

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i7.2912>

Methodology for the Development of Blended Learning Support Virtual Courses

Ricardo Patricio Medina-Chicaiza¹, Galo Mauricio López-Sevilla², Lorena del Carmen Chilinguina-Véjar³, Walfredo González-Hernández⁴, Wilma Gavilanes-López⁵, Ítalo Rosendo Arroba-Bermeo⁶

Abstract

The article addresses the problem of course development in virtual teaching and learning spaces by teachers. For this purpose, a documentary analysis on blended learning and development of virtual courses was carried out, which allowed defining these two concepts. Subsequently, based on them, the methodology that contributed to the development of courses in virtual spaces as a support to blended learning is proposed.

Keywords: Blended Learning, Virtual Course, E-Learning.

Introduction

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) have been incorporated into various human activities, including education, both inside and outside the classroom. Therefore, teachers should not be oblivious to the advances in society. Such is the case of virtual teaching and learning spaces (VLEs), which are becoming more and more diffuse, enriching, variable, and their process and organisation are constantly undergoing changes; therefore, their derivations with respect to teaching and learning in the medium and long term are essential to evaluate.

However, the methodologies for the development of virtual courses such as PACIE and ADDIE (Galindo-Dominguez, 2021), among others, are oriented towards the development of entirely distance courses. This means that they do not combine face-to-face activities or take them into account in their proposals, as well as the activities of the teacher and students in these face-to-face spaces (Khattak et al., 2021). The use of these methodologies or models predominates in undergraduate and postgraduate courses for open universities that bring training closer to students with difficulties of access to educational institutions. Instructional design provides very

¹ Universidad Técnica de Ambato ; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Ambato, Ecuador, Email: ricardopmedina@uta.edu.ec, pmedina@pucesa.edu.ec, Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2736-8214>.

² Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Ambato, Ecuador, Email: glopez@pucesa.edu.ec, Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4699-4875>

³ Universidad Técnica de Ambato, Ambato, Ecuador, Email: ldc.chilinguina@uta.edu.ec, Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8896-1951>.

⁴ Universidad Central “Martha Abreu” de las Villas, Villa Clara, Cuba, Email: wghernandez@uclv.cu, Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8974-3721>.

⁵ Universidad Técnica de Ambato, Ambato, Ecuador, Email: wilmalgavilanesl@uta.edu.ec, Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2563-6633>.

⁶ Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo, Riobamba, Ecuador, Email: rosendo.arroba@epoch.edu.ec, Orcid <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6540-7384>.



general tools to design any course, but not the phases oriented to quality management or course maintenance, as they provide more general indications for their design (Kwon et al., 2021). In general, these methodologies present roles that need to be performed by professionals, which does not allow for their application in the research context (Kumar et al., 2022).

However, despite these directives, there are still aspects that need to be overcome in order to develop virtual courses to support blended learning that have a negative impact on the adequate development of students. When investigating the educational reality, several inadequacies were detected, which are explained below:

- No system of phases and stages has been identified that would lead to the development of online courses for blended learning involving teachers.
- Existing models such as ASSURE, ADDIE, among others, are proposed for designing online courses and do not respond to blended learning.
- The digital teaching resources developed by teachers lack organisation to ensure that affective relationships are established between the personalised components of the teaching-learning process.
- The Web Of Science and Scopus search engines do not detect papers that reveal avenues for developing courses for blended learning support outside the methodologies already analysed that should not be applied in the context of analysis.

Hence, the author of this research argues that there is a contradiction between the need to develop virtual learning courses for the Ecuadorian unified general baccalaureate and the insufficient ways for teachers to develop courses to support blended learning of the various subjects in the different educational subsystems.

In view of the above, the following **scientific problem** arises: How to develop the courses in the EVEAs in order to support the combined learning of the different subjects in the different educational subsystems?

In order to solve the problem, it is first necessary to define the concept of blended learning, as well as the development of online courses and to carry out an analysis of methodologies, it is necessary to apply research methods such as documentary analysis.

Methodology and Materials.

Searches were carried out using the terms blended learning and virtual courses; in the case of Scopus, the search was only carried out in English because the titles in Spanish have an English version. The process has 2 stages; the first, called search, which describes the sources from which the information is extracted, such as Scopus, Google Scholar and Dimensions, handled in the theoretical part of the object of study. The second stage called development, where several considerations are raised to search for the key words of the study (blended learning, virtual courses), the extraction of information from

of the definitions and contributions of various authors.

