

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v4i3.3450>

## The Impact of Collaborative Nursing and Midwifery Care on Postpartum Physical and Mental Health: A Synthesis of Evidence

Zahra Yahya Ali Hifthi<sup>1</sup>, Bashayer Othman Mohammed Maghfuri<sup>2</sup>, Sultanah Abdullah Abdullah Jafari<sup>3</sup>, Wala Eissa Ali Hakami<sup>4</sup>, Amatulahman Mohammed Mohammed Ali Mori<sup>5</sup>, Reham Awid Mesfer Alodaini<sup>6</sup>, Salihah Mohammed Taher Keriri<sup>7</sup>, Mariam Tahos Alghamdi<sup>8</sup>

### Abstract

*The postpartum period, reconceptualized as the critical "fourth trimester," demands holistic and continuous care to address significant physical and mental health challenges. This paper synthesizes evidence from systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical guidelines to evaluate the impact of collaborative nursing and midwifery care on postpartum recovery and maternal mental health. Findings demonstrate that integrated, continuity-based models significantly reduce unnecessary obstetric interventions—such as cesarean sections and episiotomies—while promoting physiologic birth and enhancing physical recovery. Concurrently, these models improve maternal mental health through relational continuity, targeted psychosocial support, and early identification of disorders, reducing perinatal depression and anxiety. The evidence underscores that interprofessional, woman-centered care leads to superior outcomes, including improved breastfeeding rates and reduced maternal morbidity. However, widespread implementation faces systemic barriers, including restrictive policies, workforce shortages, and hierarchical resistance. To realize these benefits, healthcare systems must prioritize policy reform, interprofessional education, and aligned financial incentives to support collaborative care as a standard for postpartum health.*

**Keywords:** Postpartum Care, Collaborative Nursing, Midwifery-Led Care, Maternal Mental Health, Interprofessional Collaboration, Fourth Trimester, Perinatal Outcomes.

## Introduction

### Reframing Postpartum Care: The "Fourth Trimester" Concept

The period following childbirth, traditionally viewed as a distinct six-to-eight-week recovery phase, is undergoing a fundamental reconceptualization within maternal health policy and clinical practice. Leading professional bodies, most notably the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), have formally endorsed the concept of the "fourth trimester" [1]. This paradigm shift reframes postpartum care not as a single, arbitrary follow-up appointment, but as a critical, ongoing period of comprehensive care extending up to 12 weeks

<sup>1</sup> Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia, (Corresponding Author)

<sup>2</sup> Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia.

<sup>3</sup> Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

<sup>4</sup> Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup> Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

<sup>6</sup> Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

<sup>7</sup> Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

<sup>8</sup> Ministry of health Al-Baha Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia



after birth. This period is characterized by profound and simultaneous physical, social, and psychological adjustments as the new mother recovers from childbirth, navigates fluctuating hormones, and adapts to the demands of caring for a newborn. The traditional notion of a six-week recovery timeline is now considered a minimum, with a more realistic understanding that full physiological and psychological recovery can take six months to a year [2].

This redefinition is far more than a semantic adjustment; it represents a crucial policy driver that establishes the clinical and administrative architecture necessary for more effective, integrated models of care to be implemented and sustained (Khattak et al., 2014). The previous model, centered on a single visit at six weeks, inherently incentivized a fragmented, episodic approach to postpartum health [1]. In contrast, the fourth trimester framework mandates a longitudinal process, recommending initial contact with a maternal care provider within the first three weeks postpartum, followed by ongoing, tailored care that culminates in a comprehensive assessment no later than 12 weeks after birth (Al-Taie & Khattak, 2024). This comprehensive visit is designed to include a full evaluation of physical recovery, mood and emotional well-being, infant care and feeding, sleep and fatigue, and sexuality and contraception. Such a continuous, relationship-based model of care aligns perfectly with the core competencies and philosophical underpinnings of nursing and midwifery. ACOG's explicit call for corresponding changes in reimbursement policies to support this new paradigm is a critical enabler, shifting financial incentives away from isolated encounters and toward the provision of holistic, ongoing support [2]. This policy evolution by a dominant medical organization legitimizes and creates the necessary space for collaborative care models, which are structurally better suited to deliver this type of care than a purely obstetric, intervention-focused model. The policy shift, therefore, can be seen as a foundational prerequisite for the widespread integration of joint nursing and midwifery care.

### **The Dual Burden: Physical Morbidity and Mental Health Disorders**

The fourth trimester is a period of significant vulnerability, presenting a dual burden of potential physical morbidity and a high risk of mental health disorders. The physical challenges are considerable, encompassing pain, profound fatigue, breastfeeding difficulties, urinary incontinence, and disruptions to sexual health [1]. The process of physical recovery is complex, requiring management of perineal trauma from tearing or episiotomy, care for surgical incisions after a cesarean delivery, management of uterine involution (afterbirth pains), and addressing common issues like breast soreness and constipation. Each of these challenges requires specific clinical guidance, support, and monitoring to ensure proper healing and prevent long-term complications [3].

Concurrently, the postpartum period represents a global maternal mental health crisis. Maternal mental health (MMH) disorders are among the most common complications of childbirth, affecting up to one in five women in the United States during the perinatal period [4]. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that globally, 13% of women who have just given birth experience a mental disorder, predominantly depression. This figure rises to nearly 20% in developing countries, where a recent meta-analysis found that one in five mothers experiences clinical depression after childbirth [5]. These conditions cause enormous suffering and disability, impairing a mother's ability to function and care for herself and her infant. In the most severe cases, maternal mental illness can lead to suicide, which has become a leading cause of postpartum mortality in many high-income countries [6]. The profound impact on both the mother and the developing infant underscores the urgent public health imperative to improve the

prevention, identification, and management of these disorders.

