

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i5.1842>

## The Role of Executive Functioning Interventions in Supporting Students with Learning Disabilities

Abdullah Ahmed Almulla<sup>1</sup>, Ameen Ali Alhaznawi<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

*Executive functioning (EF) is the critical factor for self-regulation, goal-directed actions, and problem-solving that contribute to academic success. Individuals with learning disabilities (LD) usually display EF deficits that disrupt planning, organization, and efficient task completion. The present study reviews numerous EF interventions, such as cognitive training, metacognitive strategies, behavioral strategies, and assistive technology, to assess whether or not they could assist LD students. We employed a quantitative study design by sending a structured survey to 270 special education teachers. We used descriptive and inferential statistical procedures to analyze teachers' perceptions of EF interventions. Implications suggest cognitive training and metacognitive techniques substantially enhance the working memory, self-regulation, and perseverance of students. Furthermore, systematic behavioral interventions help with impulse control and time management. Still, areas of difficulty exist, such as the limitations in resources and unequal implementation. The research described highlights the importance of professional development and interprofessional collaboration to maximize EF interventions and secure sustained academic achievement for LD students. Future research should examine the effectiveness of interventions across different educational environments.*

**Keywords:** Executive Functioning, Learning Disabilities, Cognitive Training, Metacognitive Strategies, Behavioral Interventions, Assistive Technology.

### Introduction

Executive functioning (EF) is a fundamental cognitive system that regulates self-control, goal-directed behavior, and problem solving, all of which are essential for academic achievement (Munakata & Michaelson, 2021). Students with learning disabilities (LD) frequently struggle with EF deficits, making it difficult for them to plan, organize, and execute academic tasks efficiently. These challenges undermine their social-emotional well-being as well as their academic performance (Gist, 2019). To support EF development in children with LD, various interventions such as cognitive training, behavioral methods, and technological aids have been developed. Cognitive training programs have been shown to help students better manage their time and solve problems by focusing on basic EF skills like working memory, cognitive flexibility, and inhibitory control (Kofler et al., 2019; Aro et al., 2022). Also, metacognitive interventions that help kids set goals, keep track of their progress, and think about what they're doing have been shown to help them learn on their own and stick with tasks (Schunk & DiBenedetto, 2021; Swanson et al., 2021).

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Special Education, College of Education, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia, Email: [aamulla@kfu.edu.sa](mailto:aamulla@kfu.edu.sa)

<sup>2</sup> University of Jeddah, College of Education, Special Education Department, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, Email: [aalhaznawi@uj.edu.sa](mailto:aalhaznawi@uj.edu.sa)



Behavioral therapies also greatly help LD kids increase their EF capabilities. Most commonly, teachers employ structured routines, positive reinforcement, and task structuring to assist students in managing their time and impulse control. Studies of well-run behavioral programs show that students experience significant increases in classroom involvement and task completion (Peng & Fuchs, 2016). In addition to this, the use of assistive technology has gained popularity as a viable solution for EF development. Time management and organization tools are among the computer tools that offer immediate assistance, enhancing students' ability to independently manage their school's operations (Lin et al., 2022; Brito et al., 2022). Not only have cognitive flexibility, emotional control, and attentional control been shown to improve EF, but there are also mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) available (Zografou & Drigas, 2022). LD students who receive mindfulness training have lower anxiety and better attention, according to empirical research, which allows them to achieve better academically (Crooks et al., 2020). Appropriately used, the intervention options significantly increase the EF capacity of children with LD, thereby enhancing their academic and social skills. Despite changes in their approaches, EF therapies continue to be challenging to implement.

Most schools struggle with poor instructor training, material limitations, and inconsistent student responses to treatments, which impede the widespread implementation of EF support programs. Even though different EF therapies have been studied in the past, more in-depth studies are still needed to find out how they affect learning in the long term and in different settings. Successful customization treatments to meet unique student needs also rely on multidisciplinary collaboration among teachers, psychologists, and professionals (Meltzer et al., 2021). This study tries to close these gaps by looking at the effectiveness of many EF therapies and highlighting optimum practices in their use. It would be helpful for academics, lawmakers, and teachers looking for inclusive and evidence-based ways to teach LD kids to look into how EF therapies help these kids do better in school.

## **Research Objective**

Highlighting the role of executive functioning interventions in supporting students with learning disabilities.

## **Literature Review**

Crucially important for academic success, executive functioning (EF) is a fundamental cognitive ability that controls goal-directed behavior, self-regulation, and problem solving (Casey, 2023). Usually exhibiting EF impairments, learners with learning disabilities (LD) find it difficult to plan, organize, and successfully complete academic assignments. Most diseases require certain treatments to help with cognitive growth and learning. EF treatments clearly improve academic performance and social-emotional wellbeing for LD children (Meltzer et al., 2021). Plans to help children with LD who lack EF include technological alternatives, cognitive training programs, and behavioral strategies. The main goals of EF's cognitive training programs are to improve working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility (Kofler et al., 2024).