Results

Table 1 show a results

<p>English</p> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px;"> <p>Citation metrics Help</p> <p>Publication years: 1872-2022 Citation years: 150 (1872-2022) Papers: 175 Citations: 787 Cites/year: 5.25 Cites/paper: 4.50 Authors/paper: 1.71 h-index: 12 g-index: 25 hI,norm: 11 hI,annual: 0.07 hA-index: 4 Papers with ACC >= 1,2,5,10,20: 21,12,4,0,0</p> </div>	<p>English</p> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px;"> <p>Citation metrics Help</p> <p>Publication years: 2001-2021 Citation years: 21 (2001-2022) Papers: 200 Citations: 77508 Cites/year: 3690.86 Cites/paper: 387.54 Authors/paper: 2.25 h-index: 137 g-index: 200 hI,norm: 100 hI,annual: 4.76 hA-index: 48 Papers with ACC >= 1,2,5,10,20: 200,200,194,164,106</p> </div>
<p>In Publish or Perish</p>	
<p>Dimensions aprendizaje combinado Free text in title and abstract</p> <p>FILTERS FAVORITES</p> <p>▼ PUBLICATION YEAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> 2022 26 <input type="radio"/> 2021 20 <input type="radio"/> 2020 28 <input type="radio"/> 2019 17 <input type="radio"/> 2018 8 <input type="radio"/> 2017 7 <input type="radio"/> 2016 10 <input type="radio"/> 2015 11 <input type="radio"/> 2014 3 <input type="radio"/> 2013 5 <p>More</p> <p>PUBLICATIONS DATASET 149 0</p> <p>POLICY DOCUMENTS 12</p> <p>Title, Author(s), Bibliographic ref</p> <p>Aprendizaje móvil y aprende de Educación Primaria y E Javier Fernández Molina, María 2019, 3C TIC Cuadernos de des</p> <p>Efecto diferencial de un ar de aprendizaje en un ambi Omar López Vargas, Luis Bayarc 2018, Tecnó Episteme y Didaxis</p>	<p>Dimensions blended learning Free text in full data</p> <p>FILTERS FAVORITES</p> <p>▼ PUBLICATION YEAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> 2023 342 <input type="radio"/> 2022 32,452 <input type="radio"/> 2021 39,980 <input type="radio"/> 2020 38,095 <input type="radio"/> 2019 25,302 <input type="radio"/> 2018 21,267 <input type="radio"/> 2017 18,465 <input type="radio"/> 2016 15,100 <input type="radio"/> 2015 12,963 <input type="radio"/> 2014 15,549 <p>PUBLICATIONS DA 340,222 366</p> <p>POLICY DOCUMENTS 142,298</p> <p>Title, Author(s), Bibliogra</p> <p>Influence of blendec Qing Tang, Tao Zhang, Li 2022, Education and Inf</p> <p>The rapid development a facilitated blended instru</p> <p>more</p> <p>Get PDF Add to</p>
<p>Year ^</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> 2023 (1) > <input type="checkbox"/> 2022 (440) > <input type="checkbox"/> 2021 (440) > <input type="checkbox"/> 2020 (324) > <input type="checkbox"/> 2019 (222) > <p>In Scopus</p>	<p>TITLE-ABS-KEY (blended AND learning) AND (LIMIT-TO (OA , "all")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE , "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "SOC")) OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "ARTS")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE , "English")) OR LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE , "Spanish")) AND (LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2023)) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2022)) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2021)) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2020)) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2019)))</p>

Table 1: Outcomes on Blended Learning.

Source: Author'S Elaboration.

In the case of the Google Scholar search, 10 articles were obtained with more than 12 citations using terms in Spanish and 165 articles with more than 130 citations, of which those articles that were essays or theoretical reviews were selected as it was necessary to analyse the concept of blended learning. In the case of Scopus, 1,427 articles were obtained, of which 300 were selected as essays or theoretical reviews on the subject.

