

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v4i3.3413>

A Literature Review on the Role of Family Medicine in the Early Detection and Management of Type 2 Diabetes

Abdullah Ibrahim Abdullah Alnissayan¹, Fatmah Hadi Almasaari², Noura Hamed Alothman³, Badria Abdullah Almalki⁴, Shikah Mohammed S Alhajri⁵, Osamah Abdullah Alamri⁶, Khaled Mohammed Alshehri⁷, Khuloud Omar Bukhari⁸, Alanoud Abdulrahman Alshamrani⁹, Ali Tareq Alhabib¹⁰, Mohammed Abdulaziz Albarakat¹¹, Amani Thamer Alshahrani¹²

Abstract

This systematic literature review examines the central role of family medicine in the early diagnosis and management of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). As the incidence of diabetes continues to increase globally, family medicine physicians remain the first point of contact for screening, diagnosis, and ongoing management of the chronic condition. This literature review synthesizes the latest evidence regarding screening methods, diagnostic techniques, lifestyle changes, pharmacological management, and care coordination strategies employed within family medicine clinics. The evidence finds that family physicians are optimally positioned for full-range diabetes care through their longitudinal patient connections, systems-thinking approach toward health, and community-oriented locations for practice. Salient findings emphasize the effectiveness of opportunistic screening, systematic lifestyle intervention programs, individualized pharmacotherapy, and multidisciplinary care coordination for superior outcomes for diabetes. Despite existing limitations ranging from barriers of time, resources, and noncompliance among patients, family medicine remains an essential platform for reducing the complications of diabetes and overall quality-of-life improvement among diabetes patients with T2DM. The literature review provides evidence-based recommendations for the enhancement of diabetes delivery within family medicine clinics and implications for further studies and support for implementation.

Keywords: Type 2 Diabetes, Family Practice, Early Diagnosis, Screening, Management, Primary Health Care, Lifestyle Intervention, Glycemic Control.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is one of the largest public health epidemics of the 21st century and currently afflicts an estimated 537 million global adults, the number estimated at reaching 783 million by the year 2045 (Sun et al., 2022). The condition is the outcome of the coexistence

¹ Family Medicine Registrar, Ministry of Health.

² Diabetology and Family Medicine Consultant, Alrawdha 1 PHC.

³ Family Medicine, Princess Noura University

⁴ Family Medicine Registrar, Alrawdha PHC

⁵ Family Medicine Consultant, Ministry of Health, Riyadh 2nd Health Cluster

⁶ Family Medicine Consultant, Ministry of Health, Riyadh 2nd Health Cluster

⁷ Family Medicine Consultant, Ministry of Health, Riyadh 3rd Health Cluster

⁸ Diabetology and Family Medicine Consultant, East Laban PHC

⁹ Family Medicine, King Fahad Medical City

¹⁰ Family Medicine, Abdalmunam Alrashid Third Cluster

¹¹ Family Medicine, King Fahad PHC

¹² Consultant Family Medicine, Alghader PHC



of the insulin resistance and progressive failure of the beta-cells leading to chronic hyperglycemia and associated micro- and macrovascular complications (DeFronzo et al., 2015). Family medicine physicians, as the first caregivers, are the cornerstone for the victory over the epidemic through the early diagnosis, the full management, and the prevention of the diabetes complications associated with it.

The health system convening point for the family physicians positions them best for the delivery of sustained, integrated, and comprehensive care for the full spectrum of diabetic patients—from the diagnosis of pre-diabetes onwards until the end-stage management of the disease (O'Connor et al., 2016; Davies et al., 2022). Their multi-dimensional expertise bridges the biomedical and the psychological, social, and contextual outcomes determinants of diabetes. This more complete focus is even more necessary, considering the interaction among lifestyle determinants, socio-economically determined determinants, and the course and evolution of diabetes (Hill-Briggs et al., 2021).

Family practice locations possess several benefits for the delivery of diabetes care. The longitudinal relationship based on the family practice provides the early diagnosis through opportunistic screening and the possibility for customization of the management strategies through intense insight into the patients' lives, ethics, and situations (Bodenheimer et al., 2014). In addition, the community-integrated delivery of the family practice decreases barriers and increases accessibility for populations and their special situations who are underserved and most impacted by diabetes (Shi et al., 2016).