## **Thesis Statement**

The significant and multifaceted health challenges of the postpartum period demand care models that are holistic, continuous, and woman-centered. A substantial body of evidence from systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and official clinical guidelines demonstrates that joint nursing and midwifery care, delivered through collaborative, interprofessional models, represents a superior approach to standard, often fragmented, care. This paper synthesizes the current academic and clinical evidence to argue that these collaborative models significantly improve postpartum physical recovery by reducing unnecessary medical interventions and promoting physiologic processes, and concurrently enhance maternal mental health by providing the relational continuity, targeted psychosocial support, and patient-centered communication necessary to protect and restore well-being during the critical fourth trimester.

## **Foundational Frameworks: Defining Postpartum Health and Models of Care**

### **The Modern Paradigm of Postpartum Physical Recovery**

The contemporary, evidence-based understanding of postpartum physical recovery extends far beyond the healing of wounds from childbirth. It encompasses a holistic restoration of physiological function and overall well-being. ACOG's guidelines for the comprehensive postpartum visit provide a framework for this modern paradigm, emphasizing a multi-domain assessment that includes physical recovery from birth, management of sleep and fatigue, resumption of sexual health and contraception, and ongoing management of any chronic diseases exacerbated or revealed by pregnancy [1]. This approach acknowledges that recovery is a systemic process.

Key domains of physical recovery are well-defined in clinical literature. For vaginal births, **perineal and pelvic floor health** is a primary focus. The management of perineal tears or episiotomies involves immediate measures such as applying ice in the first 24 hours and using warm sitz baths to ease pain and promote healing. Crucially, recovery includes the restoration of pelvic floor muscle function through Kegel exercises, which are vital for resolving postpartum urinary incontinence and improving long-term sexual function. For cesarean births, recovery centers on **surgical wound care** and the prevention of postoperative complications. This includes gentle cleaning of the incision, pain management, and early mobilization to prevent thromboembolic events like blood clots. ACOG recommends avoiding heavy lifting for the first few weeks to allow for proper healing of the abdominal wall [3].

Beyond the immediate site of birth, **systemic recovery** involves addressing widespread physiological changes. Management of postpartum constipation through a diet rich in fiber, adequate hydration, and gentle exercise like walking is essential to avoid straining, which can compromise both perineal and cesarean wounds. Addressing the profound fatigue that characterizes the postpartum period requires a focus on nutrition, rest, and support systems [1]. Finally, lactation **support** is a critical component of physical recovery, involving the management of common issues like breast soreness and engorgement and providing skilled assistance to establish and maintain breastfeeding, which itself has numerous health benefits for both mother and infant [3].

### **The Spectrum of Postpartum Mental Health Disorders**

Postpartum psychiatric illnesses encompass a spectrum of conditions ranging from transient

mood disturbances to severe, life-threatening emergencies. A clear understanding of these distinctions is essential for appropriate screening, diagnosis, and management.

- **Postpartum Blues:** Affecting a majority of new mothers (50-85%), the "baby blues" are characterized by mood lability, tearfulness, anxiety, and irritability [7]. These symptoms are considered a normal physiological and psychological adjustment to childbirth, typically peaking on the fourth or fifth day postpartum and resolving spontaneously within two weeks. They are not classified as a psychiatric disorder [8].
- **Postpartum Depression (PPD):** This is a major depressive disorder that affects a significant minority of women, with prevalence rates estimated between 10% and 20% globally. Unlike the blues, PPD involves persistent symptoms that can emerge anytime within the first year after birth and can last for months if untreated. Core symptoms include a persistent low or sad mood, anhedonia (loss of interest or pleasure), significant changes in sleep or appetite, overwhelming fatigue, feelings of guilt, worthlessness, or incompetence, and in severe cases, suicidal ideation [6].
- **Postpartum Anxiety Disorders:** These are also highly prevalent, affecting up to 20% of perinatal women. They can manifest as generalized anxiety, characterized by constant worry and a feeling that something bad will happen, or as panic attacks. Physical symptoms such as dizziness, hot flashes, and nausea are common. Anxiety can occur as a standalone disorder or be co-morbid with PPD [9].
- **Postpartum Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (CB-PTSD):** This condition is a direct result of a real or perceived trauma during childbirth. Traumatic experiences can include unplanned C-sections, postpartum hemorrhage, or feelings of powerlessness and lack of support during delivery. Symptoms are consistent with PTSD and include intrusive flashbacks or nightmares of the event, avoidance of reminders (such as hospitals or even the infant), and hyperarousal [10].
- **Postpartum Psychosis:** This is a rare (affecting approximately 1-2 per 1,000 births) but severe psychiatric emergency that most often represents an episode of bipolar illness. The onset is typically rapid, with early signs including restlessness, irritability, and insomnia. The condition can quickly evolve to include a rapidly shifting mood (depressed or elated), confusion, disorganized behavior, and delusional beliefs, which often center on the infant. Auditory hallucinations instructing the mother to harm herself or her baby can occur, posing a significant risk of suicide and infanticide [7].