By using regulated exercises and repetitive activities, these programs improve cognitive control systems. This type of instruction significantly enhances task performance and problem-solving skills in children with learning disabilities (Crisci et al., 2021). Children with LD benefit greatly from metacognitive therapies to develop self-regulated learning. These strategies stress self-monitoring, goal-setting, and introspection (Schunk & DiBenedetto, 2020), thereby improving academic achievement. Research on metacognitively taught LD students reveals improved task

perseverance and increased self-monitoring of their learning process (Swanson et al., 2023). Helping students assess their development and modify their learning processes facilitates long-term academic success, thereby supporting metacognitive therapy.

Children with LD frequently use behavioral interventions, such as reinforced techniques and controlled routines, to enhance their EF development. K-organization, positive reinforcement, and visual timelines (Barkley, 2022) are among the most effective behavioral therapies available. These therapies help youngsters to keep focus, manage urges, and arrange their time. According to research, children who participate in structured behavior programs show increased classroom involvement and task achievement (Peng & Fuchs, 2016).

Recently, the integration of assistive technology into EF therapies has garnered significant attention. Digital interventions for LD include interactive learning environments, time management software, and organizational software that provide real-time support to students (Lin et al, 2022). Research on students who got help from technology shows that they were able to handle their schoolwork more independently and produced more work (Brito et al., 2022). Also, digital tools are flexible and can meet a variety of learning needs thanks to their user-friendly interfaces, which leads to better EF development. Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) have been shown to help improve EF in kids with learning disabilities. Regular mindfulness practice also helps build cognitive flexibility, emotion regulation, and attentional control (Gkora & Drigas, 2024).

Students who get mindfulness training show lower anxiety, better focus, and more self-regulation in academic settings, according to empirical research (Crooks et al., 2020). These results indicate how well mindfulness strategies may complement more conventional EF treatments. Teachers typically deliver EF treatments in schools, and they play a crucial role in this process. Teacher-directed treatments for LD, such as scaffolding, explicit instruction, and differentiated learning, provide crucial support to students (Graham, 2021). Research indicates that educators who undergo professional training in EF methods are more capable of meeting students' learning needs (Nouwens et al., 2021). Inclusive education thus primarily relies on teacher training in EF interventions. Parent involvement is yet another key determinant of EF therapy success in children with LD. According to Muir et al. (2023), home-based interventions, goal-setting activities, group problem-solving, and structured activities help students use the skills they've learnt in school. Research indicates that LD children receiving consistent EF assistance at home show increased self-regulation as well as academic performance (Pinochet-Quiroz et al., 2022).

Therefore, maximizing interventional effects depends on coordinated efforts among parents, teachers, and professionals. The effectiveness of EF treatments varies based on the severity of learning disabilities and the specific needs of each student. Personalized treatment plans dealing with cognitive strengths and weaknesses assist most significantly (Balogh et al., 2022). Research indicates that students with significant EF impairments require extensive and consistent intervention programs in order to make meaningful progress (Drechsler et al., 2020). Therefore, effective therapeutic customization depends on a thorough evaluation of the EF's capabilities. When teachers, psychologists, and speech-language pathologists collaborate, the interventions for children with LD become more effective. Multidisciplinary teams that work together develop individualized educational plans (IEPs) with EF strategies (Meltzer et al., 2021). Research indicates that students who benefit from coordinated care from different specialists display better development in EF abilities and academics (Canet-Juric et al., 2024).

A multidisciplinary approach is absolutely required to meet the sophisticated demands of LD children. EF therapies influence social and emotional development in addition to improving academic success. Higher EF pupils have shown to be more resilient, confident, and flexible, according to studies (Emami Kashfi et al., 2019). These findings truly matter for LD adolescents, who so desperately struggle with emotional regulation and social relationships. EF programs not only improve overall functioning but also contribute to academic success. Neuroscientific research provides insights into the cause of EF deficits in children with learning disabilities. Neuroimaging is used to show changes in the structure and function of the prefrontal cortex, a brain area linked to EF (Willcutt, 2020).

The results underscore the importance of early intervention in promoting cognitive growth and brain plasticity. By incorporating neuroscientific evidence into intervention designs, medical professionals and educators can maximize the effectiveness of their programs. While research on EF therapies is still ongoing, application still remains full of challenges. Chief among these limitations are limited resources, poor instructor preparation, as well as varied responses from the students (Erostarbe-Pérez et al., 2022).

To mitigate these issues, we need to allocate more funds for special education programs, provide program support, and ensure regular professional development for teachers. Teachers must consider innovative approaches to addressing these issues and optimizing learning outcomes for diverse student groups. Literature is precise about the place of executive functioning therapies in helping children with learning disabilities. We use several approaches to augment EF abilities, including cognitive training, behavioral interventions, assistive technology, mindfulness training, and interprofessional collaborations (Diamond & Ling, 2020). Through the correction of EF deficiencies, these programs enable adolescents to learn essential life skills and obtain greater academic achievements.