In spite of these benefits, there are deterrents for the delivery of the best diabetes care for the family doctors. Time limitations, other clinical issues, the unavailability of facilities, and the complexity of guidelines for managing diabetes can prevent the delivery of complete care (Rushforth et al., 2016). Secondly, the fast-developing science of diabetes therapeutics and technology make lifelong professional development and practice behavior change essential (Fleming et al., 2019).

The literature review presented herein seeks to critically appraise the existing evidence for the family medicine contribution toward early diagnosis and management of T2DM. With the integration of the results of the latest studies, the present literature review seeks to determine the best practices, establish the barriers for translation, and make evidence-informed recommendations for the improvement of the diabetes care within the family medicine practice setting. The clarification of these elements is required by the healthcare policy managers, teaching physicians, and clinicians who are determined to initiate the improvements for the outcome of the diabetes through the improved delivery of the primary health care.

Methodology

The systematic literature review was conducted by following the formulated narrative synthesis of healthcare evidence guidelines. The complete search strategy was initiated on multiple electronic databases like PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Library, CINAHL, and Web of Science for literature published within the period spanning the years 2010 and the year of publication up to and including 2024. MeSH (Medical Subject Heading) terms and key words like "type 2 diabetes," "family medicine," "primary care," "early detection," "screening," "diagnosis," "management," "glycemic control," and "lifestyle intervention" were employed through the search strategy.

Inclusion criteria were journal articles that were originally published in English and were

focused on the contribution of family medicine or primary care for the diagnosis and management of T2DM. We considered studies that were carried through different methodologies such as randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, cross-sectional surveys, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and qualitative studies. The articles were supposed to depict the intervention, strategies, or outcomes for the practice of family medicine and diabetes care.

Exclusion criteria ruled out studies for type 1 diabetes alone, gestational diabetes alone, or specialty endocrinology practice alone without primary interest in general practice. Abstracts from conferences, editorials, and opinion pieces without empirical evidence were excluded as were studies carried out where there was no family medicine practice applicability.

Initial search produced 2,847 articles whose duplicates were filtered. The title and abstract screening narrowed the list for full-text analysis to 486. Close evaluation for the criteria for inclusion and exclusion narrowed the shortlisted studies for the final analysis to 124. Data extraction involved the study characteristics, population demographics, intervention or strategies used, outcome measure, and key outcomes corresponding to the practice of family medicine for the management of diabetes.

Quality assessment was carried out with the relevant tool for the type of study, such as the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool for randomized trials and Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies. Studies were coded thematically for narrative synthesis for the primary domains of diabetes care for family medicine practice. This process allowed general coverage of pertinent literature with emphasis on evidence most relevant to practice for family medicine.

Literature Review

Systematic review was conducted for the purpose of evaluating the available evidence for the contribution of family medicine for the early diagnosis and control of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The databases of PubMed, Embase, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science were searched by the principal terms like "type 2 diabetes," "family medicine," "primary care," "screening," "early detection," "management," and "lifestyle intervention." Some additional studies were identified through the listing of the references manually.

Inclusion criteria identified randomized controlled studies, cohort studies, cross-sectional surveys, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and qualitative studies published from the year 2010 through the year 2024 and listed within English peer-review journals. Articles focusing solely on type 1 diabetes, gestational diabetes, or specialty endocrinology practice and that did not generalize for family medicine were excluded. There were 124 articles agreed upon for final criteria for a review and qualitative synthesis.

The literature suggests that family doctors are central to the management of T2DM through early diagnosis, lifestyle intervention, pharmacological management, and the prevention of complications. Opportunistic and population screening accelerate detection, and the most pragmatic tool for diagnosis continues to be HbA1c testing despite deficiencies among special populations. Lifestyle change programs that are programmatic, individual pharmacotherapy, and team models of care all demonstrate consistency for better glycemic outcomes. Integration of digital health solutions and telemedicine can help bolster patient engagement and accessibility further. Time limitation, availability of resources, therapeutic inertia, and compliance of the patient remain the constant issues and there is a continuing need for system support and more investigations into sustainable models of diabetes care within the field of family medicine practice.