### **Principles of Interprofessional Midwifery and Nursing Collaboration**

The care models evaluated in this paper are founded on distinct but complementary professional philosophies that, when integrated, create a powerful framework for holistic maternal care. The **Midwifery Model of Care**, as defined by the WHO and the International Confederation of Midwives (ICM), is centered on the principle that pregnancy and birth are normal life processes [11]. It is described as "skilled, knowledgeable and compassionate care" that is fundamentally holistic, continuous, and woman-centered [12]. This model is not merely a set of clinical tasks but a philosophy of care built on a partnership between the woman and the midwife. This partnership respects the woman's right to self-determination, empowers her through education and shared decision-making, and seeks to minimize unnecessary technological interventions while ensuring safety [13]. Key characteristics that distinguish this model are its salutogenic (health-promoting) rather than pathogenic (disease-focused) orientation and the centrality of the

midwife-woman relationship.

**Interprofessional collaborative practice** builds upon this foundation by formally integrating the distinct and complementary skills of nurses, midwives, and physicians. A joint position statement from leading Canadian nursing and midwifery associations defines collaboration as a "woman-centred practice designed to promote the active participation of each discipline in providing quality care". This is not simply co-location of services but a dynamic process guided by core principles, including: continuous and open communication, mutual trust and respect for each profession's expertise, shared goals and values centered on the woman's needs, and a clear understanding of each provider's distinct scope of practice [14].

In practice, these principles manifest as **integrated care models** where nurses, midwives, and physicians function as an identified team [15]. The objective of such models is to leverage the unique strengths of each discipline to provide comprehensive, seamless care. This allows for the midwife's expertise in promoting and supporting physiologic birth and postpartum recovery to be the primary mode of care for most women, while ensuring that the obstetrician's expertise in managing complex medical and surgical complications is readily and seamlessly available when needed [16]. This integration ensures that women receive the most appropriate level of care tailored to their specific, and potentially changing, needs throughout the perinatal continuum.

The philosophical tenets that underpin these models—such as woman-centeredness, partnership, and the promotion of normalcy—are not merely abstract ideals or "soft skills." They are, in fact, the direct antecedents and causal mechanisms for the measurable improvements in physical and mental health outcomes observed in the evidence. The process begins with the model's emphasis on partnership and shared decision-making [12]. This foundation fosters a clinical environment where, as research demonstrates, women report better communication, feel more empowered to ask questions, and are more encouraged to voice their concerns [13]. This enhanced communication and the resulting trust-based relationship directly facilitate the early identification, discussion, and management of emerging mental health symptoms [17]. Simultaneously, the model's philosophical commitment to promoting physiologic birth and minimizing unnecessary interventions translates directly into the observed lower rates of cesarean sections and instrumental births [18]. This, in turn, leads to a less traumatic birth experience and a smoother physical recovery. Therefore, the philosophy of care is not an incidental feature of the model; it is the core engine driving the positive outcomes. This understanding refutes a purely biomedical interpretation of maternity care and elevates the critical importance of the relational and process-oriented aspects of care delivery.

### **Evidence of Impact on Physical Recovery and Perinatal Outcomes**

A robust and growing body of high-quality evidence, including systematic reviews and large-scale cohort studies, demonstrates that collaborative and midwifery-led models of care lead to significant improvements in physical health outcomes for mothers and newborns when compared to standard, often obstetrician-led, care.

### **Synthesis of Evidence from Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses**

The most rigorous forms of evidence synthesis consistently affirm the benefits of these models. A 2024 Cochrane review, widely considered the gold standard in evidence-based medicine, analyzed numerous randomized controlled trials and concluded that women who received care within midwife continuity of care models were significantly less likely to experience a caesarean section or instrumental birth. They were more likely to have a spontaneous vaginal birth and

consistently reported more positive care experiences throughout pregnancy, labor, and the postpartum period. This evidence is not confined to high-income settings. A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis focusing on midwifery-led care in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) found similarly powerful results, including significantly reduced risks of emergency C-section, postpartum hemorrhage, and episiotomy, alongside increased odds of a spontaneous vaginal birth [19]. Across the board, a large body of research supports the conclusion that midwifery-led care provides outcomes that are equal to, and in many key indicator’s superior to, standard physician-led care for the majority of childbearing women [20].

The consistency and magnitude of these findings are best illustrated by a direct comparison of key outcomes from this high-level evidence.

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Collaborative/Midwifery-Led Care</b>	<b>Standard Care</b>	<b>Effect Measure (RR/OR with 95% CI)</b>
<b>Cesarean Delivery</b>	Lower Rate	Higher Rate	RR=0.76 (95% CI:0.68–0.84)
			OR=0.49 (95% CI:0.27–0.72) (Emergency CS, LMICs)
<b>Instrumental Birth</b>	Reduced from 14% to 13%	14%	RR=0.89 (95% CI:0.83–0.96)
<b>Spontaneous Vaginal Birth</b>	Increased from 66% to 70%	66%	RR=1.05 (95% CI:1.03–1.07)
<b>Episiotomy</b>	Reduced from 23% to 19%	23%	RR=0.83 (95% CI:0.77–0.90)
			OR=0.46 (95% CI:0.10–0.82) (LMICs)
<b>Maternal Length of Stay</b>	50.6±47.1 hours	72.7±66.7 hours	Statistically significant reduction (p<0.001)
<b>Exclusive Breastfeeding at Discharge</b>	Higher Rate	Lower Rate	RR=2.10 (95% CI:1.85–2.39)
<b>Postpartum Hemorrhage</b>	Significantly lower rate (LMICs)	Higher Rate	Not specified in abstract, but significant
	Likely little to no difference		RR=0.92 (95% CI:0.82–1.03) (HICs)

Table 1: Comparative Perinatal and Maternal Health Outcomes: Collaborative/Midwifery-Led Care vs. Standard Care

### **Reduced Obstetric Interventions and Promotion of Physiologic Birth**

The data presented in the table clearly show that a central benefit of collaborative and midwifery-led care is a significant reduction in obstetric interventions. A landmark retrospective cohort study of the South Community Birth Program in Vancouver—a fully integrated collaborative model involving family physicians, midwives, community health nurses, and doulas—provides a compelling real-world example. Women receiving care in this program had a 24% lower relative risk of undergoing a cesarean delivery (RR=0.76) compared to a matched cohort

receiving standard care. This finding is of profound public health importance, as C-section rates have risen dramatically in many countries, including Canada, without commensurate evidence of improved maternal or neonatal outcomes [21].