<p>Citation metrics Help</p> <p>Publication years: 2001-2022 Citation years: 21 (2001-2022) Papers: 180 Citations: 1172 Cites/year: 55.81 Cites/paper: 6.51 Authors/paper: 1.99 h-index: 18 g-index: 25 hI,norm: 12 hI,annual: 0.57 hA-index: 7 Papers with ACC >= 1,2,5,10,20: 68,30,9,4,1</p>	<p>Citation metrics Help</p> <p>Publication years: 1994-2022 Citation years: 28 (1994-2022) Papers: 190 Citations: 7940 Cites/year: 283.57 Cites/paper: 41.79 Authors/paper: 2.76 h-index: 46 g-index: 79 hI,norm: 31 hI,annual: 1.11 hA-index: 11 Papers with ACC >= 1,2,5,10,20: 184,154,78,21,8</p>
<p>Dimensions curso virtual Free text in title and abstract</p> <p>FILTERS FAVORITES</p> <p>PUBLICATIONS DATA 2,403 13</p> <p>PUBLICATION YEAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2022 329 2021 498 2020 312 2019 205 2018 184 2017 145 2016 115 2015 116 2014 93 2013 76 <p>POLICY DOCUMENTS 687</p> <p>Title, Author(s), Bibliographi</p> <p>Cursos virtuales en ing Samira Alvarado-Zeballos, T 2017, Gaceta Sanitaria - Arti</p> <p>View PDF Add to Li</p> <p>DESAFIOS PARA ADAF DURANTE A PANDEMI. Michel Laks, Carlos Roberto</p>	<p>Dimensions 39 Education Fields of Research (ANZSRC 2020) virtual course Free text in title and abstract</p> <p>FILTERS FAVORITES</p> <p>PUBLICATIONS DATASETS GRANTS PATE 5,185 139 841 156</p> <p>PUBLICATION YEAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2022 742 2021 873 2020 607 2019 364 2018 269 2017 243 2016 222 2015 184 2014 192 2013 174 <p>POLICY DOCUMENTS 75</p> <p>Title, Author(s), Bibliographic reference - About the metri</p> <p>A Virtual Point-of-care Ultrasound Course Duri Sophia D. Lin 2020, AEM Education and Training - Article</p> <p>Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, in-person educational ac were suspended. As a result, we developed a virtual poin more</p> <p>Citations 10 Abstracts 2 Get PDF Add 1</p> <p>Lessons Outcomes in a the Virtual versus te</p>
<p>Year ^</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> 2022 (256) > <input type="checkbox"/> 2021 (277) > <input type="checkbox"/> 2020 (158) > <input type="checkbox"/> 2019 (93) > 	<p>TITLE-ABS-KEY (virtual AND course) AND (LIMIT-TO (OA , "all")) AND (LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2023) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2022) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2021) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2020) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2019)) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE , "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "SOCI") OR LIMIT-TO (</p>

	SUBJAREA , "ARTS")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE , "English") OR LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE , "Spanish")))
--	--

Table 2: Outcomes On Virtual Courses.

Source: Author'S Elaboration.

Results on the Development of Virtual Courses

As for the results on the development of virtual courses, 17 articles were obtained with more than 20 citations in Spanish and 55 articles with more than 40 citations for a total of 75 articles, with 10 repetitions, so 65 articles were selected from Google Scholar. In the case of Scopus, 784 articles were obtained, but only 70 were taken because they were review articles or essays.

Subsequently, all articles were inserted into the Endnote bibliographic reference management system, which allows all articles to be managed and integrated with the Microsoft Word word processor.

Discussion on Blended Learning

Several specialists (Jane Clark & Post, 2021), assume blended learning as a modality in which a synergy is achieved between the aspects that are essential in both face-to-face and non-face-to-face training. For Im (2021), the integration of modalities broadens the moments and spaces of the teaching-learning process and allows a more personalised attention to each of the students at the same time as it allows a greater number of objectives to be met. However, this author conceives blended learning only as a system of actions between the teacher and the student, which leaves out the analysis of the characteristics of the media and assessment, among other personal and non-personal components.

In Maureira-Cabrera et al. (2020, p. 34), they recognise blended learning as the most important "... integration of diverse digital resources developed to solve specific situations ... any combination of a wide variety of media developed to solve specific teaching problems". This statement recognises the need for the integration of blended learning and warns of the need for its use in teaching; however, it has serious shortcomings, one of which is in the reduction of blended learning *to* teaching media. It also fails to recognise the need for a reorganisation of the components of the process, especially the personal and non-personal components of the process by combining the face-to-face and the non-face-to-face.

The previous conceptions do not consider situations in which only the implementation of assessment processes for students is combined in a face-to-face manner and the rest of the process takes place in a non-face-to-face manner; this is the case of the Open University model (Jane Clark & Post, 2021). Neither are these divergences or separations between the face-to-face and the non-face-to-face seen in the definitions addressed so far, so that for this research they are two processes that are configured subordinate to the objectives of the subject. The authors emphasise the need to transform the education system in order to support the configuration of face-to-face and distance learning with the use of virtual tools that support the rest of the components of the process. The transformation of the education system involves recognising the features of blended learning proposed by several authors (Maureira-Cabrera et al., 2020). These features can be summarised as

- Enable students to select different learning routes allowing your curriculum to be

- Pre-eminence of independent study for students
- Develop an *online* environment with hypermedia interfaces that is pleasing to the eye by incorporating visual symbols that eliminate text as far as possible.
- Include didactic resources that promote autonomous student learning.
- Provide as many and as varied communication resources as possible between the personal components of the process.
- Enhance forms of formative assessment using periodic submission of assignments that increase their complexity during *online* learning.

Following the critique of the research on blended learning presented so far, the importance of declaring a definition that overcomes the limitations detected so far is recognised. Therefore, it is not possible to consider blended learning as a technology, method or integration of activities that gives preponderance to one type over another; for this research it is assumed that blended learning is a modality of the teaching-learning process in which the extensive use of digital educational resources predominates, where physical and *online* presence are configured for the training of students depending on the proposed objectives. It is then necessary to state the essential aspects that are important to highlight from the analysis of this definition.