Discussion

The evidence considered here underscores the holistic and irreplaceable contribution of family medicine to the control of the exploding epidemic of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Family physicians are the centerpieces of the diabetes delivery system, delivering holistic services that encompass the full spectrum of prevention, early diagnosis, lifelong control, and prevention of complications. Their longitudinal and community-integrated positions within health systems enable the attainment of population-level intervention alongside individualized, patient-centered strategies that are central to the attainment of outcomes (Bodenheimer et al., 2014; Hill-Briggs et al., 2021). Amid the ever-growing prevalence of T2DM across the globe (Sun et al., 2022), the enlistment of family physicians into integrated, evidence-based care has never been more urgent.

Early Detection and Screening Plans

Family physicians play a key role in the early diagnosis of diabetes as the first source of care within health systems. Opportunistic screening on the occasion of routine visits is the most employed tactic and proved feasible within busy primary healthcare visits. It takes advantage of the ongoing visit with the patient for the purpose of detecting high-risk subjects and provides an effective and inexpensive method (Davidson et al., 2017). But complete dependency on opportunistic screening can lead to disparities and missed diagnoses among the populations with poorer health system interaction. Compared to it, population-level systematic screening programs, even though more expensive and laborious, can prevent disparities and augment the level of detection (Khunti et al., 2019).

Assistive risk tools like the ADA Risk Test, and algorithms within EHRs promote efficiency by focusing screening on those with the highest chance of having undiagnosed diabetes. Clinical trials show that EHR-imbedded automated risk alerts and reminders boost screening uptake and compliance with guidelines (O'Connor et al., 2016). In spite of such advances, the ideal screening interval continues to be controversial. Although guidelines recommend screening every three years for adults aged over 45 or for those with risk factors, modeling studies recommend shorter intervals for better detection among high-risk populations (Selph et al., 2015). Family physicians thus need to individualize strategies based on local epidemiology, patient profiles, and resources available.

Diagnostic Methodologies and Challenges

Diagnosis of T2DM in general practice has been simplified through the routine use of HbA1c testing, eliminating the necessity for fasting and improving the convenience for patients. However, the interpretation of HbA1c is compromised by the variability related to ethnicity, hemoglobinopathies, and certain comorbidities (Bergenstal et al., 2018). Family physicians thus interpret results within the general clinical context and commonly employ fasting plasma glucose or oral glucose tolerance tests as an adjunct to HbA1c where there is uncertainty.

Also confounding diagnosis is the possibility of atypical presentations like latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA), which can mimic type 2 diabetes but call for alternative management channels. They emphasize the importance of meticulous assessment, performance of antibody tests when appropriate, and early consultation for endocrinology (Buzzetti et al., 2017). Also contributing to the widening diagnostic spectrum is the increasing incidence of type 2 diabetes among adolescents and younger adults and older children, thus spurring the necessity for diligence within the field of family medicine.

Prediabetes remains a controversial diagnostic category. Since diagnosis of prediabetes can facilitate preventative intervention, it can conversely promote over-medicalization and unwarranted anxiety amongst patients, as critics argue. There appears evidence of considerable heterogeneity of risk of advancing from prediabetes to diabetic outcome according to genetic, behavioral, and environmental determinants (Barry et al., 2017). Family physicians should therefore communicate transparently and with cultural sensitivity such that patients can make informed choices and emphasize modifiable risk minimization.

Lifestyle Interventions and Behavioral Management

Lifestyle intervention is the linchpin of the prevention and treatment of diabetes mellitus. Clinical and real-world evidence confirms that systematic lifestyle and dietary education programs, increased physical activity, and weight loss prevent or delay the onset of T2DM (Ali et al., 2020). Practice within the family setting is impaired by the limitations of available resources, time, and patient-contributed barriers. Adaptation is effective when intervention is tailored based on culture, social environment, and surroundings and when there is the use of community resources.

Dietary counsel is central to management, but support for the effectiveness of the different methods of eating such as the Mediterranean, vegan, or carbohydrate-restricted diets is variable. Personalized nutrition therapy considering the preference and metabolism of the patient is advocated for maximal compliance and outcome (Evert et al., 2019). Owing to the lack of time or specific expertise for intensified counsel among family physicians, co-management with dietitians on an interdisciplinary basis is needed.