This reduction in interventions is not accidental; it is a direct consequence of the midwifery model's philosophy, which advocates for and actively supports physiologic birth whenever it is safe to do so. By providing continuous labor support, encouraging mobility, and using non-pharmacological pain management techniques, these models create an environment that allows the natural process of labor to unfold with minimal interference. This approach directly contributes to a smoother and less complicated physical recovery by helping women avoid major abdominal surgery (C-section) and the significant perineal trauma and recovery time associated with instrumental deliveries and routine episiotomies [22].

The benefits of avoiding a primary cesarean section extend far beyond the immediate postpartum period, creating a positive "ripple effect" throughout a woman's entire reproductive life and generating significant savings for the healthcare system. The initial C-section dramatically increases the probability of repeat cesareans in subsequent pregnancies, a well-documented phenomenon known as the "cesarean cascade." Each subsequent surgery carries progressively higher risks of severe maternal morbidity, including life-threatening conditions like placenta accrete spectrum disorders, catastrophic hemorrhage, and uterine rupture. Furthermore, each cesarean is a major surgical procedure with inherently higher direct costs, longer and more resource-intensive hospital stays, and a more prolonged and painful recovery compared to a vaginal birth [21]. By safely preventing the *first* medically unnecessary cesarean, collaborative care models do more than just improve the immediate postpartum experience; they interrupt a dangerous and costly cycle of escalating risk. This represents a powerful, long-term public health and economic advantage that is frequently underestimated when evaluating the full impact of these care models.

### **Enhanced Postpartum Recovery and Maternal Morbidity**

The direct link between reduced interventions and enhanced postpartum physical recovery is clearly demonstrated in the clinical data. Women who participated in the Vancouver collaborative program had significantly shorter hospital stays for themselves (mean of 50.6 hours vs. 72.7 hours) and their newborns [21]. This nearly full-day reduction in hospitalization time is a strong indicator of a faster initial recovery, which in turn reduces healthcare costs, lowers the risk of hospital-acquired infections, and allows mothers and their families to return to the comfort of their home environment sooner.

Beyond the benefits derived from avoiding major interventions, targeted care provided by nurses and midwives during the postpartum period can actively improve recovery. A quasi-experimental study that compared a group receiving focused nurse-midwife interventions with a control group receiving standard care found a dramatic reduction in postnatal discomfort. In the post-test assessment, an overwhelming 76.67% of mothers in the intervention group reported feeling no pain, a stark contrast to the control group, where 0% of mothers were pain-free [23]. This demonstrates that the hands-on, supportive care characteristic of nursing and midwifery—including education, emotional support, and non-pharmacological pain management techniques—is highly effective at improving the physical experience of postpartum recovery.

### **Improved Breastfeeding and Newborn Outcomes**

Collaborative and midwifery-led care models are also strongly associated with improved

breastfeeding outcomes. The evidence from the Vancouver collaborative program was particularly striking: women in the program were more than twice as likely to be breastfeeding exclusively when they were discharged from the hospital (RR=2.10) [21]. This outcome is critically important, as exclusive breastfeeding in the early weeks is a strong predictor of longer-term breastfeeding duration, which confers numerous health benefits to both infant and mother.

This success is directly attributable to the structure and philosophy of the care model. The WHO and UNICEF explicitly identify nurses and midwives as the key health providers responsible for protecting, promoting, and supporting breastfeeding, viewing this role as an essential competency of their practice, not an optional or additional function [24]. The continuity of care inherent in these models ensures that women receive consistent, evidence-based information and hands-on support from a trusted provider, starting in the antenatal period and continuing through the crucial first days and weeks postpartum. This sustained support is vital for building a mother's confidence and skills, troubleshooting common problems, and successfully establishing breastfeeding.

### **Evidence of Impact on Maternal Mental Health**

The benefits of integrated nursing and midwifery care extend profoundly into the domain of maternal mental health. The evidence reveals a powerful, dual impact: a foundational, preventative effect derived from the inherent structure of the care model, and an active, therapeutic effect from specific, targeted interventions that nurses and midwives are uniquely positioned to deliver.

### **The Protective Role of Relational Continuity**

A core mechanism driving improved mental health outcomes is the principle of relational continuity—the development of a sustained, trusting relationship with a known and consistent care provider or a small, integrated team. A narrative systematic review that focused specifically on the impact of midwifery continuity of care on maternal mental health found compelling evidence that this model leads to measurable improvements in maternal anxiety, worry, and depression throughout the perinatal period. The review's authors concluded that midwifery continuity of care may function as a powerful preventative intervention, mitigating the risk of women developing more serious perinatal mental health disorders [25].