## **Research Methodology**

### **Research Design**

The research design used in this study is a quantitative research design to examine the function of executive functioning interventions in assisting students with learning disabilities. A quantitative research design is suitable since it facilitates objective measurement of variables, statistical analysis, and generalization of results to the population. The research adopts a descriptive survey design, which is helpful in gathering numerical data from a large sample to determine patterns, relationships, and teachers' perceptions of executive functioning interventions. Using this method, the research ensures systematic data collection and analysis, resulting in evidence-based findings about the effectiveness of these interventions in special education contexts.

### **Population and Sample of Study**

The population of interest for this research is teachers who work within special education departments because they directly apply executive functioning interventions to students who have learning disabilities. They have direct experiences with different instructional strategies and will be able to give insight into whether they are effective or not. To have a representative sample, a simple random sampling method is used that will provide each teacher with an equal chance of participation. This method is used to minimize selection bias and maximize the validity of the study's findings. The sample is 270 teachers, established using previous research criteria and statistical power analysis to achieve significant and representative results. By

sampling teachers from various institutions, the study captures several perspectives regarding executive functioning interventions and practical applications.

### **Research Tool**

Formulated following a thorough evaluation of the literature on executive functioning therapies, a self-constructed questionnaire is the main instrument used for data collection in the study. The questionnaire consists of many questions covering demographic data, instructors' awareness and knowledge of executive functioning, their years of intervention experience, and their opinions of their efficacy. To precisely evaluate attitudes and opinions, the questionnaire comprises closed-ended questions in a five-point Likert scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree). Included to learn more are several multiple-choice and ranking questions. The tool is well-made to reach study goals and compile comprehensive information on instructors' opinions and approaches about executive functioning treatments.

### **Method of Data Collection**

To improve accessibility and response rates, the study uses a dual data-collecting strategy including web-based and physical data collection. Paper questionnaires sent to special education instructors to be completed at their own time and returned via a contact person constitute physical data collection. Online-based survey tools like Google Forms, the URLs being shared via professional networks, email lists, and social media groups for special education instructors help to collect digital data. With a resulting better representative dataset, this integration helps other teachers who might be missing from in-person sessions to make contributions towards the research. The integrated data-collecting approach also helps to explain variances in technology accessibility among the participants, therefore facilitating more general involvement.

### **Validity and Reliability**

Obtaining significant and accurate results depends on the validity and dependability of the research instrument. Strict expert assessment by psychologists, special education professionals, and researchers with executive functioning and learning disorder backgrounds guarantees content authenticity. Before final-scale data collection, these experts review the validity, simplicity, and comprehensiveness of the questionnaire and provide comments for development. Additionally used to assess the questionnaire is a pilot study including thirty special education instructors. Their comments guide changes, should they be needed to improve dependability and clarity.

Reliability of the questionnaire is also verified using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, which is an internal consistency measure. A reliability value of 0.70 or more is considered acceptable, suggesting that the instrument consistently measures teachers' experience and perception. Test-retest reliability is also tested by administering the questionnaire to a subset of participants at two points in time, two weeks apart, to assess stability of responses. These practices increase the validity of the results and confirm that data properly represent the views of special education teachers.

### **Data Analysis**

Data collected is processed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, which is commonly employed in quantitative data processing. Descriptive statistics like means, standard deviations, frequencies, and percentages are computed to summarize participants' demographic profiles and overall responses. This gives an overview of teachers' perceptions

towards executive functioning interventions. To analyze variable relationships and response differences, inferential statistical methods like t-tests, ANOVA, and regression analysis are used. T-tests are used to compare the perceptions of different groups of teachers, whereas ANOVA assesses differences based on demographic factors such as level of experience, gender, and type of school. Factor analysis is also applied to identify the underlying dimensions of the questionnaire results, which aid in categorizing salient features of executive functioning interventions from the perspective of special education teachers.

### **Ethical Considerations**

The research is conducted in strict adherence to ethical standards to safeguard the rights, privacy, and welfare of participants. Informed consent is collected from all the teachers prior to data collection, and they are given clear information regarding the study's purpose, procedures, and their right to withdraw at any point. Thus, a multidisciplinary approach is absolutely required to meet LD children's sophisticated demands. EF therapies influence social and emotional development in addition to improving academic success. Higher EF pupils have been shown to be more resilient, confident, and flexible, according to studies (Best et al., 2021). Ethical clearance is taken from the applicable institutional ethics committee prior to beginning data collection in order to assure adherence to ethical research practices. The study also adheres to non-maleficence guidelines, assuring that no damage is caused to participants due to their participation in the research. Through its adherence to ethical integrity, the research maintains exemplary standards of research ethics and participants' rights and privacy.

<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage (%)</b>
Gender	Male	86	31.9%
	Female	184	68.1%
		<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Age of Respondents	21-30 Y	58	21.5%
	31-40 Y	89	33.0%
	41-50 Y	92	34.1%
	51-60 Y	31	11.5%
		<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Designation	SSET	148	54.8%
	JSET	122	45.2%
		<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Qualification	Master	224	83.0%
	M.Phil.	33	12.2%
	PHD	13	4.8%
		<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Place of Posting	School	148	54.8%
	Center	122	45.2%
		<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>

Area of Posting	Rural	148	54.8%
	Urban	122	45.2%
		<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>
Experience	1-5 Y	123	45.6%
	6-10 Y	120	44.4%
	11-15 Y	27	10.0%
	>15 Y	0	0.0%
		<b>270</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 1: Frequency Distribution at the Basis of Demographics

The majority of respondents were female (68.1%), aged between 41-50 years (34.1%), and held the designation of SSET (54.8%). With rural postings somewhat higher (54.8%), most participants held a Master's degree (83.0%) and were placed in schools (54.8%). While none had more than 15 years of experience, 45.6% of the respondents had 1-5 years of experience.