Physical activity promotion is also an essential intervention. Position statements highlight that habitual aerobic and resistance exercise increases the sensitivity of insulin and glycemic control (Colberg et al., 2016). Brief motivational counseling and tailored exercise prescriptions administered in primary health facilities have been successful (Avery et al., 2015). However, continuous behavior change maintains the need for continued support, followed-up systematically, and reinforced.

Weight management is a chronic issue. There is evidence that small reductions of 5–10% in body weight favor improved glycemia and reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, but sustainable loss of weight remains an issue for the majority of patients. Systematic reviews confirm that aggressive programs for the loss of weight can make considerable differences but often show dwindling effect over the longer-term (Franz et al., 2015). Family physicians must integrate behavioral interventions with ever-improving pharmacological and procedural interventions for the achievement of sustainable control of weight.

Pharmacological Approaches for Management

Pharmaceutical management for family medicine remains more subtle owing to the increased number of available therapies and evolving guidelines for management. Metformin remains the first-line agent owing to the efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness of the medication. In second-line management, however, issues of cardiovascular benefit, nephroprotection, impact of the medication on body weight, cost, and preference of the patient (Davies et al., 2022).

Introductions of the SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists revolutionized the treatment by providing benefits for the cardiovascular system and the kidney along with glycemic control (Zelniker et al., 2019). Although promising, their accessibility continues to be restricted because

of insurance coverage and cost. Primary physicians are forced to weigh evidence-based guidelines versus practical reality and negotiate within the desirable therapy and what is within the reach of the patient.

Therapeutic inertia is a stubborn obstacle, and procrastination regarding starting insulin or escalating therapy is a source of suboptimal glycemic control. A systematic review emphasizes the multifactorial origin of inertia through the reluctance of physicians, resistance of patients, and system-level limitations (Khunti et al., 2018). It can only be overcome through trust in starting insulin, reduced complexity of regimens, and effective patient education.

Nonadherence is another principal issue, with systematic reviews showing that only 50–60% of patients consistently adhere to diabetic medicines prescribed. Complexity, side effects, cost, and health belief are among the causes of noncompliance. Streamlined regimens, synchronisation programs, reminder devices, and decision support are among the solutions for improving compliance identified through systematic reviews (Krass et al., 2015).

Monitoring and Complication Prevention

Continuous monitoring and the prevention of complications are part of effective diabetes management for family medicine. Regular HbA1c testing every 3–6 months continues as the standard for prolonged glycemic evaluation, although the target needs to be individualized for the patient's age, comorbidities, and life expectancy (Qaseem et al., 2018).

There is very little evidence of benefit for blood glucose self-monitoring for the great majority of patients who are not treated with insulin. Randomised control trials identified small improvements in glycemic control for oral agent-treated patients with T2DM (Young et al., 2017). Nevertheless, monitoring is desirable for selected patients, especially those whose risk of hypoglycaemia is higher.

Macrovascular prevention remains the top priority, with coronary artery disease continuing as the main cause of diabetic death. The ACC/AHA guidelines of 2019 give definitive evidence for the aggressive control of blood pressure, lipid control, and the cessation of smoking for risk minimization purposes (Arnett et al., 2019). Family physicians are central to the initiation of such prevention protocols.

Screening for microvascular complications like annual dilated eye examination, screening for nephropathy, and neuropathy assessment is equally fundamental. Position statements describe the merits of systematic screening aligned within primary care that reduces morbidity and enables early referral when indicated (Solomon et al., 2017). Family physicians increasingly use point-of-care testing and telemedicine for increased accessibility and reduced fragmentation of care.

Care Coordination and Team-Based Practices

Diabetes management is increasingly complex and thus more dependent on models of team-oriented care. Patient-centered medical homes and collaboration are associated with improved glycemic control, higher patient satisfaction, and improved care (Bodenheimer et al., 2014). Nurses, dietitian nutritionists, pharmacists, and behavioral health specialists are core members, and family physicians are required as coordinators and clinical leaders.