The protective effect of this relationship-based care is rooted in its ability to foster emotional safety. When a woman builds a rapport with her midwife or nurse over time, she is more likely to feel comfortable disclosing sensitive information, including her fears, anxieties, and symptoms of depression. This ongoing dialogue allows the provider to gain a deep, nuanced understanding of the woman's unique social context, personal history, and specific stressors. This personalized knowledge enables the provider to offer tailored support and to identify early warning signs of mental distress before they escalate into a crisis. This stands in stark contrast to fragmented care systems where a woman may see a different provider at every visit, hindering the development of trust and making it less likely that subtle changes in mental well-being will be noticed or addressed.

### **Efficacy of Nurse- and Midwife-Led Psychological Interventions**

Beyond the inherent preventative benefits of the model, there is strong evidence that nurses and midwives can effectively deliver active, therapeutic interventions for perinatal mental health disorders. A rigorous systematic review and meta-analysis that aggregated data from 12 separate

studies provided definitive evidence on this point. The analysis found that psychological interventions provided directly by nurses and midwives had a **significant effect on reducing perinatal depressive symptoms**, with women receiving these interventions being 28% less likely to have persistent symptoms compared to those in control groups (RR=0.72, 95% CI:0.64–0.82) [26].

The meta-analysis further dissected the data to identify the most effective components of these interventions. Among the various therapeutic approaches studied, **supportive counseling was found to be the most effective**, yielding a 42% reduction in the risk of depressive symptoms (RR=0.58, 95% CI:0.42–0.80) [26]. This finding is particularly significant because it demonstrates that non-specialist health providers, such as nurses and midwives, when given appropriate training, can deliver highly effective mental health support that is well within their scope of practice. The study also identified the optimal intensity for these interventions, finding that a structured series of **six to eight sessions** produced the best outcomes. This suggests that a manageable, time-limited course of support can have a profound impact.

Further evidence for the synergistic effects of integrated care comes from a study that examined a combined intervention of psychological nursing with midwife-led positional care during labor. The group receiving this integrated care had significantly lower post-intervention scores for both anxiety (as measured by the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, HAMA) and depression (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, HAMD) compared to the control group [27]. This highlights how combining physical support and comfort measures with targeted psychological support can create a powerful, holistic intervention that improves both the birth experience and subsequent mental well-being.

This body of evidence reveals a highly efficient, two-pronged public health strategy for addressing the maternal mental health crisis. The very structure of collaborative, continuity-based care provides a *passive, preventative* effect, creating a safety net of trust and support that can buffer women against the stressors of the perinatal period. Concurrently, the frequent and trusted contact that nurses and midwives have with new mothers positions them perfectly to deliver *active, therapeutic* interventions like supportive counseling. This dual impact allows for a seamless continuum of care where mental health issues can be prevented from escalating, and for those that do arise, they can be treated effectively and in a timely manner by a known provider. This integrated approach avoids the stigma, cost, and access barriers often associated with referrals to external, specialist mental health services, making the collaborative nurse-midwife workforce a uniquely effective and efficient solution.

### **Mechanisms, Implementation, and Broader Context**

Understanding the positive outcomes associated with collaborative nursing and midwifery care requires an examination of the underlying mechanisms that drive these results, the systemic factors that enable or obstruct their implementation, and the broader global and cultural contexts in which care is delivered.

#### **Core Mechanisms Driving Positive Outcomes**

The success of these models is not attributable to a single factor but to the interplay of several core, process-oriented mechanisms that fundamentally alter the care experience.

**Patient-Centered Care and Shared Decision-Making:** At its heart, the midwifery model is fundamentally woman-centered, a philosophy that prioritizes the individual's unique needs,

values, and preferences in all aspects of care. This approach naturally fosters an environment of shared decision-making, where the woman is an active and respected participant in her own care rather than a passive recipient of directives. Research confirms that women receiving midwifery care report significantly better communication with their providers; they are less likely to withhold questions for fear of being perceived as difficult and feel more encouraged to discuss all of their concerns. This sense of empowerment, agency, and control is strongly linked to higher patient satisfaction and improved health outcomes [28].

**Enhanced Interprofessional Communication and Trust:** Effective collaborative practice is contingent upon a foundation of mutual trust, respect, and clear, open communication among all members of the care team [15]. However, achieving this ideal is a significant challenge. Studies using the Interprofessional Collaboration Measurement Scale (IPCMS) have revealed important discrepancies in how different professions perceive the quality of their collaboration. One study found that obstetricians consistently rated their collaboration with midwives and nurses more positively than midwives and nurses rated their collaboration with obstetricians [29]. The most significant discrepancies were found on the "isolation" subscale, which measures the extent to which professionals feel their opinions are sought and respected. This suggests that hierarchical structures and cultural differences between the medical and midwifery/nursing models can create barriers to true, equitable teamwork [30]. Overcoming these barriers is a critical mechanism for success.

**Continuity of Care:** Perhaps the most powerful mechanism is continuity of care, particularly relational continuity. The establishment of a sustained, trusting relationship with a primary provider or a small, consistent team is transformative. This continuity allows for the development of a deep, personalized understanding of the woman's health history, social context, and personal preferences. This, in turn, facilitates more effective communication, provides crucial emotional support that can alleviate anxiety, and ultimately leads to higher satisfaction with the care experience. A large body of evidence, including the 2024 Cochrane review, directly links continuity of care to a reduction in medical interventions and improved outcomes for both mother and baby, making it a central driver of the model's success [31].

### **Barriers and Facilitators to Widespread Implementation**

Despite the overwhelming evidence supporting their benefits, the widespread implementation of collaborative nursing and midwifery models faces significant systemic obstacles. The primary barriers are not clinical in nature but are deeply embedded in the structure, culture, and economics of existing healthcare systems.