Sr.	Statements of Questions	SA	A	UD	DA	SD A	M	SD
1	Executive functioning interventions significantly improve students' ability to plan and organize academic tasks.	39	212	19	0	0	4.07	0.46
		14%	79%	7%	0%	0%		
2	Cognitive training programs enhance working memory, cognitive flexibility, and inhibitory control in students with learning disabilities.	75	193	2	0	0	4.27	0.46
		28%	71%	1%	0%	0%		
3	Metacognitive interventions help students with learning disabilities develop self-regulated learning strategies.	95	171	2	0	2	4.32	0.57
		35%	63%	1%	0%	1%		
4	Behavioral interventions, such as structured routines and reinforcement strategies, improve students' task management skills.	74	187	4	5	0	4.22	0.56
		27%	69%	1%	2%	0%		
5	The use of assistive technology, such as time-management applications and organizational tools, enhances students' executive functioning skills.	39	217	7	7	0	4.07	0.52
		14%	80%	3%	3%	0%		
6	Mindfulness-based interventions help students with learning disabilities improve attention control and emotional regulation.	107	154	9	0	0	4.36	0.46
		40%	57%	3%	0%	0%		
7	Teacher-led interventions,	46	220	4	0	0	4.16	0.4

	including scaffolding and explicit instruction, are effective in addressing executive functioning deficits.							6
		17%	81%	1%	0%	0%		
8	Professional development programs for teachers improve their ability to implement executive functioning interventions effectively.	70	192	5	3	0	4.22	0.57
		26%	71%	2%	1%	0%		
9	Parental involvement in executive functioning interventions positively influences students' self-regulation and academic success.	42	226	2	0	0	4.15	0.56
		16%	84%	1%	0%	0%		
10	Personalized intervention plans tailored to individual students' cognitive strengths and weaknesses lead to better academic outcomes.	76	184	8	2	0	4.24	0.52
		28%	68%	3%	1%	0%		
11	Cross-disciplinary collaboration among educators, psychologists, and speech-language pathologists enhances executive functioning interventions.	107	154	9	0	0	4.36	0.55
		40%	57%	3%	0%	0%		
12	Students with learning disabilities who receive executive functioning interventions show improvements in social skills and emotional well-being.	107	154	9	0	0	4.36	0.40
		40%	57%	3%	0%	0%		
13	Early intervention programs targeting executive functioning deficits contribute to long-term academic success.	46	220	4	0	0	4.16	0.40
		17%	81%	1%	0%	0%		
14	The effectiveness of executive functioning interventions depends on consistent implementation and individualized support.	70	192	5	3	0	4.22	0.52
		26%	71%	2%	1%	0%		
15	Limited resources and inadequate training for educators are barriers to successfully implementing executive functioning interventions.	42	226	2	0	0	4.15	0.38
		16%	84%	1%	0%	0%		
16	There is a need for more research-based strategies to improve executive functioning interventions for students with learning disabilities.	76	184	8	2	0	4.24	0.15
		28%	68%	3%	1%	0%		



Table 2: Frequency Distribution at the Basis of Objective of Study

The frequency distribution analysis indicates strong agreement among respondents regarding the effectiveness of executive functioning interventions in improving students' academic and cognitive skills. The majority of participants either strongly agreed or agreed with statements related to cognitive training, metacognitive strategies, behavioral interventions, assistive technology, and mindfulness-based approaches, with mean scores ranging from 4.07 to 4.36. Especially, 84% of respondents said that parental participation helps children to regulate themselves and achieve academic achievement. In a same vein, 81% agreed that teacher-led treatments help with executive functioning problems. The lowest mean score 4.07 for the assistive technology role suggests far less agreement on its influence. Furthermore, the results show a supposed demand for professional development initiatives and more research-based approaches to improve intervention efficacy. The low standard deviations across statements show constancy in respondents' opinions, therefore supporting the need of well-organized, customized, and regularly carried out treatments.

<b>Gender</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>Sig. (2-tailed)</b>
Male	86	67.65	3.15	268	0.34	0.734
Female	184	67.52	2.79			

Table 3: Independent Sample T-Test Analysis at the Basis of Gender of Respondents

The findings of the independent sample t-test show no appreciable variation ( $p=0.734$ ) in the mean ratings of male and female respondents for executive functioning treatments, implying quite comparable opinions across sexes.