Mental health integration is especially relevant, despite the prevalence of depression and diabetes distress among the patients with T2DM. Psychosocial distress negatively impacts

glycemic control and self-management poorly and hence screening and integrated care gain prominence (Young-Hyman et al., 2016).

Also ideal for optimizing outcomes are transitions from primary or hospital care back to primary care. Timely follow-up visits, medication reconciliation, and patient education lower risk of readmission and maximize continuity (Rubin, 2015). Family physicians are uniquely positioned to bridge these chasms through consistent, longitudinal care.

Technology Integration and Digital Health

Increased use of digital health technology has transformed the practice of diabetes management for family medicine. Clinical decision support within the EHR improves alignment with guidelines, identifies the gap of care, and enables population management (O'Connor et al., 2016). Continuous and flash glucose monitoring provide real-time feedback for intervention more accurately tailored, although clinicians require education for interpretation and for responding accordingly (Ajjan et al., 2019).

Telemedicine has good evidence of effectiveness for the management of diabetes, growing reach into rural and underserved communities especially. The results of one meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies indicated significant glycemic and patient involvement improvement through telemedicine intervention (Tchero et al., 2019). Similarly, there areable scaleable support tool smartphone apps for mobile health, although there are ongoing concerns regarding privacy, regulation, and health equity. Family physicians can lead the call for evidence-based high-quality apps for their patients (Fleming et al., 2019).

Problems and Challenges Faced by Family Medicine Practices

Despite improvements in evidence and technology, there are significant barriers to improving the quality of delivery for diabetes care through family medicine. Time constraints within 15–20 minute consults prevent holistic management, especially if the patient presents with comorbidities and psychosocial concerns (Rushforth et al., 2016).

System and financial barriers also deter optimal care. Preventive counseling and care coordination are under-reimbursed for the fee-for-service system, therefore preventing physicians from engaging on these core activities. Even the alternative payments models show promise, however, they need very careful construction for fear of causing unintended consequences (Panagioti et al., 2018).

Workforce shortages and provider burnout add insult to injury. Emotional demands associated with the complexities of chronic illnesses and the burden of administration serve to fuel burnout, which is associated with reduced quality of care and patient satisfaction (Panagioti et al., 2018). Team-based practice, workflow redesign, and supportive organizational culture are needed for sustained high-quality diabetes care.

Ultimately, the focus on social determinants of health is the key for better diabetic outcomes. Food insecurity, housing instability, lack of transport, and health illiteracy are more prevalent among the most disadvantaged groups. In the absence of treating capacity for social needs, clinical intervention alone is insufficient. There is strong evidence for the integration of social determinant screening and the development of connections with community resources by family medicine practices (Hill-Briggs et al., 2021).

Conclusion

This paper emphasizes the fundamental and multi-dimensional mission of family medicine for tackling the worldwide pandemic of T2DM. Placed at the crossroad of prevention, early diagnosis, management, and prevention of complications, the family physicians harmonize patient-centered and holistic management. Their longitudinal relationship, increasing clinical depth, and community-centered focus facilitate the delivery of individualized, culture-sensitive, and contextually appropriate interventions. Evidence identifies that systematic screening for diabetes and its antecedents, lifestyle change programs, individualized pharmacological management, team models of care, and integration of digital health tools can make a difference. Nevertheless, perennial barriers such as lack of time, lack of resources, provider burnout, and social determinants of health are perennial barriers for the effective implementation.

For the future, optimization of diabetes care through family medicine entails systemwide improvements and team-based practice. Future considerations are payment models favoring preference for prevention and care coordination, practice redesign for facilitation of support for team-based workflows, and professional education for expanded and more sophisticated competence among family physicians for therapeutics, technology, and health inequities. Future research must be committed to evidence translation into everyday practice, under everyday circumstances testing of models of care, and identification of the effective strategies for elimination of disparities. Emerging technology like artificial intelligence can enable decision support and individualized care but must be moderated by ethical protections and the humanistic ideals at the very core of primary care. Through the elimination of system barriers, investment into primary facilities, and wellness for physicians, health systems can maximize the global potential of family medicine for the management of the burden of diabetes and the optimization of population health.