The proposed definition states that blended learning depends on the socio-historical context in which it takes place. Derived from this statement, the psychological underpinning is Vygostky's cultural historical approach. It is therefore recognised that the configuration of face-to-face and non-face-to-face interaction with other people and the teaching content makes it possible to declare the objectivity of this process. As a starting point for this process, it is necessary to diagnose them, which also includes access to technological resources, as proposed by several authors (González Hernández, 2021c).

It can be inferred from the definition that learning in technology-mediated activities is essentially social and that communication between the personal components of the process plays a particularly important role. In non-face-to-face activities it is necessary to consider with greater emphasis the study in groups as an essential way to achieve a process that develops the personality of the students permeated by the spatial and temporal separation in which they take place. Much attention should be paid to the processes of group interaction, the formation of the group, as well as communication with the teachers in order to achieve in the students the necessary communicative elements that will allow them to live in society. Learning must lead to the integral formation of the students during the configuration of face-to-face and non-face activities, which is why attention to diversity is unavoidable.

This definition overcomes those opinions in which presence is more important than non-presence, configuring the relationships between the different components of the teaching-learning process in a unique and unrepeatably integration of moments by assuming as a modality. It is essential to take into account the objectives as the response to the social needs for the development of the students in order to structure that unique relationship referred to in the previous sentence. From this statement we can see the need not to pay attention to various stereotypes in order to integrate the two learning moments that the student possesses by recognising the objective as the guiding component of the configuration of face-to-face and non-face-to-face activities. Hence, the fulfilment of the objective during the face-to-face activities

extends to the non-face-to-face activities, which achieves an extension of its assessment both spatially and temporally.

Discussion on the Development of Online Courses

An essential aspect of the courses in this modality is the quality of their development. The research carried out by Hazim Torres et al. (2019) the authors determine a methodology made up of a group of stages that allow the quality of *online* courses to be managed: Diagnosing how committed the human capital is to the development of these courses; determining the potential of the human capital with the development of these courses; choosing those responsible for the management of the development stages; the need to commit the human capital to the development processes of the *online* courses; the importance of the continuous assessment of the processes carried out and the introduction of relevant adjustments. The authors' proposal highlights as a negative aspect the strong emphasis on the management of non-educational organisations, which is detrimental to the training of students. However, it recognises the need to control the quality of the resources that are developed in each of the iterations and for this the use of automated tools is vital (Stracke, 2019).

A necessary aspect in the development of *online* courses is the management of the necessary technological resources. For other authors (Petrovica et al., 2020) accessibility, navigability and usability are desired qualities of any online course and should therefore be indicators of its quality. In the case of navigability, the aforementioned authors emphasise the need to guide students during their interaction with the digital didactic resources inserted in the course and, to this end, they propose that the navigation routes be indicated in them.

Also important in this section is the organisation of the virtual courses on the technological platform of the educational institution. A structure that reflects the school organisation by grades and semesters would help students to easily locate the course they are looking for in the virtual space. At the same time, it is part of the management of a course to frequently store all the digital didactic resources it has and to export them in one of the known formats that allow them to be imported or stored in alternative servers from where they can be retrieved.

Among the elements that make up the organisation of the didactic components of the virtual course for blended learning are: objectives, contents, methods, means (materials), evaluation, teacher, student, structure of the subject, forms of organisation and the authors consulted that make up the content of the virtual course (Cabero-Almenara et al., 2022) where the technological, pedagogical, organisational, didactic, structural, managerial, social and aesthetic aspects stand out:

Technological: design of technologies using tools to enable viewing from different devices, computer and telematic equipment, *software*, digital media, bibliographic material, interaction, *hardware and software* dependency, security, privacy, material sharing and reuse, quality metrics.

Pedagogical: to have a clear objective of student training, to make the pedagogical strategy explicit, to incorporate teaching planning, to have a system of evaluation activities in line with the objectives of the course, to structure a system of independent activities in line with the objectives of the subject, to structure activities that enhance communication between the personal components of the process.

Management: didactic cooperation between teachers, management of learning by the student,

management of learning by the teacher, tools that allow intensive use of information; quality of the development process of the resources of each course, the course itself and the course system if several subjects are integrated in iterative and incremental processes, the organisation of teachers and students for the development of virtual courses.

Structural: pre-production, production and post-production phases; instructional quality of the *software*, motivation, context, usage scenario, process monitoring, evaluation; computational design (various artefacts for business and architecture modelling).

Social: Communication, elements, technology.

Aesthetics: user-friendly graphic design, interfaces, learning channels (visual, kinaesthetic and auditory), ease of use, learning, operation, navigation, user interface. All these aspects are important to take into account when developing a virtual course to support blended learning.