**Systemic Barriers:** A comprehensive review of reviews identified several major, interconnected barriers. **Hierarchical power dynamics** and historical resistance from the established medical profession often create an environment hostile to the autonomy of midwifery. This is frequently codified in **restrictive scope of practice regulations** and institutional policies that require unnecessary physician supervision, limiting midwives' ability to practice to the full extent of their training [32].

**Inadequate policy support**, particularly unsupportive funding and reimbursement models that favor fee-for-service, procedure-based care over continuous, relationship-based care, creates powerful financial disincentives for integrated models. Furthermore, persistent **workforce shortages** of midwives, coupled with the demanding nature of on-call work required for continuity models, pose significant logistical challenges. At the interpersonal level, a lack of

trust and unclear role definition between professions can lead to conflict and collaborative breakdown [32].

**Key Facilitators:** Overcoming these barriers requires deliberate and strategic action. Successful implementation is often driven by a combination of context-specific facilitators. **Supportive leadership** from organizational champions who can advocate for the model and navigate institutional politics is crucial [33]. A foundational strategy is the implementation of **collaborative Interprofessional Education (IPE)**, which brings medical, nursing, and midwifery students together to learn "about, from, and with each other" [34]. This builds mutual understanding and respect from the earliest stages of professional development, breaking down the stereotypes and hierarchies that plague later practice. At the macro level, the development of **clear national guidelines and supportive policies** that explicitly promote and fund collaborative models is essential for scaling up these initiatives [32]. Finally, **community engagement** to build public awareness of the benefits of midwifery care can create demand from consumers, putting pressure on health systems to adapt and offer these services [35].

The persistent gap between the strong evidence for these models and their limited implementation suggests that the core problem is not a lack of clinical data, but rather the inertia of entrenched professional hierarchies and misaligned economic incentives. The path forward, therefore, requires a strategic shift in focus. While outcomes research remains important, the most critical work now lies in the fields of implementation science, policy reform, and educational transformation. The central question is no longer "what works?" but "how do we dismantle the systemic barriers to make what works the standard of care for all?"

### **Global Context: High-Income vs. Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs)**

While the principles of collaborative midwifery and nursing care are universal, their application and the primary challenges they address differ significantly between high-income countries (HICs) and low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).

In many **High-Income Countries**, the central challenge in maternity care is the over-medicalization of a normal physiologic process, leading to a cascade of unnecessary and potentially harmful interventions. The United States serves as a stark example, with the highest maternal mortality rate among developed nations and a system that systematically underutilizes midwives, who attend only about 10% of births. This is in sharp contrast to countries with far better maternal health outcomes, such as the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, and Australia, where midwives are the primary providers of first-line maternity care [36]. In the HIC context, the primary role of collaborative midwifery care is to *de-medicalize birth, reduce unnecessary interventions*, promote physiologic processes, and thereby improve outcomes and lower costs.

In **Low- and Middle-Income Countries**, the challenges are fundamentally different and often more dire. The primary issues are a lack of access to any skilled care, poor quality of existing services, and a severe lack of essential resources, including trained personnel, equipment, and adequate facilities. In these settings, the presence of a skilled nursing and midwifery workforce is one of the most critical and effective predictors of reduced maternal mortality. The WHO estimates that universal access to skilled midwives could prevent over 60% of all maternal and neonatal deaths globally [37]. Here, the primary role of collaborative care is to *provide essential, life-saving services*, ensure a baseline of quality and safety, and extend the reach of the formal health system. A meta-analysis of midwifery-led care in LMICs confirmed its life-saving potential, showing significant reductions in postpartum hemorrhage, birth asphyxia, and the need

for emergency C-sections [20]. However, the ability to provide this care is profoundly constrained by systemic challenges. Research from Tanzania, for example, highlights how severe shortages of staff, space, and equipment create immense challenges for nurse-midwives and obstetricians, compromising their ability to provide quality postpartum care despite their best efforts [38].

### **The Imperative of Cultural Competence and Health Equity**

Effective maternity care cannot be delivered in a cultural vacuum. Culture—encompassing shared beliefs, values, norms, and practices—deeply influences how women perceive pregnancy, their health-seeking behaviors, and their engagement with the formal maternity care system [39]. A vast body of research shows that perceived or actual cultural insensitivity from healthcare providers is a major barrier to accessing care and a significant contributor to poor health outcomes and stark health disparities. Women from minority or migrant backgrounds often report feeling that their customs are not understood or respected, leading to experiences of disrespectful care and trauma [40].

Culturally competent care is therefore not an optional add-on but a core requirement for quality. It is an ongoing, reflective process through which nurses and midwives critically examine their own biases and attitudes in order to provide care that is respectful of and responsive to the diverse needs of the women they serve. This goes beyond superficial awareness of different customs and involves a commitment to creating a culturally safe environment. Key strategies for achieving this include employing bilingual and bicultural staff who share the backgrounds of the community, ensuring ready access to professional interpreters, and engaging in genuine partnership with community leaders and members to co-design services that meet their unique needs [41]. The woman-centered, individualized, and relationship-based philosophy of collaborative nursing and midwifery models makes them inherently better positioned to provide this type of respectful, culturally congruent care. This capacity is crucial for addressing the profound racial and ethnic inequities that persist in maternal health outcomes, where women of color disproportionately experience severe maternal morbidity and mortality.