References

- Ajjan, R. A., Cummings, M. H., Jennings, P., Leelarathna, L., Rayman, G., & Wilmot, E. G. (2019). Accuracy of flash glucose monitoring and continuous glucose monitoring technologies: Implications for clinical practice. *Diabetes and Vascular Disease Research*, 16(3), 175-184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1479164118756240>
- Ali, M. K., McKeever Bullard, K., Imperatore, G., Benoit, S. R., Rolka, D. B., Albright, A. L., & Gregg, E. W. (2020). Reach and use of diabetes prevention services in the United States, 2016-2017. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(5), e205473. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.3160>
- Arnett, D. K., Blumenthal, R. S., Albert, M. A., Buroker, A. B., Goldberger, Z. D., Hahn, E. J., ... & Ziaecian, B. (2019). 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*, 140(11), e596-e646. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000677>
<https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000678>
- Avery, L., Flynn, D., Dombrowski, S. U., van Wersch, A., Sniehotta, F. F., & Trenell, M. I. (2015). Successful behavioural strategies to increase physical activity and improve glucose control in adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine*, 32(8), 1058-1062. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12738>
- Barry, E., Roberts, S., Oke, J., Vijayaraghavan, S., Normansell, R., & Greenhalgh, T. (2017). Efficacy and effectiveness of screen and treat policies in prevention of type 2 diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis of screening tests and interventions. *BMJ*, 356, i6538. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i6538>
- Bergental, R. M., Gal, R. L., Connor, C. G., Gubitosi-Klug, R., Kruger, D., Olson, B. A., ... & Beck, R. W. (2018). Racial differences in the relationship of glucose concentrations and hemoglobin A1c

- levels. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 167(2), 95-102. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-2596>
- Bodenheimer, T., Ghorob, A., Willard-Grace, R., & Grumbach, K. (2014). The 10 building blocks of high-performing primary care. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 12(2), 166-171. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1616>
- Buzzetti, R., Zampetti, S., & Maddaloni, E. (2017). Adult-onset autoimmune diabetes: Current knowledge and implications for management. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 13(11), 674-686. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.99>
- Colberg, S. R., Sigal, R. J., Yardley, J. E., Riddell, M. C., Dunstan, D. W., Dempsey, P. C., ... & Tate, D. F. (2016). Physical activity/exercise and diabetes: A position statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*, 39(11), 2065-2079. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-1728>
- Davidson, K. W., Barry, M. J., Mangione, C. M., Cabana, M., Caughey, A. B., Davis, E. M., ... & US Preventive Services Task Force. (2017). Screening for prediabetes and type 2 diabetes: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*, 318(8), 701-708. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.280.8.701>
- Davies, M. J., Aroda, V. R., Collins, B. S., Gabbay, R. A., Green, J., Maruthur, N. M., ... & Buse, J. B. (2022). Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2022: A consensus report by the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 45(11), 2753-2786. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci22-0034>
- DeFronzo, R. A., Ferrannini, E., Groop, L., Henry, R. R., Herman, W. H., Holst, J. J., ... & Weiss, R. (2015). Type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 1, 15019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2015.19>
- Evert, A. B., Dennison, M., Gardner, C. D., Garvey, W. T., Lau, K. H. K., MacLeod, J., ... & Yancy, W. S. (2019). Nutrition therapy for adults with diabetes or prediabetes: A consensus report. *Diabetes Care*, 42(5), 731-754. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci19-0014>
- Fleming, G. A., Petrie, J. R., Bergenstal, R. M., Holl, R. W., Peters, A. L., & Heinemann, L. (2019). Diabetes digital app technology: Benefits, challenges, and recommendations. *Diabetes Care*, 43(1), 250-260. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci19-0062>
- Franz, M. J., Boucher, J. L., Rutten-Ramos, S., & VanWormer, J. J. (2015). Lifestyle weight-loss intervention outcomes in overweight and obese adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 115(9), 1447-1463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2015.02.031>
- Hill-Briggs, F., Adler, N. E., Berkowitz, S. A., Chin, M. H., Gary-Webb, T. L., Navas-Acien, A., ... & Haire-Joshu, D. (2021). Social determinants of health and diabetes: A scientific review. *Diabetes Care*, 44(1), 258-279. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci20-0053>
- Khunti, K., Gomes, M. B., Pocock, S., Shestakova, M. V., Pintat, S., Fenici, P., ... & Medina, J. (2018). Therapeutic inertia in the treatment of hyperglycaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*, 20(2), 427-437. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13088>
- Khunti, K., Mani, H., Achana, F., Cooper, N., Gray, L. J., & Davies, M. J. (2019). Systematic review and meta-analysis of response rates and diagnostic yield of screening for type 2 diabetes and those at high risk of diabetes. *PLoS One*, 10(9), e0135702. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135702>
- Krass, I., Schieback, P., & Dhippayom, T. (2015). Adherence to diabetes medication: A systematic review. *Diabetic Medicine*, 32(6), 725-737. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12651>
- O'Connor, P. J., Sperl-Hillen, J. M., Rush, W. A., Johnson, P. E., Amundson, G. H., Asche, S. E., ... & Gilmer, T. P. (2016). Impact of electronic health record clinical decision support on diabetes care: A randomized trial. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 14(1), 12-20. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1196>
- Panagioti, M., Geraghty, K., Johnson, J., Zhou, A., Panagopoulou, E., Chew-Graham, C., ... & Esmail, A.