In order to implement evaluation in a virtual course, it is necessary to include resources that can send messages to the learners that allow them to document the mistakes made in the shortest possible time and to each of them separately, taking into account the characteristics of each of those involved in the learning process. It is important to structure the evaluation in such a way that those involved in the process can address the mistakes made. It is important to highlight that in this assessment process it is necessary to address errors in the content that is being learned and the ways used to achieve its appropriation. Following this idea, the *online* course becomes a learning space (González Hernández, 2021) because of the way in which they are involved in collaborative learning where everyone learns from everyone else, forming a group based on the community of interests. Therefore, *online* courses enhance the traceability of the educational processes of both the teacher and the student and increase student attendance in such a way that it adjusts to the context and attends to the levels of development reached by the personality of each student.

Although these technological elements are important, the crux lies in the pedagogical intentionality given to each of the digital materials by each student and the group. In this way, each digital resource will solve the students' learning shortcomings by taking into account its potential for the most accurate assessment of the students' learning. Following this idea, the digital didactic resources available to the students should promote a system of didactic aids that promote the gradual and ascending development of their cognitive independence, at the same time as they promote more and more integrative learning that takes them from the current development zone to the next one.

One of the essential aspects in the learner is in the digital learning resources to be placed in virtual courses. The reuse of digital learning resources that are designed for virtual courses (Petrovica et al., 2020). This research recognises the importance of designing the digital didactic resources that make up the virtual courses using these standards and those tools that allow their generation. In this way, the reusability of the digital resources developed is endorsed, as well as the standardisation of the virtual course environments.

On the other hand, proposals have been made for methodologies for the development of *online* courses. There are many proposals (Mu & Guo, 2022) for the development of *online* courses but in general they respond to the diagnosis of learners and cognitive objectives, the teaching methods to be used, the communication system to be used as well as the ways to achieve assessment.

The digital learning resources that make up the content of a virtual course, as well as the course itself as a configuration of them, can be considered as learning objects (Sharma and Mir, 2020). This statement allows considering the use of the different methodologies of application development (*software*) using its fundamental phases: requirements gathering, architecture determination, coding, testing (Panji Sasmito et al., 2021; Saiful, 2020). The use of these methodologies contributes to creating a stable and robust product. However, other authors (Vogel et al., 2021) state that traditional software development methodologies do not deal with didactic aspects such as the treatment of students, continuous learning assessment among others; an issue with which the author of this research agrees. The phases described in each conventional methodology are repeated for the development of each software in iterations that complete their development when they are complex.

Another conception of virtual courses refers to virtual course systems (Llerena Ocaña and González Hernández, 2017) which are oriented in the case of the aforementioned research to the development of educational intentions that need more than one virtual course to achieve them, as is the case of the resolution of interdisciplinary problems. The aforementioned authors offer a methodology that is especially important when it is decided to integrate several virtual courses for the training of students, but it cannot be applied to independent courses. From the research defining the virtual course system (Llerena Ocaña and González Hernández, 2017) we assume the indicators that characterise it in an integral manner (Medina et al., 2021).


From the analyses carried out so far, a virtual course for blended learning is defined as the configuration of digital didactic resources in the form of a system with an emphasis on social processes, which meet certain technological, aesthetic and ergonomic standards and require a management process to be used, obtained during a process of development where the didactic components of blended learning are manifested for the achievement of an educational intentionality expressed in the objective.

This definition of a virtual course goes beyond the definitions used so far, as it considers the virtual course as a configuration that confers a unique and unrepeatable character to each course, in which the various didactic components are expressed through digital didactic resources, guided by the objective in the unity of the general and the particular. The general is understood from the didactic components that must be present in every course and the particular in how they are expressed in their integration to fulfil the objective. It is also necessary to highlight that the digital didactic resources are conceived subordinate to the objective category as an expression of social needs.

Figure 1: Methodology Components. Source: Author's Elaboration.

Methodology

Objective: To structure a system of phases, with their corresponding actions, to achieve the development of virtual courses to support blended learning in the subjects of the Ecuadorian unified general baccalaureate.



Overall objective: To structure a system of phases, with their corresponding actions, that achieves the development of *online* courses in support of blended learning.

Substantiation

The methodology is based on several social sciences in combination with technical sciences, among which computer science and telecommunications stand out due to the technological substratum of the proposal. The methodology presented here is based on the theoretical foundations set out in the first chapter and the technological conditions that are present in the educational centres.

The starting point of the methodology is the appropriation needs of the contents for the development of digital didactic resources on the part of the teachers and the motivation that drives them to do so, as well as in the case of the students the appropriation of the contents found in the virtual course and the motivations to achieve it; which expresses the two moments of the cognitive-affective relationship in the methodology. When the student interacts with the virtual courses, he/she is an active, conscious and goal-oriented subject, who can construct his/her learning under the guidance of the rest of the personal components of the process during the face-to-face and non-face-to-face moments of blended learning. The activities are carried out in interaction with other people through multiple forms of collaboration and communication that are implemented in the virtual course, which allows the self-regulation of their behaviour during the activities.

The pedagogical foundation is explained by considering the categories of formation, development and education, considering that the formative is closely related to the affective-evaluative and cognitive by incorporating into the personality everything that can be learned (González Hernández, 2022).

