical practice in an obstetric unit: a qualitative study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 99, 104785.