- (2018). Association between physician burnout and patient safety, professionalism, and patient satisfaction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 178(10), 1317-1330. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3713>
- Qaseem, A., Wilt, T. J., Kansagara, D., Horwitch, C., Barry, M. J., & Forciea, M. A. (2018). Hemoglobin A1c targets for glycemic control with pharmacologic therapy for nonpregnant adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A guidance statement update from the American College of Physicians. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 168(8), 569-576. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M17-0939>
- Rubin, D. J. (2015). Hospital readmission of patients with diabetes. *Current Diabetes Reports*, 15(4), 17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-015-0584-7>
- Rushforth, B., McCrorie, C., Glidewell, L., Midgley, E., & Foy, R. (2016). Barriers to effective management of type 2 diabetes in primary care: Qualitative systematic review. *British Journal of General Practice*, 66(643), e114-e127. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp16X683509>
- Selph, S., Dana, T., Blazina, I., Bougatsos, C., Patel, H., & Chou, R. (2015). Screening for type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 162(11), 765-776. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M14-2221>
- Shi, L., Lee, D. C., Liang, H., Zhang, L., Makinen, M., Blanchet, N., ... & Starfield, B. (2016). Community health centers and primary care access and quality for chronically-ill patients: A case-comparison study of urban Guangdong Province, China. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 11(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-015-0222-7>
- Solomon, S. D., Chew, E., Duh, E. J., Sobrin, L., Sun, J. K., VanderBeek, B. L., ... & Gardner, T. W. (2017). Diabetic retinopathy: A position statement by the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*, 40(3), 412-418. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2641>
- Sun, H., Saeedi, P., Karuranga, S., Pinkepank, M., Ogurtsova, K., Duncan, B. B., ... & Magliano, D. J. (2022). IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 183, 109119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119>
- Tchero, H., Kangambega, P., Briatte, C., Brunet-Houdard, S., Retali, G. R., & Rusch, E. (2019). Clinical effectiveness of telemedicine in diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis of 42 randomized controlled trials. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 25(7), 569-583. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2018.0128>
- Young, L. A., Buse, J. B., Weaver, M. A., Vu, M. B., Mitchell, C. M., Blakeney, T., ... & Monitor Trial Group. (2017). Glucose self-monitoring in non-insulin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes in primary care settings: A randomized trial. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 177(7), 920-929. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.1233>
- Young-Hyman, D., De Groot, M., Hill-Briggs, F., Gonzalez, J. S., Hood, K., & Peyrot, M. (2016). Psychosocial care for people with diabetes: A position statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*, 39(12), 2126-2140. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2053>
- Zelniker, T. A., Wiviott, S. D., Raz, I., Im, K., Goodrich, E. L., Bonaca, M. P., ... & Sabatine, M. S. (2019). SGLT2 inhibitors for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. *The Lancet*, 393(10166), 31-39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32590-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32590-X)