<b>Designation</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>Sig. (2-tailed)</b>
SSET	148	68.17	2.53	268	3.87	0
JSET	122	66.83	3.16			

Table 4: Independent Sample T-Test Analysis at the Basis of Designation of Respondents

The independent sample t-test results show a significant difference ( $p=0.000$ ) in mean scores between SSET and JSET respondents, indicating that SSETs have a more favorable perception of executive functioning interventions.

<b>Place of Posting</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>Sig. (2-tailed)</b>
School	148	68.17	2.53	268	3.87	0
Center	122	66.83	3.16			

Table 5: Independent Sample T-Test Analysis at the Basis of Place of Posting of Respondents

The independent sample t-test results show a significant difference ( $p=0.000$ ) in mean scores between respondents posted in schools and centers, indicating that school-posted respondents have a more favorable perception of executive functioning interventions.

Area of Posting	N	Mean	SD	df	t	Sig. (2-tailed)
Rural	148	68.17	2.53	268	3.87	0
Urban	122	66.83	3.16			

Table 6: Independent Sample T-Test Analysis at the Basis of Area of Posting of Respondents

The independent sample t-test results show a significant difference ( $p=0.000$ ) in mean scores between rural and urban respondents, indicating that rural respondents have a more favorable perception of executive functioning interventions.

Age	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	70.36	3	23.45	2.83	0.04
Within Groups	2202.07	266	8.28		
Total	2272.43	269			

Table 7: One-way Anova Analysis at the Basis of Age of Posting of Respondents

The one-way ANOVA results indicate a significant difference ( $p=0.04$ ) in perceptions of executive functioning interventions across different age groups.

Qualification	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	18.05	2	9.02	1.07	0.35
Within Groups	2254.38	267	8.44		
Total	2272.43	269			

Table 8: One-way Anova Analysis at the Basis of Qualification of Posting of Respondents

The one-way ANOVA results show no significant difference ( $p=0.35$ ) in perceptions of executive functioning interventions based on respondents' qualifications.

Experience	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	182.49	2	91.25	11.66	0.00
Within Groups	2089.94	267	7.83		
Total	2272.43	269			

Table 9: One-way Anova Analysis at the Basis of Experience of Posting of Respondents

The one-way ANOVA results indicate a significant difference ( $p=0.00$ ) in perceptions of executive functioning interventions based on respondents' experience levels.

## Findings

The results provide executive functioning treatments aimed to enhance students' cognitive and academic performance with substantial support. While metacognitive approaches teach self-regulated learning strategies, respondents most strongly thought that cognitive training programs

considerably enhance working memory, cognitive flexibility, and inhibitory control. By contrast, behavioral interventions using regular frameworks and reward systems were seen to be beneficial in improving task management abilities in individuals with learning difficulties. Assistive technology was embraced and rejected in equal measure, as fewer people agreed on its effects than on other approaches. Still, mindfulness-based therapies were well-known for improving emotional regulation and attention span. Most participants also thought that teacher-directed interventions like explicit instruction and scaffolding may help with executive functioning deficiencies. Low standard deviations show the consistency of the responses, therefore stressing the broad agreement among teachers on the need for well-organized and customized treatments. Furthermore, underlined in the results is the need for outside support networks for executive functioning therapies.

Respondents felt that improving students' self-regulation and academic performance depends much on parental participation. Likewise, it was generally understood that measures for professional development for teachers were quite essential to increase their capacity to perform these interventions successfully. Moreover, shown to be beneficial for raising academic performance were tailored intervention plans based on the cognitive strengths and weaknesses of the pupils. Furthermore, noted was how much interdisciplinary interaction among teachers, psychologists, and speech-language pathologists determines the general effectiveness of therapies. early intervention programs were judged essential in promoting long-term academic achievement, therefore supporting the need of proactive efforts to solve executive functioning problems early on. Although these treatments have a good impression, respondents also admitted the difficulties in their execution, especially the necessity of new research-based techniques and extra tools to help teachers in properly applying these interventions. Although the advantages of executive functioning therapies were clearly agreed upon, differences in opinions were noted depending on certain demographic criteria. Rural residents had a more positive view of these treatments than their urban counterparts.

Teachers housed in schools likewise showed more faith in the success of these techniques than those employed in centres. recover, there was a clear difference between SSET and JSET respondents; SSETs showed a more favorable view of executive functioning treatments. contrast, gender and qualification had no appreciable effect on respondents' opinions, suggesting that these elements have little bearing on how effective an intervention is seen to be. e-based variations also clearly show that teachers in different age groups might view and use executive functioning treatments in different ways. These results underline the requirement of contextual elements in forming teachers' opinions on intervention efficacy and the necessity of customized professional development chances to meet particular demands among several groups of educators.

## Discussion

The results of this study actually indicate the need for executive functioning therapies in boosting the cognitive and academic performance of learners. This is consistent with other studies pointing to the need for cognitive training interventions in the improvement of core executive functions, including working memory, cognitive flexibility, and inhibitory control (Diamond & Ling, 2020). Respondent-conducive perception of metacognitive therapies reaffirms earlier findings demonstrating how promotion of self-examination and monitoring protocols enhances magnificent improvement of scholastic performance through self-study methods (Viana-Sáenz et al., 2021). These findings also highlight the inclusion of properly structured cognitive

instruction in classroom learning environments to enhance improved executive development of learners at large, including those with academic underachievement.