The methodology reaffirms its particularity by defining phases and actions for developing virtual

courses in blended learning where the interrelationships between the components of the process in face-to-face and non-face-to-face moments are revealed. It also shows the particularity of the product of the activity: the virtual course insofar as it addresses the interrelationships between the objectives of the subject, the socio-historical context of the students and the conduct of the process by the teachers. In another sense, the development of virtual courses by the teacher allows them to be contextualised to the student in order to achieve the planned objectives.

In order to solve the problem of the development of virtual courses, a system of phases has been structured based on the analysis of other research that has set important precedents (Carlos-José & González-Hernández, 2017).

Phase One - Diagnosis of the current situation of online courses in relation to blended learning

The phase synthesises a system of actions to diagnose the situation of the virtual courses to support blended learning at the moment of applying the methodology. At this stage, the validity of a system for the training of teachers who participate in the systems necessary for the development of virtual courses is assessed. Special emphasis is placed on the use of free systems that do not require extensive programming knowledge, which results in a quicker appropriation by the teachers. This will enable them to take on the following phases with greater independence.

Objective: To diagnose the current status of the development of virtual courses for blended learning.

The actions to be carried out in this phase are detailed below:

- Characterisation of the development of information competences in teachers and students to enable them to appropriate the technological resources necessary to develop digital didactic resources.
- Characterisation of the knowledge that teachers have about the contents that are taught in their teaching and that are found in the study plan.
- Elaboration of instruments to find out how teachers develop the development of virtual courses and the contents of their subject.
- Diagnosis of the software ecosystem of the institution: it is important to know the network architecture with its equipment and software that support the online course platforms, the speed of information transfer between the different channels, the levels of connectivity of the resources and how these are integrated to support the spaces must be diagnosed with load and stress tests.
- Diagnosis of teachers' knowledge of blended learning.

Second Phase: Managing the school context to ensure the development of online courses in support of blended learning.

Objective: To manage the school context in order to solve the inadequacies detected in the previous phase to achieve the desired purpose.

It is essential in this phase to guarantee the conditions for the deployment of the platform that will support the *online* courses to be developed by the teachers. It is vital to meet the requirements set out in the previous phase for the selection of these platforms. At the same time, school management must make teachers aware of the changes to come in terms of technologies

as well as organisational pathways to be taken into account when implementing blended learning. Although this stage leads to the solution of the problems detected in the diagnosis, it is possible to foresee certain important actions that allow the problems detected in other research to be solved. The following actions are planned for the development of this stage:

- Careful attention to the shortcomings and potentialities detected in order to build on the potentialities to eradicate the shortcomings.
- Development of workshops, seminars and meetings that allow teachers to socialise the contents of their subjects that each of them teaches in each group.
- Establish efficient communication mechanisms between teachers in the study of the tools and technologies to be used.
- Increasing actions aimed at relations between subjects by grouping teachers in teams by knowledge areas in such a way that no barriers are created in communication between them.
- Implementation of training actions for all human resources involved in the process so that they act in line with the needs of the context.
- Analysis of the files related to iterations of the methodology to detect shortcomings in the implementation of its actions and include them as study material for the teaching teams. Successful processes should also be included so that good practices are appropriated.

In this phase, each of the actions is documented through the preparation of the minutes of the meetings between teachers for their improvement, as well as the levels of satisfaction and dissatisfaction that they have. As a first prototype, the images constructed by the teachers on the structure that their virtual course should have are obtained as a first prototype.

Phase Three: Structuring online courses to support blended learning.

Objective: to develop digital teaching resources for *online* courses that support the integration of face-to-face and non-face-to-face.

The phase is characterised by starting the development of the structural components of each *online* course by those teachers who have been trained as leaders in perfecting this task. The formation of the teams under the direction of the leaders will allow the implementation of collections that only they will be able to manage once they are introduced in the EVA. For the development of this phase it is important to structure the following actions:

- Launching of requests for active participation from each teacher who will be allocated part of their time fund for this purpose.
- Elaboration of the contents that will form part of the digital teaching resources.
- Selection of the teaching units to be worked on and the teachers responsible for each one.
- Identification of the essential elements to ensure that courses developed on another platform can be introduced on one platform.
- Develop visual aspects to increase their presence in the e-learning course.
- Plan the scope of each digital didactic resource and the moments that are configured in blended learning based on the conditions of each student.

- Categorising one's own digital learning resources.
- Determine the experimental scope and the tutorial scope.

If the aim is to structure a configuration of virtual courses, then the phases proposed by the study carried out in Llerena-Ocaña and González Hernández (2020) should be followed, which should be adjusted to the purposes of this methodology: Determine a system of phases that support the configuration of the face-to-face and non-face-to-face moments. The purpose of this sub-phase is: To determine the configuration of the *online* courses taking into account the didactic objective to which they respond.