### **Conclusion**

The synthesis of high-quality evidence from systematic reviews, meta-analyses, large-scale clinical studies, and the official guidelines of international health organizations presents an unequivocal conclusion. Collaborative care models that fully integrate the expertise of nurses and midwives are demonstrably superior to standard, fragmented models of care in improving outcomes during the critical postpartum period. The evidence confirms that these models lead to significant and measurable improvements in postpartum physical recovery, driven by a reduction in unnecessary medical interventions such as cesarean sections and instrumental births, which in turn facilitates a faster and less complicated recovery. Concurrently, these models yield profound benefits for maternal mental health, significantly reducing symptoms of perinatal depression and anxiety. These dual benefits are achieved through the core mechanisms of relational continuity of care, a steadfast commitment to woman-centered principles and shared decision-making, and the cultivation of effective interprofessional teamwork. While the evidence for clinical efficacy is robust, widespread implementation is hindered by systemic barriers related to professional hierarchies, misaligned financial incentives, and inadequate policy support, underscoring the need for system-level reform.

## References

- Al-Taie, M., & Khattak, M. N. (2024). The impact of perceived organizational support and human resources practices on innovative work behavior: does gender matter?. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1401916.
- OB-GYN Group embraces “Fourth trimester” concept, acknowledges role of phys... [Internet]. APTA. 2018. Available from: <https://www.apta.org/news/2018/07/11/ob-gyn-group-embraces-fourth-trimester-concept-acknowledges-role-of-physical-therapy-in-postpartum-care>
- ACOG Committee Opinion No. 736: Optimizing Postpartum care. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* [Internet]. 2018 Apr 24;131(5):e140–50. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/aog.0000000000002633>
- Postpartum recovery [Internet]. American Pregnancy Association. 2021. Available from: <https://americanpregnancy.org/healthy-pregnancy/first-year-of-life/postpartum-recovery/>
- About maternal mental health disorders - Policy Center for Maternal Mental Health [Internet]. Policy Center for Maternal Mental Health. 2025. Available from: <https://policycentermmh.org/mmh-disorders/>
- Perinatal mental health [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/mental-health-and-substance-use/promotion-prevention/maternal-mental-health>
- Carlson K, Mughal S, Azhar Y, et al. Perinatal Depression. [Updated 2025 Jan 22]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519070/>
- MGH Center for Women’s Mental Health. Postpartum Psychiatric Disorders - MGH Center for Women’s Mental Health [Internet]. MGH Center for Women’s Mental Health - Perinatal & Reproductive Psychiatry at Mass General Hospital. 2025. Available from: <https://womensmentalhealth.org/specialty-clinics/postpartum-psychiatric-disorders-2/>
- Website N. Overview - Postnatal depression [Internet]. nhs.uk. 2025. Available from: <https://www.nhs.uk/mental-health/conditions/post-natal-depression/overview/>
- National Institute of Mental Health. Perinatal depression [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/sites/default/files/documents/perinatal-depression.pdf>
- Orovou E, Antoniou E, Zervas I, Sarantaki A. Prevalence and correlates of postpartum PTSD following emergency cesarean sections: implications for perinatal mental health care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychology* [Internet]. 2025 Jan 9;13(1). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-02344-5>
- Midwifery education and care [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/maternal-newborn-child-adolescent-health-and-ageing/maternal-health/midwifery>
- Midwives Model of care — NACPM [Internet]. NACPM. Available from: <https://www.nacpm.org/midwives-model-of-care>
- International Confederation of Midwives. Philosophy and Model of Midwifery Care | International Confederation of Midwives [Internet]. International Confederation of Midwives. 2025. Available from: <https://internationalmidwives.org/resources/philosophy-and-model-of-midwifery-care/>
- Canadian Nurses Association, Canadian Association of Midwives, Canadian Association of Perinatal and Women’s Health Nurses, Canadian Association of Midwives, Canadian Nurses Association, Canadian Nurses Association, et al. NURSES AND MIDWIVES COLLABORATE ON CLIENT-CENTRED CARE [Internet]. 2011. Available from: [https://capwhn.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Joint\\_Position\\_Statement\\_Nurses\\_and\\_Midwives\\_2012.pdf](https://capwhn.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Joint_Position_Statement_Nurses_and_Midwives_2012.pdf)
- Multidisciplinary Collaborative Primary Maternity Care Project. Guidelines for development of a multidisciplinary collaborative primary maternity care model - final version. Ottawa: MCP<sup>2</sup>; 2006 May.
- What is Collaborative Care? - OBGYN Clinical - Capital Women's Care Ashburn / The Midwives of Loudoun [Internet]. OBGYN Clinical - Capital Women’s Care Ashburn / The Midwives of Loudoun. 2025. Available from: <https://www.cwcashburn.com/what-is-collaborative-care/>