Along with these, also proved highly effective were the behavioral interventions, including reinforced strategies and set routines. Previous research has shown that through the facilitation of stable patterns of behavior and goal-directed behavior, these interventions prove to improve students' capabilities regarding task management (Goudreau, & Knight, 2018). This serves to emphasized role of well-organized support structures in remediating executive function deficits. especially among students who have difficulty starting and finishing tasks. Although time-management software and planning tools were welcomed as useful, their impact as reported was slightly less than cognitive and behavior therapies. This result is consistent with findings that successful technology-based therapies depend on accurate usage and user involvement (Peng, 2023).

The counter viewpoint to assistive technology is the call for more research to investigate how best to optimize these tools in different learning environments. Mindfulness-based treatments were strongly supported, as they might improve emotional control and attentional capability. his also supports research by Zelazo (2020), which shows that mindfulness training improves executive performance by means of stress reduction and cognitive flexibility enhancement. Since controlling emotions is so crucial for academic achievement, including mindfulness techniques into classes can be a terrific strategy to help children with executive function problems. Similarly, most people said that therapies including clear teaching and instructor scaffolding were rather successful. This verifies earlier research pointing to the need for teachers in offering structured guidance to aid in the cultivation of executive functioning of their pupils (Vygotsky, 1978; Graham, 2021).

The findings underscore the need for providing educators with the requisite knowledge and skills to carry out these interventions. effectively study also reemphasizes the importance of external support systems to executive functioning interventions. Consistent with other studies highlighting the importance of family support in the development of executive function, a vast majority of the respondents agreed that parental engagement is a primary determinant of boosting students' self-regulation and academic performance. Also, the identification of teacher professional development programs vital supports the importance of providing ongoing training for teachers in order to empower them sufficiently to put evidence-based approaches into effective action (Thompson, 2020).

Considered valuable were intervention plans, individualized respect of individuals' cognitive weaknesses and strengths, thereby supplementing research in favor of individualized approaches to instruction for learning gains. Building effectiveness in interventions was emphasized as very much dependent upon interdisciplinary collaboration amongst teachers, psychologists, and speech-language therapists. This is found consistent with findings that indicate multi-disciplinary frameworks result in stronger and more thorough intervention strategies. Early intervention programs proved to be required in attaining long-term educational results, thus confirming correction of executive functioning problems during the early years may result in enhanced learning pathways (Willoughby et al., 2019).

Although the majority of respondents had a good understanding of the treatments, there was also acknowledgement of difficulties within their application, including a call for more research-based approaches as well as the need for increased resources. This is consistent with the worries expressed in earlier research on the pragmatic difficulties of teachers in trying to apply executive

functioning treatments within actual classroom contexts (Barkley, 2022). Surprisingly, differences in opinion were noted along different demographic measures. The rural participants were more favorably aligned toward executive functioning treatments than their urban counterparts, indicating possible resource access differences or cultural predisposition toward schooling. Teachers working in schools were also more adept in using these strategies than those working in specialized centres, which would point to differences in institutional support or training programs. results identify the significance of contextual variables to influence teachers' attitudes and call for the implementation of specific professional development opportunities addressing particular needs of different groups of teachers.

Nonetheless, neither qualification nor gender variables had any apparent impact on respondents' opinions, which confirms that respondents' opinions regarding intervention effectiveness are very consistent across diverse groups. Age variances were seen, too, which would suggest that instructors of different ages might view and apply executive functioning interventions differently. Outcome is in line with earlier studies suggesting younger instructors might be more open to adopting ideas, while older teachers could follow traditional ways (Sisk, 2019). These discrepancies reflect the need for specialized methods that accommodate the different viewpoints and experiences of teachers. The research findings yield convincing support that executive functioning interventions boost students' cognitive and academic performance. Wide-ranging support was extended to cognitive, metacognitive, and behaviorist; various opinions concerning assistive technology suggest the requirement for additional research. The study also underlines how to maximize attention efficiency by means of outside support systems like parental involvement, professional training, and multidisciplinary cooperation. Demographic variations highlight the need for comfort-based training and resource allocation to provide equal access to efficient executive functioning therapies in most of the educational settings. Subsequent research ought to investigate the ways in which intervention delivery should be maximized and implementation issues addressed so that students with executive functioning deficits may be more helped.

## Conclusion

The findings of this study emphasize the necessary character of executive functioning treatments in enhancing students' cognitive and academic capacity. Particularly successful approaches in improving working memory, self-regulation, and task management skills were cognitive training programs, metacognitive techniques, and behavioral treatments. Additionally, quite successful in correcting executive functioning problems were teacher-led treatments including explicit teaching and scaffolding. To further academic success, the study also emphasizes the need for outside support networks, such as parental participation and multidisciplinary teamwork. However, the divergent opinions on assistive technology point to the need for greater research on how it affects the development of functioning.