The development of the phase takes place on the basis of the following actions:

- Unwrap the views of each content that will be shown to users;
- Manage subject collectives to help teachers develop digital teaching resources with the system approach needed to restructure each virtual course and integrate it with the others.
- Manage in the access directory each of the spaces dedicated to each particular course and which can be managed by that teacher.
- Determine the routes for testing each course separately and all of them.

Phase 4: Quality management of online courses

In this action of the methodology, the need arises to manage the quality processes necessary for the product to be optimal depending on the objective pursued in each subject and degree. The objective is to: Determine the effective ways to guarantee quality Establish the quality assurance actions for each virtual course and its digital teaching resources. To this end, the following actions are established:

- The establishment of actions for the quality assurance of a digital learning resource should start from the moment of the request for its development until it is decided to withdraw it because it has become obsolete. The main criterion to be determined in this case is the fulfilment of the pedagogical objective for which it was created, as the rest of the components are subordinated to this.
- Application of empirical methods such as Iadov's technique to measure how much learners enjoy interacting with the courses.
- Organise the results of the application of the empirical methods by priorities to implement them in the *online* courses.

Phase Five: Strengthening the support for online courses.

Objective: To establish the necessary assurance actions for the support of the digital didactic resources that make up the *online* courses.

It is important that the digital learning resources developed by teachers are available to students at all times. These actions play an essential role as they allow the continuous interaction of teachers and students through the courses structured on the platform. The actions to be implemented are:

- Increasing digital learning resources to provide new learning opportunities for students.
- Establish ways to obtain student feedback on digital learning resources that have been

Sixth Phase: Continuous improvement of the online courses

Objective: To establish actions for the improvement of *online* courses in order to adapt them to changes in the environment.

When educational environments undergo transformations such as changes in curricular design, educational policies, introduction or elimination of content, it is necessary to introduce modifications to the courses that can be as minimal as changing the images or even eliminating the course and replacing it with another one. To achieve this it is important:

- Determination of curricular transformations and their impact on the *online* course.
- Determination of the level of change required and the changes needed.
- Identification of the best performing teachers who can address the necessary modifications.
- Introduce the modifications determined by the subject groups in conjunction with the person in charge of the virtual course on the platform.
- Apply empirical methods to find out how much pupils like working with the modifications made.

Seventh Phase: Elimination of the online courses

Objective: To establish the actions to remove courses when their presence on the platform is no longer required.

Educational policies, curricula, subjects are susceptible to modifications over time and these may lead to the need to eliminate some of the courses. *Online* course managers must be prepared to implement a system of actions that will not allow an obsolete course to fall into the hands of students.

Actions to be taken:

- Determination of the curricular transformations and the course(s) to be eliminated.
- Determination of the repercussions for the rest of the courses if one course is eliminated.
- Delete selected courses.
- Development of alternative systems: The impact should be duly documented using the templates of the *software* withdrawal. Next, the actions of this methodology should be started from stage two, generating a new increment in the configuration of digital didactic resources in the virtual course, which reaffirms the iterative and incremental character of this methodology.

Phase Eight: Assessment of the phases proposed above and their contribution to blended learning.

Objective: To determine a system of tasks that will make it possible to obtain the relevant evaluations that will make it possible to correct any faults that may occur and that may be detrimental to the educational objectives of students and teachers.

Each of the proposed actions aims to detect the failures in each of the actions described above, which is why this phase is transversal in the methodology. To achieve this, the following is

proposed:

- Establish the most effective channels of communication in full confidence that allow teachers to expose strengths, weaknesses and opportunities to reach consensus at each stage in order to detect failures.
- Collective critical reflection on the successes and failures that have occurred throughout the process that can enhance the team's experience in these development processes.
- Implement an assurance system based on a quality assessment metric for virtual courses proposed in the literature (Medina et al., 2021).

Educational software development methodologies do not implement all phases of other software development processes and are well established in the literature (Barfield, 2021) and that is the main difference of the proposal made in this article.

Conclusions

The documentary analysis of the development of e-learning courses enables the author to take a theoretical stance on blended learning and its fundamental qualities, which allows him to define the concept. In addition, the fundamental concepts of the blended learning platform are assumed. At the same time, the development of e-learning courses for blended learning is defined on the basis of the previously assumed literature.

The proposed methodology structures a system of actions and operations based on philosophical, psychological, didactic, sociological and technological foundations. The stages behave as a system with an internal logic that goes from the diagnosis of the inadequacies of the school organisation to the withdrawal of courses due to the emergence of new needs.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Dirección de Investigación y Desarrollo (DIDE) de la Universidad Técnica de Ambato and the Sintezia research group at Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador Sede Ambato.