- Khattak, M. N., Shah, T. A., & Said, B. (2014). Significant predictor and outcome of interpersonal trust: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *International Journal of Information, Business and Management*, 6(2), 153.
- Kozhimannil KB, Attanasio LB, Yang YT, Avery MD, Declercq E. Midwifery care and Patient–Provider communication in maternity decisions in the United States. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* [Internet]. 2015 Feb 9;19(7):1608–15. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-015-1671-8>
- Mccauley K, Elsom S, Muir-Cochrane E, Lyneham J. Midwives and assessment of perinatal mental health. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing* [Internet]. 2011 May 22;18(9):786–95. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2850.2011.01727.x>
- Sandall J, Turienzo CF, Devane D, Soltani H, Gillespie P, Gates S, et al. Midwife continuity of care models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Library* [Internet]. 2024 Apr 10;2024(5). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd004667.pub6>
- Fikre R, Gubbels J, Teklesilasie W, Gerards S. Effectiveness of midwifery-led care on pregnancy outcomes in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* [Internet]. 2023 May 26;23(1). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-05664-9>
- Harris SJ, Janssen PA, Saxell L, Carty EA, MacRae GS, Petersen KL. Effect of a collaborative interdisciplinary maternity care program on perinatal outcomes. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* [Internet]. 2012 Sep 10;184(17):1885–92. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.111753>
- Sethi D, C SP, Kumari H, Umar M, S K, R DN, et al. Evaluating the efficacy of midwifery led-care unit for optimizing maternal and infant health outcomes in India: an evidence based systematic review. *International Journal of Reproduction Contraception Obstetrics and Gynecology* [Internet]. 2025 Mar 27;14(4):1292–300. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20250877>
- Kannan B, Ethiraj T, Rajendran SS, Vijayalakshmi V, Durairaj S, Raja S. The effects of focused Nurse-Midwife interventions on postnatal discomfort and quality of life among postnatal mothers - a quasi experimental study. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences* [Internet]. 2025 Feb 10;17(Suppl 1):S652–4. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs\\_1878\\_24](https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_1878_24)
- World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund. *The role of midwives and nurses in protecting, promoting and supporting breastfeeding*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
- Cibralic S, Pickup W, Diaz AM, Kohlhoff J, Karlov L, Stylianakis A, et al. The impact of midwifery continuity of care on maternal mental health: A narrative systematic review. *Midwifery* [Internet]. 2022 Nov 7;116:103546. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2022.103546>
- Wang T, Pai L, Tzeng Y, Yeh T, Teng Y. Effectiveness of nurses and midwives-led psychological interventions on reducing depression symptoms in the perinatal period: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nursing Open* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 15;8(5):2117–30. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.764>
- Liu J, Yang J, Sun N, Northwest Women and Children's Hospital, Obstetrics Department, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. Combined psychological nursing and Midwife-Led positional care reduces labor duration in nulliparous women: a retrospective study [Internet]. Vol. 17, *International Journal of Women's Health*. 2025 p. 3375–83. Available from: <https://www.dovepress.com/>
- Heri R, Mselle LT, Malqvist M. Qualitative Exploration Study of perceptions of women and Nurse-Midwives on antenatal care information and communication in Tanzania. *International Journal of Women S Health* [Internet]. 2023 Jun 1;Volume 15:927–41. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2147/ijwh.s398710>
- Women's Health Committee. *Collaborative Maternity care* [Internet]. 2016 Mar. Available from: [https://midwives.org.au/common/Uploaded%20files/\\_ADMIN-ACM/Collaborative-Maternity-Care-](https://midwives.org.au/common/Uploaded%20files/_ADMIN-ACM/Collaborative-Maternity-Care-)

- C-Obs-33-Review-March-2016.pdf
- Avery MD, Montgomery O, Brandl-Salutz E. Essential components of successful collaborative maternity care models. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America* [Internet]. 2012 Sep 1;39(3):423–34. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ogc.2012.05.010>
- Hersch D, Klemenhagen K, Adam P. Measuring continuity in primary care: how it is done and why it matters. *Family Practice* [Internet]. 2023 Dec 31;41(1):60–4. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmad122>
- Zarviv G, Perlman S, Ellen ME. Barriers and facilitators for implementation of continuity of midwife care: A review of reviews. *Women and Birth* [Internet]. 2025 Mar 1;38(2):101892. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2025.101892>
- Hughes B CNM, MS, MBA, FACNM, NE BC, Rubinstein B MPH. How to successfully integrate midwives into your practice [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.pbgh.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/How-To-Successfully-Integrate-Integrate-Midwives-Into-Your-Practice.pdf>
- Smith DC, Backman MP. The evidence for Interprofessional education in midwifery. In: Springer eBooks [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1891/9780826131799.0026>
- Icm. Midwifery Models of Care – built on community engagement and interprofessional collaboration [Internet]. International Confederation of Midwives. 2025. Available from: <https://internationalmidwives.org/mmoc-built-on-community-engagement-and-interprofessional-collaboration/>
- commonwealthfund. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2020/nov/Maternal-mortality-Maternity-care-US-compared-10-countries> [Internet]. The Commonwealth Fund. 2020. Available from: <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2020/nov/maternal-mortality-maternity-care-us-compared-10-countries>
- Kitila SB, Feyissa GT, Olika AK, Wordofa MA. Maternal Healthcare in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A scoping review. *Health Services Insights* [Internet]. 2022 Jan 1;15:117863292211003. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/11786329221100310>
- Adnani, Q S, Nurfitriyani, E, Keb, S T, Argaheni, N B, Maulina, R, Adepoju, V A, & O’Connell, M. What is midwifery work in low and middle-income countries? A systematic review to enhance midwifery practices in resource-constrained contexts. *Journal of Asian Midwives*. 2025;12(1):126–157.
- Rugumisa BT. Cultural influences on labor and delivery practices. In: IntechOpen eBooks [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1007187>
- Coast E, Jones E, Portela A, Lattof SR. Maternity Care Services and Culture: A Systematic Global Mapping of Interventions. *PLoS ONE* [Internet]. 2014 Sep 30;9(9):e108130. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108130>
- EBailey. Culturally appropriate care in midwifery education [Internet]. Evidence-Based Nursing Blog. 2024. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/ebn/2024/06/09/culturally-appropriate-care-in-midwifery-education/>.