Furthermore, underlined in the study, the study is the requirement of early intervention programs and staff development chances to equip instructors with research-based ways for application. While the general consensus of people was that executive functioning treatments were beneficial, variations based on demographic and contextual factors also emerged in the outcomes. Teachers in rural settings and schools themselves have favorable views of programs compared to those working in specialist centres and metropolitan counterparts. The responses to teachers also indicate the need for intervention plans adjusted according to teachers' experience and responsibility. Despite these differences, neither qualification nor gender had any material

impact on opinion, thus reinforcing the cross-application of executive function therapies. This emphasizes the need for more research and policy development to enhance access and effectiveness as well as for the need for formalized, bespoke delivery of executive function support. By use of targeted challenges, teachers may maximize intervention strategies in handling various groups of pupils for long-term educational success.

## Recommendations

On the basis of findings of this research, following recommendation are made:

1. Schools can adopt systematic executive functioning interventions, such as cognitive training, metacognitive strategies, and behavioral techniques, to improve the academic performance of students.
2. Professional development initiatives ought to be aimed at preparing educators with evidence-based practices for implementing executive functioning interventions effectively.
3. More focus on interdisciplinarity as well as parental engagement should be given in order to enhance the influence of executive functioning interventions on student achievement.
4. Future research must investigate the longitudinal efficacy of executive functioning interventions in a variety of educational contexts and student populations.

## Funding or Acknowledgment

This work was supported through the Annual Funding track by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia [Project No.GRANT KFU250832].

## References

- Aro, T., Eklund, K., Eloranta, A. K., Ahonen, T., & Rescorla, L. (2022). Learning Disabilities Elevate Children's Risk for Behavioral-Emotional Problems: Differences Between LD Types, Genders, and Contexts. *Journal of learning disabilities*, 55(6), 465–481.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194211056297>
- Balogh, L., Pulay, A. J., & Réthelyi, J. M. (2022). Genetics in the ADHD Clinic: How Can Genetic Testing Support the Current Clinical Practice?. *Frontiers in psychology*, 13, 751041.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.751041>
- Barkley, R. A. (2022). Improving clinical diagnosis using the executive functioning—self-regulation theory of ADHD. *The ADHD Report*, 30(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1521/adhd.2022.30.1.1>
- Brito, G., Leon, C., Ribeiro, C., Trevisan, B., Dias, N., & Seabra, A. (2022). Evidence-Based Practices in a Social Project: Promotion of Executive Functions in Children from Northeastern Brazil. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 23(5), 712–731. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15248372.2022.2100396>
- Canet-Juric, L., Gelpi-Trudo, R., Zamora, E., Galli, J. I., Abusamra, V., & Tabullo, A. (2024). Executive functions contributions to copying and text production in elementary school children. *Applied Neuropsychology: Child*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21622965.2024.2440929>
- Casey B. J. (2023). Executive functions in the brain, development and social context: Early contributions by neuroscientist, Adele Diamond. *Developmental cognitive neuroscience*, 62, 101272.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2023.101272>
- Crisci, G., Caviola, S., Cardillo, R., & Mammarella, I. C. (2021). Executive Functions in Neurodevelopmental Disorders: Comorbidity Overlaps Between Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder and Specific Learning Disorders. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 15, 594234.

- <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.594234>
- Crooks, C. V., Bax, K., Delaney, A., Kim, H., & Shokoohi, M. (2020). Impact of MindUP among young children: Improvements in behavioral problems, adaptive skills, and executive functioning. *Mindfulness*, 11(10), 2433–2444. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-020-01460-0>
- Diamond, A., & Ling, D. S. (2020). Review of the evidence on, and fundamental questions about, efforts to improve executive functions, including working memory. *Cognitive and working memory training: Perspectives from psychology, neuroscience, and human development*, 143–431. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199974467.003.0008>
- Drechsler, R., Brem, S., Brandeis, D., Grünblatt, E., Berger, G., & Walitza, S. (2020). ADHD: Current Concepts and Treatments in Children and Adolescents. *Neuropediatrics*, 51(5), 315–335. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1701658>
- Emami Kashfi, T., Sohrabi, M., Saberi Kakhki, A., Mashhadi, A., & Jabbari Nooghabi, M. (2019). Effects of a Motor Intervention Program on Motor Skills and Executive Functions in Children With Learning Disabilities. *Perceptual and motor skills*, 126(3), 477–498. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0031512519836811>
- Erostarbe-Pérez, M., Reparaz-Abaitua, C., Martínez-Pérez, L., & Magallón-Recalde, S. (2022). Executive functions and their relationship with intellectual capacity and age in schoolchildren with intellectual disability. *Journal of intellectual disability research : JIDR*, 66(1-2), 50–67. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12885>
- Gist, C. (2019). From Frazzled to Focused: Supporting Students With Executive Function Deficits. *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, 51(5), 372–381. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0040059919836990>
- Gkora, V., & Drigas, A. (2024). Enhancing executive functions in children: a comprehensive review of interventions via digital technologies and future directions. *Scientific Electronic Archives*, 17(5). <https://doi.org/10.36560/17520241974>
- Goudreau, S. B., & Knight, M. (2018). Executive Function Coaching: Assisting With Transitioning From Secondary to Postsecondary Education. *Journal of attention disorders*, 22(4), 379–387. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054715583355>
- Graham, S. (2021). Executive control and the Writer(s)- within-Community model. In T. Limpo & T. Olive (Eds.), *Executive functions and writing* (pp. 38–76). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198863564.003.0003>
- H. Schunk, D., & K. DiBenedetto, M. (2022). Self-Regulation, Self-Efficacy, and Learning Disabilities. *IntechOpen*. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.99570
- Kofler, M. J., Soto, E. F., Singh, L. J., Harmon, S. L., Jaisle, E., Smith, J. N., Feeney, K. E., & Musser, E. D. (2024). Executive function deficits in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder. *Nature reviews psychology*, 3(10), 701–719. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-024-00350-9>
- Kofler, M. J., Spiegel, J. A., Soto, E. F., Irwin, L. N., Wells, E. L., & Austin, K. E. (2019). Do Working Memory Deficits Underlie Reading Problems in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)?. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*, 47(3), 433–446. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-018-0447-1>
- Lin, H. M., Chang, Y. T., Chen, M. H., Liu, S. T., Chen, B. S., Li, L., Lee, C. Y., Sue, Y. R., Sung, T. M., Sun, C. K., & Yeh, P. Y. (2022). Structural and Functional Neural Correlates in Individuals with Excessive Smartphone Use: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(23), 16277. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316277>
- Meltzer, L., Greschler, M. A., Davis, K., & Vanderberg, C. (2021). Executive function, metacognition, and language: Promoting student success with explicit strategy instruction. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*, 6(6), 1343–1356. [https://doi.org/10.1044/2021\\_PERSP-21-00034](https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_PERSP-21-00034)
- Muir, R. A., Howard, S. J., & Kervin, L. (2023). Interventions and approaches targeting early self-