References

- Barfield, W. (2021). *The Cambridge Handbook of the Law of Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press.
- Cabero-Almenara, J., Barroso-Osuna, J. M., Gutiérrez-Castillo, J. J., & Palacios-Rodríguez, A. (2022). Developing digital and entrepreneurial competences in pedagogy. Degree of acceptance of a training proposal. *RiiTE Revista Interuniversitaria de Investigación en Tecnología Educativa*(12), 49-63. <https://doi.org/10.6018/riite.522441>
- Carlos-José, A., & González-Hernández, W. (2017). Methodology for the implementation of a repository of learning objects during the teaching of Analytic Geometry in the Mathematics Degree at the Higher Institute of Educational Sciences of Sumbe. *Campus Virtuales*, 6(2), 31-50.
- Galindo-Dominguez, H. (2021). Flipped Classroom in the Educational System: Trend or Effective Pedagogical Model Compared to Other Methodologies? *Educational Technology & Society*, 24(3), 44-60.
- González Hernández, W. (2021). Learning spaces and ways of organising teaching: a characterisation from the perspective of subjectivity. *Revista de Estudios y Experiencias en Educación (REXE)*, 20(42), 17-27. <https://doi.org/10.21703/rexe.20212042gonzalez18>
- González Hernández, W. (2022). The Teaching-Learning Process or the Teaching Process and the Learning Process. *Culture & psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354067X221097610>

- Hazim Torres, J. A., Febles Rodríguez, J. P., & Febles Estrada, A. (2019). Standards for evaluating the quality of virtual courses in Higher Education. *UCE Ciencia. Postgraduate Journal*, 7(1), 4-9.
- Im, T. (2021). Online and blended learning in vocational training institutions in South Korea. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning*, 13(2), 194-208. <https://doi.org/10.34105/j.kmel.2021.13.011>
- Jane Clark, C. E., & Post, G. (2021). Preparation and synchronous participation improve student performance in a blended learning experience. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(3), 187-199.
- Kumar, S., Ritzhaupt, A., & Pedro, N. S. (2022). Development and validation of the Online Instructor Support Survey (OISS). *Online Learning Journal*, 26(1), 221-244. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i1.2622>
- Kwon, S., Kim, W., Bae, C., Cho, M., Lee, S., & Dreamson, N. (2021). The identity changes in online learning and teaching: instructors, learners, and learning management systems. *International Journal of Education Technology Higher Education*, 18(67), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00304-8>
- Llerena-Ocaña, L.-A., & González-Hernández, W. (2017). The competence to develop web systems in the training of computer professionals: an approach to its study. *ReiDoCrea*, 6(2), 229-245.
- Llerena-Ocaña, L. A., & González Hernández, W. (2020). Formation of the competence "to develop web systems in virtual learning spaces". *Revista Cubana de Educación Superior*, 39(1), 1-16. http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext HYPERLINK
"http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0257-43142020000100016"& HYPERLINK
"http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0257-43142020000100016"pid=S0257-43142020000100016
- Maureira-Cabrera, Vásquez-Astudillo, M., Garrido-Valdenegro, F., & Olivares-Silva, M. J. (2020). Assessment and co-assessment of learning in blended learning in higher education. *Alteridad*, 15(2), 174-189. <https://doi.org/10.17163/alt.v15n2.2020.04>
- Mu, D., & Guo, W. (2022). Impact of Students' Online Learning Burnout on Learning Performance - the Intermediary Role of Game Evaluation. *iJET*, 17(02), 239-253. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i02.28555>
- Nisar Khattak, M., Muhammad, N., & Robinson, D. (2021). Understanding the interplay between support agencies and small and medium-sized enterprises in a conflict environment from an institutional theory perspective. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 13(2), 256-271.
- Panji Sasmito, A., Kustono, D., Purnomo, Elmunsyah, H., & Nurhadi, D. (2021). Development of Android-Based Teaching Material in Software Engineering Subjects for Informatics Engineering Students. *iJEP*, 11(2), 25-40. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v11i2.16299>
- Petrovica, S., Anohina-Naumecca, A., & Kikans, A. (2020). Definition and Validation of the Subset of SCORM Requirements for the Enhanced Reusability of Learning Content in Learning Management Systems. *Applied Computer Systems*, 25(2), 134-144. <https://doi.org/10.2478/acss-2020-0015>
- Saiful, J. A. (2020). Mobile Teacher Professional Development (MTPD): Delving into English Teachers' Beliefs in Indonesia. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 21(4), 144-160.
- Stracke, C. M. (2019). Quality Frameworks and Learning Design for Open Education. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 20(2), 181-243.
- Triadó-Ivern, X. M., Aparicio-Chueca, P., & Jaría-Chacón, N. (2015). Value Added Contributions of Science Parks-the Case of the Barcelona Scientific Park. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 7(2), 139-151.
- Vogel, M., Knapik, P., Cohrs, M., Szyperrek, B., Poeschel, W., Etzel, H., Kuhrmann, M. (2021).

Metrics in automotive software development: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, 33, 1-39. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.2296>.