- regulation or executive functioning in preschools: A systematic review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 35(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09740-6>
- Munakata, Y., & Michaelson, L. E. (2021). Executive Functions in Social Context: Implications for Conceptualizing, Measuring, and Supporting Developmental Trajectories. *Annual review of developmental psychology*, 3(1), 139–163. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-devpsych-121318-085005>
- Nouwens, S., Groen, M. A., Kleemans, T., & Verhoeven, L. (2021). How executive functions contribute to reading comprehension. *The British journal of educational psychology*, 91(1), 169–192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12355>
- Peng, P. (2023). The role of executive function in reading development and reading intervention. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 17(4), 246-256. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mbe.12375>
- Peng, P., & Fuchs, D. (2016). A Meta-Analysis of Working Memory Deficits in Children With Learning Difficulties: Is There a Difference Between Verbal Domain and Numerical Domain? *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 49(1), 3-20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219414521667>
- Pinochet-Quiroz, P., Lepe-Martínez, N., Gálvez-Gamboa, F., Ramos-Galarza, C., del-Valle-Tapia, M., & Acosta-Rodas, P. (2022). Relationship between cold executive functions and self-regulated learning management in college students. *Estudios Sobre Educación*, 43, 93-113. <https://doi.org/10.15581/004.43.005>
- Schunk, D. H., & DiBenedetto, M. K. (2020). Social cognitive theory, self-efficacy, and students with disabilities: Implications for students with learning disabilities, reading disabilities, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. In *Handbook of educational psychology and students with special needs* (pp. 243-261). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315100654-13>
- Sisk, R. J. (2019). A Phenomenological Study: Examining Self-advocacy Perceptions of High School Seniors with Specific Learning Disabilities Who Will Be First Generation College Students (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- Swanson, H. L., Kong, J., & Petcu, S. D. (2023). Stability of learning disabilities, cognitive growth, and L1 in English learners: A latent class and transition analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 115(3), 379. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000771>
- Thompson, D. (2020). Executive Function Professional Development: A Curriculum Review (Doctoral dissertation, Drexel University). <https://doi.org/10.17918/rqz-y152>
- Viana-Sáenz, L., Sastre-Riba, S., & Urraca-Martínez, M. L. (2021). Executive Function and Metacognition: Relations and Measure on High Intellectual Ability and Typical Schoolchildren. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13083. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132313083>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Massachusetts: Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvjf9vz4>
- Willcutt, E. G. (2020). Assessment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid reading disorder with consideration of executive functioning. In *The Clinical Guide to Assessment and Treatment of Childhood Learning and Attention Problems* (pp. 55-73). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815755-8.00003-4>
- Willoughby, M. T., Wylie, A. C., & Little, M. H. (2019). Testing longitudinal associations between executive function and academic achievement. *Developmental psychology*, 55(4), 767–779. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000664>
- Zelazo P. D. (2020). Executive Function and Psychopathology: A Neurodevelopmental Perspective. *Annual review of clinical psychology*, 16, 431–454. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-072319-024242>
- Zografou , M., & Drigas, A. (2022). The role of executive functions and ICTs in anxiety management of



children with learning disabilities. Scientific Electronic Archives, 15(8).  
<https://doi.org/10.36560/15820221573>.